




Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale
del Lazio e della Toscana M. Aleandri



Practising scientific English il processo della pubblicazione

Open Access - caratteristiche principali:
fugare i dubbi su *copyright*, *peer review*





Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale
del Lazio e della Toscana M. Aleandri

Open science?

dati BISA.pdf - Adobe Acrobat Reader DC

File Modifica Vista Finestra ?

Home Strumenti dati BISA.pdf x

15 / 65 141%

Rapporti ISTISAN 17/32

QUADRO EUROPEO SUI DATI APERTI DELLA RICERCA E CONTESTO ITALIANO

Paola Gargiulo
Consorzio Interuniversitario per Il Calcolo Automatico (Cineca), Milano

La scienza moderna, per sua propria natura, è aperta al confronto, allo scambio, alla condivisione e oggi può avvalersi, grazie al digitale, di strumenti e di tecnologie che le permettono di essere effettivamente aperta. Eppure tali opportunità sono ostacolate da resistenze culturali, interessi economici, barriere giuridiche e in misura minore tecnologiche, al punto tale che è stato coniato il termine *open science*, scienza aperta, apparentemente una tautologia, per definire l'approccio alla ricerca scientifica basato sulla collaborazione, sull'apertura, sulla trasparenza, sulla condivisione degli strumenti medesimi e dei risultati e sulla disseminazione in accesso aperto di questi ultimi.

Quando si parla di scienza aperta, non si fa riferimento solo ai dati della ricerca (*open research data*) e alle pubblicazioni (*open access publications*) risultanti dai progetti finanziati prevalentemente con fondi pubblici, ma anche alle metodologie usate nel corso della ricerca (*open methodology*), ai software utilizzati o sviluppati (*open source*), alle attività di revisione da parte dei pari, alle pubblicazioni in modalità aperta (*open peer reviewing*) e anche ai materiali per scopo didattico (*open educational resources*). Si tratta dell'intero processo della ricerca e dei suoi esiti che viene reso accessibile e possibilmente fruibile nelle modalità più aperte e della disseminazione dei risultati nelle comunità scientifiche, della loro conservazione, ma anche della loro divulgazione con mezzi e strumenti appropriati. Quest'ultimo aspetto è connesso al ruolo della scuola, ma anche a quello dei decisori politici locali, nazionali, internazionali e alla società in generale, perché tutti possano trarre vantaggio dalla condivisione della conoscenza e operare delle

Accedi

Condividi

Cerca 'Bates'

Esporta PDF

Adobe Export PDF

Converti file PDF in Word o Excel online

Selezionare il file PDF

dati BISA.pdf

Converti in

Microsoft Word (*.docx)

Lingua documento:
Italiano Cambia

Converti

Crea PDF

Modifica PDF

Commento

Combinate i file

Organizza pagine

Redigere

Converti e modifica i PDF con Acrobat Pro DC

Attiva versione di prova

07:58
17/09/2019

What is ‘Open Science’?





Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale
del Lazio e della Toscana M. Aleandri

[Open] Science is a Human Right

Article 27 *Dichiarazione Universale dei Diritti Umani* 10
dicembre 1948

1. Ogni individuo ha diritto di prendere parte liberamente alla vita culturale della comunità, di godere delle arti e di partecipare al progresso scientifico ed ai suoi benefici
2. Ogni individuo ha diritto alla protezione degli interessi morali e materiali derivanti da ogni produzione scientifica, letteraria e artistica di cui egli sia autore

<http://unipd-centrodirittiumani.it/it/schede/Articolo-27-Contro-lomologazione/31>

<https://www.un.org/en/universal-declaration-human-rights/>





Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale
del Lazio e della Toscana M. Aleandri

POPPE SCIENZ APERTA - Cerc... (Libro) La società aperta e i suoi... Popper e La società aperta 50...
https://books.google.it/books?id=OeRZHbXmSsC&printsec=frontcover&dq=LA+SOCIETÀ+APERTA+E+I+SUOI+NEMICI+POPPER&hl=it&sa=...
Google LA SOCIETÀ APERTA E I SUOI NEMICI POPPER

Libri



Aggiungi alla mia biblioteca

Scrivi recensione

Pagina 7



ACQUISTA EBOOK - 9,45 €

Trova questo libro nella versione stampata ▼



★★★★★

0 Recensioni

[Scrivi recensione](#)

Popper e La società aperta 50 anni dopo

Di Sandra Pralong

LA SOCIETÀ APERTA E I

Vai

[Informazioni su questo libro](#)

► Biblioteca personale

► La mia cronologia

Libri su Google Play

[Termini di servizio](#)



Pagine visualizzate per concessione di
Armando Editore. Copyright.

Risultato 1 di 12 in questo libro per LA SOCIETÀ APERTA E I SUOI NEMICI POPPER - [Indietro](#) [Avanti](#) - [Visualizza tutto](#)

[Cancella ricerca](#)

Premessa all'edizione italiana

LE RAGIONI DELLA SOCIETÀ APERTA

Dario Antiseri e Massimo Baldini

«Il passaggio dalla **società** chiusa alla **società aperta** può essere considerato come una delle più profonde rivoluzioni attraverso le quali è passato il genere umano».

KARL R. POPPER

1. Società aperta e società chiusa

La **società aperta** è la **società aperta** a più valori, a più visioni del mondo filosofiche e religiose, a più proposte politiche, e quindi a più partiti, alle critiche incessanti e severe dei diversi punti di vista delle differenti proposte. La **società aperta** è **aperta** al maggior numero possibile di idee ed ideali diversi e magari contrastanti. La **società aperta** è chiusa solo agli intolleranti.

Questa, dunque, la **società aperta**. Ma: da che cosa è **aperta** la **società aperta**? Essa, nel pensiero di Popper, è **aperta** dalla fallibilità della conoscenza umana e dalla consapevolezza che, per quel che riguarda i valori ultimi, viviamo e vivremo in un mondo politeista¹. Vivremo in un mondo politeista giacché la cosa più importante che



11:15
23/09/2019



1. Caratteristiche principali



IL POTENZIALE TRASFORMATIVO DELLA OPEN SCIENCE


- 1) La comunicazione scientifica oggi: **funziona?**
- 2) L'alternativa Open: **cos'è** e come si fa in pratica?
- 3) Cosa succede in **Europa?**
- 4) **è l'ennesimo fardello amministrativo?**

Da "Open Science: what's in it for me?" Elena Giglia, Università di Torino



TRADITIONAL SUBSCRIPTION PUBLISHING

limited dissemination, economic efficiency & social impact



Model and text adapted from Timothee Velmer and Teresa Semler Garcia "Research article cycles"
http://wiki.tralaccommons.org/f:Research_articles_cycles.jpg



Paula Collan & Sarah Brown, QUT 2014 CC-BY 4.0



il concetto di **libero accesso** implica **due tipi di libertà**:
l'accesso gratuito (Gratis OA = libero da barriere economiche)
la possibilità per chiunque di **accedere senza costi ai risultati**
della ricerca scientifica, soprattutto quando sovvenzionata da
finanziamenti pubblici

il riutilizzo libero

(Libre OA = libero da barriere e restrizioni legali)
la possibilità per gli utenti di **riutilizzare** e, in base ai permessi di
licenza, modificare e ridistribuire, **per scopi di studio e di**
ricerca, i risultati della ricerca scientifica.

Il massimo grado di libero utilizzo è rappresentato dalle **opere in**
pubblico dominio [pubblico dominio](#)



Open Access/Open Science è un'opportunità
non una minaccia
fare Open Access e farlo correttamente
è molto semplice



Il contrario di Open Science è «Bad Science»
e non «**Closed/conventional Science**»





Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale
del Lazio e della Toscana *M. Aleandri*



United Nations
Educational, Scientific and
Cultural Organization

Organisation
des Nations Unies
pour l'éducation,
la science et la culture

Organización
de las Naciones Unidas
para la Educación,
la Ciencia y la Cultura

Организация
Объединенных Наций по
вопросам образования,
науки и культуры

منظمة الأمم المتحدة
للتربية والعلم والثقافة

联合国教育、
科学及文化组织

WORLD SCIENCE DAY FOR PEACE AND DEVELOPMENT 2019

OPEN SCIENCE, LEAVING NO ONE BEHIND

THE THEME FOR 2019

The World Science Day for Peace and Development, celebrated every year on 10 November, was established by UNESCO in 2001 with the aim of highlighting the important role of science in society and the need to engage the wider public in debates on emerging and important contemporary issues relevant to science.

In 2019, the Day will be devoted to the theme of **Open Science** – a burning issue in the scientific community, which is gaining increasing attention by the non-scientific community as well. Innovators, engineers, tech developers, both from private and public sectors are embracing the open science and open innovation concepts. And policy makers and citizens are increasingly embracing the concept of open science as a tool for making science more accessible, the scientific process more inclusive and the outputs of science more readily available.



We are in the middle of a global research [r]evolution

There are (at least) five major ***crises***:

- **Access** – Most research still **paywalled** to most people
- **Reproducibility** – Much research fails basic reproducibility tests
- **Serials** – The dramatic price increases of journals
- **Evaluation** – The metric that shall not be named
- **Copyright** – No longer serves researchers or creators





Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale
del Lazio e della Toscana M. Aleandri

1. Caratteristiche principali OPEN INNOVATION

Open Innovation?

Il termine è stato coniato da Henry Chesbrough, l'economista statunitense che nel 2003 scrisse un libro fondamentale sull'argomento, dal titolo: "The Era of Open Innovation".

Questa è la sua definizione, tratta da un secondo scritto edito nel 2006:

*«L'open innovation è un paradigma che afferma che le imprese **possono** debbono fare ricorso ad idee esterne, così come a quelle interne, ed accedere con percorsi interni ed esterni ai mercati se vogliono progredire nelle loro competenze tecnologiche. »*

Insomma, Chesbrough comprese che le aziende **non potevano più prescindere dall'aprirsi** all'esterno per poter portare *reale* innovazione al loro interno; in particolare, sarebbe stato per loro molto vantaggioso **collaborare con i nuovi soggetti in campo**: ovvero le **startup**, nonché programmatori, inventori, **istituti di ricerca e università**.

Come avviene nel concreto l'Open Innovation?

Grazie a questo modello, l'azienda può "perlustrare" il mercato per scovare quali soluzioni innovative possono corrispondere e aiutare il proprio modello di business, e creare partnership.

All'interno dell'Open Innovation, male vedere i colossi, le grandi aziende, collaborare a stretto giro con startup.

In questo modo viene anche accorciato il fisiologico *time to market*, ovvero lo scarto temporale tra l'ideazione e la messa in pratica, e l'azienda vincente sul mercato non sarà quella che ha prodotto le migliori innovazioni al suo interno, ma **quella che ha saputo creare una migliore interazione fra le varie parti**.

Un altro concetto importante e strettamente collegato all'Open Innovation è quello dell'**Ecosistema**. Infatti, come abbiamo detto, l'azienda veramente innovatrice sarà quella che riesce a creare servizi innovativi gestendo al meglio risorse interne e esterne.

Perciò quale parola è più adatta di questo termine legato alla biologia?

Parlando di innovazione, infatti, **un ecosistema** è in pratica un **polo in grado di concentrare al suo interno "realità e individui ad alto potenziale innovativo"**.

Articoli per Argomento

- Aumentare la motivazione in azienda e ripartire con energia
- Le attività HR da pianificare ora per il rientro dalle vacanze
- Pausa pranzo alternativa? Utilizza il buono pasto negli agriturismi!
- Vacanze a casa? Gli spunti per organizzare il tempo libero al meglio
- welfare aziendale (46)
- benessere organizzativo (42)
- buoni pasto (35)
- motivazione del personale (35)
- aumentare la produttività (27)

Vedi tutti



1. Caratteristiche principali

FAIR DATA



The screenshot shows a web browser window displaying the "Biblioteche di Ateneo" website of the Politecnico di Torino. The page is titled "COME RENDERE I PROPRI DATI FAIR" and provides information on how to make research data FAIR (Findable, Accessible, Interoperable, Reusable).

INFO GENERALI

- INFO PER GLI AUTORI
- HORIZON 2020
 - L'Open Access in Horizon 2020
 - Come ottemperare alle richieste relative all'open access
 - I dati associati alle pubblicazioni scientifiche
 - Come rendere i propri dati FAIR**
 - Il Data Management Plan (DMP)
 - EOSC European Open Science Cloud
 - Dal 2020: Plan S
 - In Italia
 - Contatti

COME RENDERE I PROPRI DATI FAIR

I dati devono essere FAIR...

- Findable:** i dati devono essere rintracciabili nella loro interezza (DOI...)
- Accessible:** depositare i dati e i metadati associati in repository che sostengono l'accesso aperto
- Interoperable:** assicurare l'interoperabilità dei dati e dei metadati utilizzando formati e protocolli di scambio possibilmente open source
- Reusable:** agevolare il riutilizzo dei dati scegliendo una licenza aperta

[Guiding Principles for Findable, Accessible, Interoperable and Re-Usable Data](#)

[Checklist per verificare che i propri dati siano FAIR](#)

[FAIR Data Action Plan Interim recommendations and actions from the European Commission Expert Group on FAIR data – June 2018](#)

Sul sito del progetto GO FAIR è possibile trovare una [descrizione approfondita](#), con le relative spiegazioni, per ogni lettera dell'acronimo FAIR

[Data Management Expert Guide](#): guida pensata per i ricercatori delle scienze sociali ma utile per tutti. Comprende tutto il ciclo di vita dei dati

[MANTRA Research Data Management training](#): corso gratuito online per chi deve gestire i dati nel proprio progetto di ricerca

Report of the Commission FAIR Data Expert Group (FAIR Data EG): [Turning FAIR into reality](#) - 26th November 2018

Contributo sul rapporto fra cura dei dati, dati FAIR e dati Open (2019): [Three camps, one destination: the intersections of research data management, FAIR and Open](#)

Politecnico di Torino

Mapa del sito Privacy

f t

Questo sito utilizza cookie di terze parti: continuando nella navigazione, acconsenti all'utilizzo dei cookie. Per maggiori informazioni consulta [l'informativa](#) OK

<https://www.eosc-portal.eu>


1. Caratteristiche principali

EOSC

è una piattaforma cloud, liberamente accessibile online, in cui è possibile consultare i dati prodotti dalle ricerche scientifiche dei ricercatori europei

Il progetto, su iniziativa della commissione europea di promuovere l'open science, è iniziato nel 2015 con l'obiettivo di completarne lo sviluppo entro il 2020

L'EOSC è stato finanziato dal programma Horizon 2020





Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale
del Lazio e della Toscana *M. Aleandri*

Open science è un'opportunità





Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale
del Lazio e della Toscana *M. Aleandri*

1. Caratteristiche principali

QUIZ: La scienza aperta è importante per un ricercatore?

The screenshot shows a web browser window with an edX quiz interface. The browser's address bar shows the URL: <https://courses.edx.org/courses/course-v1:DelftX+OS101x+2T2019/courseware/f2ef7e56e79741d0953570d274a39eb8/77>. The page title is "Quiz week 1 | 1.4 Quiz week 1".

Question 1
0.0/1.0 point (graded)

What are the most important aspects of Open Science relevant to researchers as mentioned in the lectures in week 1?

Tick boxes

- ☐ Open Data
- ☐ Open Education
- ☐ Open Access
- ☐ Open Source-Software

You have used 2 of 2 attempts [Show Answer](#)

Question 2
0.0/1.0 point (graded)


'FAIR' is an acronym that describes the usefulness of open data. What does 'F' in the acronym stand for?

You have used 0 of 2 attempts [Save](#)

Question 3
0.0/1.0 point (graded)

1. Caratteristiche principali

QUIZ: La scienza aperta è importante per un ricercatore?



Quiz week 1

[Bookmark this page](#)

This is the graded quiz about the video's of week 1. You can try each question two times.

Question 1

0/1 point (graded)

What are the most important aspects of Open Science relevant to researchers as mentioned in the lectures in week 1?

Tick boxes

- ☒ Open Data ✓
- ☐ Open Education
- ☒ Open Access ✓
- ☒ Open Source-Software

Explanation

Even though Open Education and Open-Source Software are important aspects of Open Science, not every researcher is directly involved in education. Similarly, not every researcher requires access to Open-Source Software. The vast majority of researchers require access to literature, so open access publishing is a vital part of sharing research. The same is true for Open data, since generally all research generates some form of research data.

Submit You have used 2 of 2 attempts

Show Answer

Answers are displayed within the problem



Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale
del Lazio e della Toscana *M. Aleandri*

1. Caratteristiche principali

QUIZ 2: La scienza aperta è importante per un ricercatore?

Through the golden road you can publish your paper open access and readers will never have to pay to gain access to it.

Through the golden road you can only publish in a full open access journal.

Submit You have used 0 of 2 attempts Save

Question 4

0.0/1.0 point (graded)

How does being an open researcher directly benefit your personal scientific career?

Tick boxes

Your open access publications are typically cited more often, increasing your impact.

You enable others to perform replication and reproduction studies of your work.

By publishing open access, your work can reach other people than just your fellow researchers in your field.

You can more easily meet the requirements of a journal you would like to publish in.

Submit You have used 0 of 2 attempts Save


Question 5

0.0/1.0 point (graded)

Who usually owns the copyright on a research publication in a subscription-based journal?

1. Caratteristiche principali

QUIZ 2: La scienza aperta è importante per un ricercatore?



The screenshot shows a web browser window with an EdX quiz interface. The URL is <https://courses.edx.org/courses/course-v1:DelftX+OS101x+2T2019/courseware/f2ef7e56e79741d0953570d274a39eb8/77>. The quiz is titled "Quiz week 1 | 1.4 Quiz week 1". The question is "Question 4" with a value of "0/1 point (graded)". The question text is "How does being an open researcher directly benefit your personal scientific career?". Below the question are four tick boxes, each with a green checkmark indicating it is a correct answer:

- ☒ Your open access publications are typically cited more often, increasing your impact. ✓
- ☐ You enable others to perform replication and reproduction studies of your work. ✓
- ☒ By publishing open access, your work can reach other people than just your fellow researchers in your field. ✓
- ☐ You can more easily meet the requirements of a journal you would like to publish in. ✓

Below the tick boxes is an "Explanation" section:

Explanation
There are several reports that prove the existence of an open access citation advantage. So publishing open access does increase your citation rate.
By allowing others to perform replication and reproduction studies, you practice good science and improve the scientific system as a whole. This does not necessarily influence your personal career directly though.
Many universities and other research institutes pay large sums to publishers to provide access to journal publications to their staff. People who do not work at such institutes typically do not have the required funds to finance this access themselves. Having access to open publications circumvents this problem.
More and more journals require researchers to publish their data openly when they publish their research work. By making sure that you can safely and responsibly share your data, it will be easier for you to meet such journal requirements.

At the bottom of the quiz interface, there is a "Submit" button and a "Show Answer" button. The status bar at the bottom of the browser window shows the time as 15:15 and the date as 13/08/2019.



Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale
del Lazio e della Toscana M. Aleandri

2. fugare i dubbi su *copyright*, *peer review*, modello economico

Processo per pubblicare

Submission > Peer review

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=DWzKI4WhSPQ&ab_channel=AmericanChemicalSociety

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=m8gaq7ei7zc&ab_channel=CarletonUniversityLibrary

Acceptance/rejection > Publication

non c'è compenso economico... nessun profitto

Ritorno atteso: reputazione, citazioni, disseminazione





Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale
del Lazio e della Toscana M. Aleandri

6.3: The Submission Process - 1 X john bohanan - Cerca con Google X wary in italiano - Cerca con Google X Predatory Publishing - Open A X Predatory publishers are coming X

https://www.coursera.org/learn/sciwrite/lecture/CZADR/6-3-the-submission-process

Più visitati http://www.preview.p... Come iniziare Pew Research Center Pew Research Center's... Pew Research Center's... Library Connect SBM W Wikipedia:Risorse di L... The Scholarly Kitchen ACG Enterprise

coursera Explore What do you want to learn? dedan butlerpredatory patrizia

Writing in the Sciences Week 6 6.3: The Submission Process Prev Next

Unit 6

- Video: 6.1: Plagiarism 17 min
- Video: 6.2: Authorship 10 min
- Video: 6.3: The Submission Process 11 min**
- Video: 6.4: Interview with Dr. Bradley Efron 14 min
- Video: 6.5: Interview with Dr. George Lundberg 27 min
- Video: 6.6: Interview with Dr. Gary Friedman 22 min
- Video: 6.7: Doing a peer review 13 min
- Video: 6.8: Predatory journals 8 min
- Quiz: Unit 6 Homework 5 questions
- Video: Demo Edit 6 (Optional) 17 min

Assignment

6.3: The Submission Process

Submission process

4. Possible outcomes: accepted; accepted pending minor revisions; rejected but re-submission possible; no resubmission possible

2:36 / 11:43

Save Note Discuss Download

English Help Us Translate

0:02 In this next module, I'm going to give you an overview of the submission process. In the three modules that follow, I will interview longtime journal editors who will give you more information about the publication process. So, I'll just set it up for you here and then you can listen to them for more advice and tips. So, how does the submission process work? The first thing you should do, even before you start writing your manuscript, you should identify the journal where you're

Notes All notes

Click the "Save Note" button when you want to capture a screen. You can also highlight and save lines from the transcript below. Add your own notes to anything you've captured.

12:56 13/08/2019



Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale
del Lazio e della Toscana M. Aleandri

2. fugare i dubbi su *copyright*, *peer review*, modello economico


Processo per pubblicare

Submission > Peer review

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rOCQZ7QnoN0>

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=O3lkm8LsgoU>

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=DWzKI4WhSPQ>



https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=GlSCMx9-fGA&ab_channel=umnWritingStudies

Acceptance/rejection > Publication

non c'è compenso economico... nessun profitto

Ritorno atteso: reputazione, citazioni, disseminazione



STEP 3 PEER REVIEWERS READ THE MANUSCRIPT:

PURPOSE

SCOPE

THESIS

OUTCOME

Riproduci (k)

and outcome and ask questions such as is the
topic worth investigating?

1:37 / 3:25

What is a peer reviewed journal article?

11.353 visualizzazioni • 31 lug 2019

130

2

CONDIVIDI

SALVA

...

Carleton University Library



How To Write A Literature Review In 3 Simple Steps (FRE...
Grad Coach
455.661 visualizzazioni • 1 anno fa



What is a journal and a peer reviewed article?
NEIU Libraries
1310 visualizzazioni • 8 mesi fa



How to Write a Paper in a Weekend (By Prof. Pete Carr)
Surviving and Thriving in Higher E...
1,2 Mln di visualizzazioni • 4 anni fa



Nursing Research - How to Critique an Article
Patrick Heyman
16.889 visualizzazioni • 1 anno fa



How Do I Know if Articles Are Scholarly or Peer-Reviewed?...
Concordia University Library
1917 visualizzazioni • 1 anno fa



What is an academic journal?
University of Worcester Library S...
2055 visualizzazioni • 4 anni fa



LECTURE: Introduction to Epithelial & Connective Tissues





IS THE TOPIC WORTH INVESTIGATING?

ARE RELEVANT SOURCES BEING USED?

DOES THE EVIDENCE SUPPORT THE THESIS?

IS THE THESIS CLEARLY AND CONVINCINGLY ARGUED?

IS THE WORK ORIGINAL?

Riproduci (k)

Is the work original?

1:52 / 3:25

What is a peer reviewed journal article?

11.353 visualizzazioni • 31 lug 2019

130

2

CONDIVIDI

SALVA

...



Carleton University Library



How To Write An A-Grade Literature Review (with examples)

40:13

How To Write A Literature Review In 3 Simple Steps (FRE...
Grad Coach
455.661 visualizzazioni • 1 anno fa



What is a journal and a peer reviewed article?
NEIU Libraries
1310 visualizzazioni • 8 mesi fa



How to Write a Paper in a Weekend (By Prof. Pete Carr)
Surviving and Thriving in Higher E...
1,2 Min di visualizzazioni • 4 anni fa



Nursing Research - How to Critique an Article
Patrick Heyman
16.889 visualizzazioni • 1 anno fa



How Do I Know if Articles Are Scholarly or Peer-Reviewed?...
Concordia University Library
1917 visualizzazioni • 1 anno fa



What is an academic journal?
University of Worcester Library S...
2055 visualizzazioni • 4 anni fa



LECTURE: Introduction to Epithelial & Connective Tissues



Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale
del Lazio e della Toscana M. Aleandri

Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale x Zimbra: PIANO FORMATIVO AZIE x Posta in arrivo (356) - gradito.pat x Free Music Archive x Peer Review: Commenting Strategies x +

youtube.com/watch?v=GISCMx9-fGA&ab_channel=umnWritingStudies

App YouTube Google Nicola Gmail YouTube Maps Gruppo di lavoro Bi... Microsoft Word - C...



YouTube IT

Cerca



Strategy: Focus on local aspects next.

Local aspects address sentence-level items.

Punctuation

Studies show that stress is decreased in dog owners, not increased. This is because owners are more likely to exercise that lowers blood pressure and also puts people in better moods.

Spelling

Word Usage

Riproduci (k)

2:09 / 5:04

Peer Review: Commenting Strategies

72.171 visualizzazioni • 7 giu 2013



223



18



CONDIVIDI



SALVA



umnWritingStudies


CONDIVIDI



The Peer Review Process

American Chemical Society

18.092 visualizzazioni • 2 anni fa



How to Review a Research Paper

Patricia Morton

143.829 visualizzazioni • 5 anni fa



How to Write Effective Peer Review Comments

Odyssey Learning Project

1738 visualizzazioni • 6 mesi fa



Feedback and peer review

Akademiskt skrivande/Academic...

36.180 visualizzazioni • 4 anni fa



Effective feedback & peer review in academic writing

Nick Hopwood

21.338 visualizzazioni • 6 anni fa



Word: Track Changes and Comments

GCFLearnFree.org

605.228 visualizzazioni • 5 anni fa



NIH Peer Review Briefing for Basic Research Applicants and Reviewers



Scrivi qui per eseguire la ricerca



12.01
09/03/2021

Processo per pubblicare



Acceptance/rejection > Publication

non c'è compenso economico... Nessun profitto

Ritorno atteso: reputazione, citazioni, disseminazione




Review - Ten Hot Topics around Scholarly Publishing

hot topics- 2019.pdf - Adobe Acrobat Reader DC

File Modifica Vista Finestra ?

Home Strumenti hot topics- 2019.pdf x

and go through several rounds of revision and resubmission before final publication ([12], see Figure 1). During this time, the same work will have been extensively discussed with external collaborators, presented at conferences, and been read by editors and reviewers in related areas of research. Yet, there is no official open record of that process (e.g., peer reviewers are normally anonymous, reports remain largely unpublished), and if an identical or very similar paper were to be published while the original was still under review, it would be impossible to establish provenance.



A scientist manuscript journal editor peer reviewers community

B scientist manuscript preprint server priority of discovery journal editor peer reviewers community

Figure 1. (A) Traditional peer review publishing workflow. (B) Preprint submission establishing priority of discovery.

Condividi

Cerca 'Ruotare la pagina'

Esporta PDF

Adobe Export PDF
Converti file PDF in Word o Excel online

Selezionare il file PDF
hot topics- 2019.pdf

Converti in
Microsoft Word (*.docx)

Lingua documento:
Italiano Cambia

Converti

Crea PDF

Modifica PDF

Commento

Combinare i file

Organizza pagine

Redigere

Converti e modifica i PDF con Acrobat Pro DC
Attiva versione di prova

08:13 17/09/2019




Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale
del Lazio e della Toscana *M. Aleandri*



**Ritorno atteso: reputazione,
citazioni, disseminazione**



Paradossi della pubblicazione scientifica... oggi



We spend 1/3 of the total global research budget (~£59/175bn) on publishing & communicating results that 99% of people cannot access.

Jon Tennant, *Barriers for Young Researchers*, 7 Sept 2017

The smartest business model ever. Have all of your products and services performed for free by researchers, and then sell it back to them with an unholy markup. Try describing the model to a non-researcher, and they mock us for falling for it.

<https://twitter.com/Protohedgehog/status/985439318897410048>

Steven Salzberg @StevenSalzberg1
Nature and other Springer journals make all of their money from free labor provided by scientists, who write all the papers and do all of the peer review. And now they are cashing in: "Springer Nature aims to raise 1.2 billion euros in new money in IPO" reut.rs/2qqhp93

Category	Value	Percentage
Total Academic Library Spend	9,039	(100%)
Open Access Revenues	4,351	(62%)
Total A&S Content	4,351	(62%)

... paghiamo gli editori commerciali perché mettano sotto chiave il nostro contenuto...

For researchers, it's like going to a restaurant, bringing all of your own ingredients, cooking the meal yourself, and then being charged \$40 for a waiter to bring it out on a plate for you.

Place a Jon Tennant e Bastian Greshake Tzovaras

Matthew Hanks @mc_hanks · 14 ago
REVIEWERS: The next time you get a reminder from an Elsevier journal about a late review, simply cut and paste the response below

2. fugare i dubbi su *copyright*, *peer review*, modello economico

... è «comunicazione»

The Future Of Academic Publishing Beyond Sci-Hub

G. Geltner

If you have a pressing need to read an academic paper that's hiding the quickest course of action may well be to use Sci-Hub. Less myopically, perhaps, you should also ask the paper's authors why they continue to cooperate with those for-profit publishers whose high prices have made breaking the law your path of least resistance (ignorance, careerism, apathy, lack of alternatives?). You may also want to inquire with your local government or university how much they spend a year subscribing to journals that contain their own tax-paying citizens' and salaried employees' research (millions), how these terms were negotiated (in secret, sometimes at the publishers' insistence), what impact that has on the free exchange of ideas (devastating), and how that impacts the environment (hardly).

How did we get and universities desktop publishing rendered some

...chiudere i risultati della ricerca non solo è anti-accademico ma inasprisce le disuguaglianze. Chiedete ai vostri amministratori

- quanto viene speso in abbonamenti
- come vengono negoziati [in segreto]
- che impatto causano allo scambio di idee
- se è il modo giusto per spendere fondi pubblici

also reinforces social and global inequalities, with devastating consequences to scientists and the public at large. The tiny club benefiting from huge subscription and processing fees has created, sometimes with the willing consent of academics, a situation whereby universities and governments are buying access to their own scholars' work (including in the form of peer review and editorship) at prices even Harvard can't afford.

regional inertia, they academic research. publishing, including increasingly higher

we shielded and even embargoing the results anti-academic, it

<https://goo.gl/PbYlMM>

2. fugare i dubbi su *copyright*, *peer review*, modello economico

Costo degli abbonamenti

The screenshot shows a presentation slide titled "Accesso? Higher Education Network". The main headline on the slide is "Scientists should be solving problems, not struggling to access journals" by Benjamin Kaube, dated May 21, 2018. The text below the headline states: "It takes an average of 15 clicks for a researcher to find and access a journal article. This time could be much better spent".


Below the article, there is a tweet from Joanne Kamens (@JKamens) dated January 4, 2018, which reads: "and btw the 'everyone who needs it has access' is completely wrong. I have worked in small biotechs for the last 10 years and hit frustrating paywalls EVERY DAY trying to do good science." The tweet is a reply to @jasonpriem and @unpaywall.

To the right of the tweet, there is a document from Harvard University titled "THE HARVARD LIBRARY Faculty Advisory Council Memorandum on Journal Pricing". The document, dated April 11, 2012, discusses the challenges of journal pricing and states that "Major Periodical Subscriptions Cannot Be Sustained".

Overlaid on the right side of the slide are several text boxes containing the following text: "ters, Elsevier, posizione", "e", "azioni", "nucleare", "erano chiuse dietro", "abbonamenti a riviste che nemmeno Harvard", and "può più permettersi...".

Da "Open Science: what's in it for me?" Elena Giglia, Università di Torino

**Ritorno atteso:
reputazione,
citazioni,
disseminazione
?**



paradosso



**Ritorno atteso:
reputazione,
citazioni,
disseminazione
?**

**The traditional system
of scholarly communication
is outmoded, expensive,
and suboptimal.**

And exploitative, too!

“Open Access crash course”, su slideshare

Jill Cirasella, Associate Librarian for Public Services and Scholarly Communication at The Graduate Center, CUNY



What is the difference between “Open Science” and **good science**?



Export ▾

Journal of Business Research
Volume 88, July 2018, Pages 428-436

Open Science now: A systematic literature review for an
integrated definition

Ruben Vicente-Saez , Clara Martinez-Fuentes 

<https://genomebiology.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s13059-015-0669-2>

<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0148296317305441>

“Open Science is transparent
and accessible knowledge that
is shared and developed
through collaborative
networks.”

Comment | [Open Access](#)

When will 'open science' become simply 'science'?


Mick Watson 

Genome Biology 2015 16:101

<https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-015-0669-2> | © Watson; licensee BioMed Central. 2015

Published: 19 May 2015

“Open science describes the practice
of carrying out scientific research in a
completely transparent manner, and
making the results of that research
available to everyone. **Isn't that just
'science'?**”



Sci-hub: pirateria?

Sci-Hub è un archivio online gratuito la cui legalità è controversa. Il sito contiene, nel 2018, oltre 69 milioni di articoli scientifici, fondato il 5 settembre 2011 da Alexandra Elbakyan, una sviluppatrice software e ricercatrice sulle nanotecnologie del Kazakistan




Da "Open Science: what's in it for me?" Elena Giglia, Università di Torino

2. fugare i dubbi su *copyright*, *peer review*, modello economico


<https://www.universityofcalifornia.edu/press-room/uc-terminates-subscriptions-worlds-largest-scientific-publisher-push-open-access-publicly>

“Knowledge should not be accessible only to those who can pay,” said Robert May, chair of UC’s faculty Academic Senate. “The quest for full open access is essential if we are to truly uphold the mission of this university.” The Academic Senate issued a statement today endorsing UC’s position.



Elsevier is the largest scholarly publisher in the world, disseminating about **18 percent of journal articles produced by UC faculty**. The transformative model that UC faculty and libraries are championing would make it easier and more affordable for UC authors to publish in an open access environment





S need journals in which to publish their research, so they will supply the articles without monetary reward. Other scientists perform the skilled and specialised work of peer review **also for free**, because it is a central element in the acquisition of status and the production of scientific knowledge.

With the content of papers secured for free, the publisher needs only find a market for its journal. Until this century, university libraries were not very price sensitive. Since academic careers depend on publication, the demand for scientific publications is unbounded except by the price that scholarly libraries can be forced to pay. Scientific publishers routinely report profit margins approaching 40% on their operations, at a time when the rest of the publishing industry is in an existential crisis.

...Elsevier ha uno spiccato senso dell'umorismo

Open Science
Elsevier partners with the research community to empower open science.

[Open access](#) [Research data](#) [Science and Society](#) [Position statements](#) [UK Partnership](#)

Working together, we can achieve a more inclusive, collaborative and transparent world of research. We believe open science can benefit research and society and drive research performance.

Open Science
Empowering Knowledge™

Elsevier partners with the research community to empower open science. Working together, we can achieve a more inclusive, collaborative and transparent world of research. We believe open science can benefit research and society and drive research performance.



The reading hall at the central library of the Humboldt University in Berlin. ANDREAS LEVERS/Flickr (CC BY-NC-SA 2.0)

German researchers resign from Elsevier journals in push for nationwide open access

By Gretchen Vogel | Oct. 13, 2017, 3:30 PM

“One big publisher stated: if your country stops subscribing to our journals, science in your country will be set back significantly.

I responded [...]

it is interesting to hear such a threat from a producer of envelopes who does not have any idea of the

€£\$€¥|€R



Martin Grötschel,
President of the Berlin-Brandenburg
Academy of Sciences and Humanities

HERO



@protohedge

2. fugare i dubbi su *copyright*, *peer review*, modello economico



Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale
del Lazio e della Toscana M. Aleandri

Da “Open Science: what’s in it for me?” Elena Giglia, Università di Torino

...funziona?

...tempi di pubblicazione? 9-18 mesi

Leaderboard

...crescente numero di ritrattazioni per dati falsificati o fabbricati

1. Yoshitaka Fujii (total retractions: 183) See also: [Final report of investigating committee, our reporting, additional coverage](#)
2. Joachim Boldt (96) See also: [Editors-in-chief statement, our coverage](#)
3. Diederik Stapel (58) See also: [our coverage](#)
4. Adrian Maxim (48) See also: [our coverage](#)
5. Chen-Yuan (Peter) Chen (43) See also: [SAGE, our coverage](#)
6. Hua Zhong (41) See also: [journal notice](#)
7. Shigeaki Kato (39) See also: [our coverage](#)
8. Yuhji Saitoh (37) See also: [our coverage](#)

1,500 scientists lift the lid on reproducibility

...crisi della riproducibilità

...autocitazioni +179%

...nelle riviste più «prestigiose»

March 2018

Retraction Index

Impact Factor

NEJM

Lancet

Nature

Cell

Science

J Exp Med

EMBO J

PNAS

J Immunol

IAT

Fang, Casadevall 2011

March 2018

Gaming the system: When in 2010 Italian universities incorporated citations in promotion decisions, self-citation rates among social scientists went up by 81-179%

sciedirect.com/science/articl...

Cut-throat academia leads to 'natural selection of bad science', claims study

Da “Open Science: what’s in it for me?” Elena Giglia, Università di Torino

2. fugare i dubbi su *copyright*, *peer review*, modello economico

Nuovo messaggio x Presentazione standard di PowerPoi x

https://library.area.pi.cnr.it/images/pdf/CorsoPisa/Giglia_Modulo_1.pdf

... e la valutazione? «Ossessione»

“Not only are we failing to provide the right incentives, we are actually providing perverse ones.”

As long as journal impact factors retain some role in the career development, journals should publish the distribution of their citations. The participants strongly supported the adoption of the San Francisco Declaration on Research Assessment (DORA) by public

There was a call

ROARS 28 marzo 2018
Return On Academic Research

Impact or perish. L'ossessione per l'impatto delle pubblicazioni scientifiche genera frodi e condotte abusive

Goodhart's Law: “when a measure becomes a target, it ceases to be a good measure.”

Metrics are subject to manipulation, so we should look carefully not only at the number that number purports to measure

“People game the system at every level and this risks the loss of valuable research in favour of fashionable research.”

THE ROYAL SOCIETY
The future of scholarly scientific communication
https://royalsocietypublishing.org/doi/10.1098/rsos.180000

Journal: CURRENT BIOLOGY

Mark	Journal Title	ISSN	Total Cites	Impact Factor	Immediacy Index	Citable Items	Cited Half-life	Citing Half-life
1	CURR BIOL	0960-9822	20020	2.007	2.713	343	3.5	3.7

Journal Impact Factor

Cites in 2002 to items published in: 2001 = 3314
2000 = 911
Sum: 7221
Calculation: Cites to report items / Number of report items = 7221 / 3600 = 2.006

Journal: CURRENT BIOLOGY

Mark	Journal Title	ISSN	Total Cites	Impact Factor	Immediacy Index	Citable Items	Cited Half-life	Citing Half-life
1	CURR BIOL	0960-9822	22089	11.519	2.853	331	3.8	4.0

Journal Impact Factor

Cites in 2003 to items published in: 2001 = 3314
2000 = 911
Sum: 7221
Calculation: Cites to report items / Number of report items = 7221 / 3600 = 2.006

Times Chosen in Survey Shared Widely

Times Chosen in Survey Most Significant

Times Chosen in Survey Most Cited

Response Frequency

Citations (2013)

Citations (2013)

Citations (2013)

Times Chosen in Survey h-index

Times Chosen in Survey Shared: Chemists

May 14, 2018

Da “Open Science: what’s in it for me?” Elena Giglia, Università di Torino

<https://www.roars.it/online/cacciatori-di-frodi-cattive-pratiche-scientifiche-e-valutazione-della-ricerca/>

Enrico Bucci in *Cattivi Scienziati*, 2015

triade FFP

- fabbricazione di articoli basati su dati falsi/inventati
- falsificazione/manipolazione dolosa dei dati
- falsificazione/plagio/auto-plagio, moltiplicare gli articoli sullo stesso esperimento

« (...) una modalità che di **dialettico** e di **costruttivo** ha scarsa connotazione se non quello di aggrovigliarsi su sé stessa senza possibilità alcuna di rendere il mondo, e in particolare il mondo della ricerca, **libero** da interessi che di **scientifico** hanno ben poco.»

Silvia Molinari, Formazione&Informazione, IRCCS Fondazione Mondino, Pavia (per il Comitato di Gestione Biblosan)

Cesare Peccarisi, Accademia Linpe-DISMOV La Sapienza, Roma





Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale
del Lazio e della Toscana M. Aleandri

2. fugare i dubbi su *copyright*, *peer review*, **modello economico**

<https://www.addeditore.it/catalogo/enrico-bucci-cattivi-scientiati/>

Per leggere un estratto



La frode scientifica più antica è il comportamento di *hoaxing*: “consiste nel raccontare storie e inventare fatti non reali (hoax) per supportare qualcosa di inesistente” (p. 32). La forma di **adulterazione** più eclatante è il *forging*: i ricercatori in questo caso arrivano fino al punto di **produrre dei dati riferiti a osservazioni mai condotte**


La forma di frode più artistica è il *cooking*: lo studioso che **cucina i risultati** sceglie “fra cento misure le quindici o venti che più gli aggradano, trova l’accordo con una teoria predefinita, oppure cercando fra i lavori altrui – cui ad arte con risparmio lodi – seleziona un po’ qui e un po’ là, fino a ottenere valori **tali da poter dimostrare i propri dati**” (p. 35)

La forma più lieve di alterazione degli studi scientifici è il *trimming*: “**elimina porzioni di informazioni** che sarebbero utili in tutti quei casi in cui vogliamo sapere non solo il valore medio di una misura, ma anche il suo ambito di variazione... persino quando non alterano il risultato finale” (p. 35). Negli studi di valutazione dei farmaci queste variazioni sono importantissime, perché i valori estremi possono comportare delle reazioni gravi o mortali in una popolazione umana



«When it's done with **INTEGRITY**, OA publishing is fantastic: The PloS (Public Library of Science) journals are a shining example. Look at **John Bohannon's** case study:»

<https://science.sciencemag.org/content/342/6154/60>



6.8: Predatory journals - Stanfo X Writing in the Sciences - Home X john bohanan - Cerca con Google X

https://www.coursera.org/learn/sciwrite/lecture/RObTP/6-8-predatory-journals

What do you want to learn?

Writing in the Sciences > Week 6 > 6.8: Predatory journals

Unit 6

- Video: 6.1: Plagiarism 17 min
- Video: 6.2: Authorship 10 min
- Video: 6.3: The Submission Process 11 min
- Video: 6.4: Interview with Dr. Bradley Efron 14 min
- Video: 6.5: Interview with Dr. George Lundberg 27 min
- Video: 6.6: Interview with Dr. Gary Friedman 22 min
- Video: 6.7: Doing a peer review 13 min
- Video: 6.8: Predatory journals 8 min

Quiz: Unit 6 Homework 5 questions

Video: Demo Edit 6 (Optional) 17 min

Assignment

researcher, Jeffrey Beall, a librarian at the University of Colorado, Denver, has been a leader in calling out this practice and keeping lists of bad publishers and bad journals. Another leader in exposing this whole problem is the journalist John Bohannon. He ran a sort of sting operation that he wrote about in Science in 2013. What he did was he submitted an obviously flawed bogus cancer paper. He describes it as he did a scientific version of Mad Libs so that he could come up with 255 slightly different versions of the same paper where he took molecule X from lichen species Y inhibits the growth of cancer cell Z. He created a whole bunch of different papers just substituting different molecules and species and cancer cells. And the papers had obvious flaws. Any scientist, no matter how schooled, should have been able to look at figure 1 and know that this paper was problematic. He submitted this to open-access journals, many of which he suspected to be predatory journals. And indeed, of 255 where he got a decision back, 157 of those journals accepted that paper. It was only rejected by 98. I'll note that PLOS ONE was one of the journals that correctly rejected the paper. In fact, 82 percent of the publishers on Jeffrey Beall's list actually accepted that paper. So, John Bohannon was able to show that there is this huge suite out there of predatory journals and they are willing to accept anything for money. All evidence shows us that these predatory journals are greatly on the rise. There was a 2015 paper in BMC Medicine that tracked predatory journals from 2010 to 2014. You can see it's growing exponentially. Pay attention to that red line on top. That's the total number of predatory journals. They estimated that in 2014, there were about 8,000 active predatory journals. In 2010, these journals published about 53,000 articles. That was up to 420,000 articles published in these predatory journals in 2014. These are probably all or most of poor or garbage quality. Authors paid an average article processing charge of US\$178 per article, so it's a money-making scam. That same paper, they also share that this affects all scientific disciplines. You can see in this graph here that no scientific discipline was safe from this practice. I like this checklist that Declan Butler published in 2013 in Nature. He published this checklist to help you vet journals, to make sure that you're being careful to not submit to one of these predatory journals. So he says, check that the publisher provides full, verifiable contact information. You want the full address of the publisher to be available. You also want to check that the journal's editorial board lists actual experts with full affiliations, not just made-up people. You might even want to contact them and ask them about their experience with the publisher because there are known instances when people's names have been borrowed and just stuck on these websites without the person's

Notes (1)

0:10 - 0:19

If you're publishing in the scientific literature, you need to be aware of the problem of predatory open-access journals.

12:32 13/08/2019



Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale
del Lazio e della Toscana M. Aleandri

2. fugare i dubbi su *copyright*, *peer review*, modello economico

open access - Cerca con Google X Open Access Scholarly Publish X cattivi scienziati - Cerca con Google X Cacciatori di frodi. Cattive prat X

https://www.roars.it/online/cacciatori-di-frodi-cattive-pratiche-scientifiche-e-valutazione-della-ricerca/

Più visitati http://www.preview.p... Come iniziare Pew Research Center Pew Research Center's... Pew Research Center's... Library Connect SBM W Wikipedia:Risorse di i... The Scholarly Kitchen ACG Enterprise

pratiche quali il *salami slicing*, l'attribuzione impropria di articoli ad autori fantasma o la manipolazione delle citazioni.

Nell'intervento al convegno Biblosan (Ministero della Salute, 1 dicembre 2017), Alberto Baccini discute la connessione tra cattive pratiche scientifiche e meccanismi di valutazione massiva della ricerca, con riferimenti specifici all'Abilitazione Scientifica Nazionale e alla VQR. Viene citato anche un testimone d'eccezione che dichiara di aver visto di tutto, anche la "pulizia etnica" in alcuni settori disciplinari. Di seguito trovate il video e le slide della presentazione.

Cacciatori di frodi: Cattive pratiche scientifiche e valutazione della ricerca

Guarda più tardi Condividi

BIOCHEMIA MEDICA
http://www.biochemia-medica.com/

This Article Aims and Scope Instructions for Authors e-Submission

Biochem Med (Zagreb). 2013 Oct; 23(3): 237-241.
Published online 2013 Oct 15. doi: [10.11613/BM.2013.030](https://doi.org/10.11613/BM.2013.030) PMID: PMC3900084

Salami publication: definitions and examples
[Vesna Šupak Smolčić](#)

Salami publication can be roughly defined as a publication of two or more articles derived from a single study (2). Articles of such type report on data collected from a single study split into several segments just large enough to gain reasonable results and conclusions, also known as "minimal publishable unit" (3).

How to detect salami publication? Go to: ☺

There is no software application or algorithm for detection of salami publication. Identifying this type of publication misconduct is complex because salami publications do not often include text plagiarism so that manuscripts can easily evade strict software checking. Only under the rare circumstances of encountering both the original and the salami manuscript can some editors or reviewers suspect salami publication. Even

ALTRI VIDEO

▶ 🔊 13:51 / 37:39 YouTube

CACCIATORI DI FRODI

Diapositiva 14

16:10 12/08/2019





Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale
del Lazio e della Toscana M. Aleandri

2. fugare i dubbi su *copyright*, *peer review*, **modello economico**

<https://www.elsevier.com/connect/5-surprising-facts-about-elsevier-and-open-access>

The screenshot shows a web browser window displaying an article on the Elsevier Connect platform. The article title is "5 surprising facts about Elsevier and open access". The byline is "By Gemma Hersh June 13, 2017". The article text states: "As the world's 2nd largest gold Open Access publisher – and with thousands of articles green OA enabled – we continue to meet researchers' needs however they choose to publish". Below the text, there is a large orange graphic with a lightbulb in the center. The lightbulb has the text "THE ANSWERS ARE ILLUMINATING!" inside it. To the left of the lightbulb, the text "5 surprising facts about Elsevier and open access" is written. Below the lightbulb, there are two fact boxes. Fact #1: Elsevier Publishes Open Access. It states: "In 2016 we published over 25,000 open access articles making us the 2nd largest open access publisher in 2017. In fact we: Publish 170 open access journals and over 1850 hybrid journals". Fact #4: Elsevier supports green open access. At the bottom of the article, there are social media sharing icons (Facebook, Twitter, LinkedIn, Email) and a "Comments" section. There is also a "Subscribe to Elsevier Connect" button. The browser's address bar shows the URL: "https://www.elsevier.com/connect/5-surprising-facts-about-elsevier-and-open-access". The browser's search bar contains the text "not all that count einstein". The browser's tabs show "open access - Cerca con Google", "5 surprising facts about Elsevier", "Scienza aperta e dati della ricer...", and "h2020-hi-qa-data-mgt_en.pdf". The browser's taskbar shows various application icons (File Explorer, Edge, Chrome, etc.).

5 surprising facts about Elsevier and open access

As the world's 2nd largest gold Open Access publisher – and with thousands of articles green OA enabled – we continue to meet researchers' needs however they choose to publish

By Gemma Hersh June 13, 2017

THE ANSWERS ARE ILLUMINATING!

Fact #1: Elsevier Publishes Open Access

In 2016 we published over **25,000** open access articles making us the 2nd largest open access publisher in 2017. In fact we:

Publish **170** open access journals and over **1850** hybrid journals

Fact #4: Elsevier supports green open access

Subscribe to Elsevier Connect >





Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale
del Lazio e della Toscana M. Aleandri

2. fugare i dubbi su *copyright*, *peer review*, modello economico

https://www.elsevier.com/_data/assets/pdf_file/0008/880973/Elsevier_Supporting_Open_Access.pdf

not all that count einstein

Zoom automatico


Open Access

There is growing demand for open access and we are working hard to meet that option for researchers. Here are 10 ways in which we do that.

- #1 Over 85% of our journals offer the option to **publish open access** and make an article permanently available, immediately, at a **price below industry average** while delivering above-average quality. **More than 85%**
- #2 All of our journals offer a free, **green open access** option. Every year, we actively make over **45,000** subscription articles available green open access - more than any other publisher. **100%**
- #3 We are investing in capacity-building to remain one of the **fastest-growing open access publishers**. In 2018 we published **26%** more open access articles than the previous year.
- #4 Over **70%** of the journals we launched in the past three years are fully open access, bringing the total to over **250** gold OA journals. These sit alongside 1900 hybrid journals that already offer the option to publish open access. **More than 70%**
- #5 We continue to **evolve, explore and create** new OA options to fit our authors' needs, including experimenting with **mirror journals** and different article formats such as methods and software.
- #6 We make **open archives** available for **130** journals, including Cell Press titles after 12 months. **130 Journals**
- #7 We work closely with funders to...
- #8 We make it easy for funders to...

11:45 12/08/2019





The screenshot shows the Elsevier website's 'Pricing' page. The browser tabs include 'open access - Cerca con Google', '5 surprising facts about Elsevier', 'Pricing', 'Scienza aperta e dati della ricerca', and 'h2020-hi-oa-data-mgt_en.pdf'. The URL bar shows 'https://www.elsevier.com/about/policies/pricing'. The page header includes the Elsevier logo and navigation links: 'About Elsevier', 'Products & Solutions', 'Services', 'Shop & Discover', and a search bar. Below the header, there are tabs for 'Price setting', 'Publishing Charges', 'Subscription prices', and 'No double dipping'. The main content area is titled 'Article Publishing Charges (APCs)' and contains the following text:


Irrespective of the publishing model chosen by the author, our goal is to ensure articles are published as quickly as possible, subject to appropriate quality controls, and widely disseminated. Where an author has chosen to publish open access, which typically involves the upfront payment of an article publishing charge (APC), we will also make their article immediately and freely available upon publication on Science Direct, in perpetuity, with the author's chosen user license attached to it. Elsevier's APC prices are set on a per journal basis, fees range between c\$150 and c\$6000 US Dollars excluding tax, with prices clearly displayed on our [APC price list](#) and on journal homepages.

Adjustments in Elsevier's APC prices are under regular review and are subject to change. We set APC prices based on the following criteria which are applied to open access articles only:

- Journal quality;
- The journal's editorial and technical processes;
- Competitive considerations;
- Market conditions;
- Other revenue streams associated with the journal.

A small percentage of titles may also support more than one APC, for example when a journal supports one or more article types that require different APCs. Variations* in pricing will be clearly displayed on journal homepages.


Below the text is a blue button labeled 'Download APC prices (PDF) >'. At the bottom of the page, the word 'Waiving' is visible.




STRUMENTI ANTIPLAGIO

Did I Plagiarize?

The Types and Severity of Plagiarism Violations



Procedura IZSLT per pubblicazioni OA

Centro di costo
Codice ricerca
motivazione

PG-FOD-008-1-rev-1-modulo1 (Modalità di compatibilità) - Microsoft Word (Attivazione del prodotto non riuscita)

File Home Inserisci Layout di pagina Riferimenti Lettere Revisione Visualizza PDF

Times New Roman 10 A A

Carattere Paragrafo Stili

Spostamento

Cerca nel documento

Acquisto monografie

PG-FOD-008-1 rev. 2 p. 1 di 2

IZSL Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale
del Lazio e della Toscana M. Aleandri

Acquisto monografie

RICHIEDENTE: Paola Scaramozzino
STRUTTURA: Osservatorio epidemiologico
TEL.:
E-MAIL: paola.scaramozzino@izsl.it
FAX:
CENTRO DI COSTO COD. RICERCA* DIR. OES IZSLT
FIRMA RESPONSABILE STRUTTURA Paola Scaramozzino
DATA: 17/7/2019
*quando possibile

OGGETTO DELLA RICHIESTA

AUTORI: Paola Scaramozzino, Sabrina Battisti, Rossana Delmon, Marco Tambia, Giorgio Pedrini,
Alessandro Uboldi, Maria Cristina Abete, Giuseppe Ru
TITOLO: Animal bio-monitoring in environmental risk assessment: application of good practices
EDITORE: Springer
N° EDIZIONE:
ANNO DI PUBBLICAZIONE:
TECV:
COSTO (ANCHE PRESUNTO)*: 2490 Euro
NOTE:
Richiesta scolta per la completa concordanza tra lo scopo della rivista ed il topic dell'articolo. Si tratta inoltre
di un periodico con buoni indici bibliometrici (IF=1.959)

PG-FOD-008-1 rev. 2 p. 2 di 2

VERIFICA DEL RESPONSABILE DELLA STRUTTURA DOCUMENTAZIONE

DATA..... FIRMA.....

SPAZIO A DISPOSIZIONE DELLA BIBLIOTECA

Persona che cura il procedimento

Data richiesta preventivo

Data arrivo monografia.....

Numero di inventario collocazione:.....

Note:

Doppio clic per nascondere lo spazio vuoto

Pagina: 1 di 2 Parole: 135 Italiano (Italia)

10:33
12/09/2019





Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale
del Lazio e della Toscana M. Aleandri

263_2019 FORM.pdf - Adobe Acrobat Reader DC

File Modifica Vista Finestra ?

Home Strumenti AcceptedAuthorPu... 263_2019 FORM.pdf x

1 / 6 146%

**ISTITUTO ZOOPROFILATTICO SPERIMENTALE
LAZIO E TOSCANA M. ALEANDRI
ROMA**

DELIBERAZIONE DEL DIRETTORE GENERALE
²⁶³
n. del 06/05/2019

OGGETTO: Pubblicazione De Liberato, Magliano, Romiti, Menegon, Mancini, Ciervo, Di Luca, Toma, *Report of the human body louse (Pediculus humanus) from clothes marketed in Central Italy*, PARV-D-18-01326; costo: € 2.128,90 (€ 1.745,00 + IVA 22% € 383.90), sul periodico *Parasites & Vectors* (ISSN 1756-3305), della casa editrice BMC Springer Nature, sul centro di costo DIA/ACC/LT0915 (ricerca corrente 2015), conto bilancio 301505000023, pubblicazioni IZSLT ed estratti

La presente deliberazione si compone di n. 6 pagine

Proposta di deliberazione n. 28 / FOD del 03 maggio 2019

Cerca 'Bates'

Esporta PDF

Adobe Export PDF
Converti file PDF in Word o Excel online

Selezionare il file PDF
263_2019 FORM.pdf

Converti in
Microsoft Word (*.docx)

Lingua documento:
Italiano Cambia

Converti

Crea PDF

Modifica PDF

Commento

Combinare i file

Organizza pagine

Redigere

Converti e modifica i PDF
con Acrobat Pro DC
Attiva versione di prova

12:09
23/09/2019



Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale
del Lazio e della Toscana *M. Aleandri*



[illegible]

misconducts

- metodologia non solida
- risultati falsi, manipolati
- Peer review debole
- enfasi sulla pretesa «novità»
- metriche onnipotenti, per cui truffare per gonfiarle è quasi un obbligo

«PUBBLICARE UN RISULTATO» a tutti i costi
invece di

«PUBBLICARE UN **RISULTATO CORRETTO**»





Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale
del Lazio e della Toscana M. Aleandri

2. fugare i dubbi su **copyright**, **peer review**, **modello economico**

Insieme: quali diritti ho con il
formato convenzionale?

Video 3.7: Copyright and Subscri... Slides Module 3 - 3.8 Copyright and Subscri... 3.6 Open Science MOOC - publish...

https://delftxdownloads.tudelft.nl/OS101x_Open_Science/OS101x_2018_Module_3_3-7_Copyright_and_subscription_based... Cerca

Più visitati http://www.preview.p... Come iniziare Pew Research Center Pew Research Center's... Pew Research Center's... Library Connect SBM Wikipedia:Risorse di i... The Scholarly Kitchen ACG Enterprise

4 di 5 Zoom automatico

TU Delft

Copyright and subscription-based journals

check

Make sure to retain the right to:

- Reuse an article to be used in a book
- Rewrite and adapt an article
- Distribute an article among colleagues
- Copy your article for teaching purposes
- File the article (authorised version) in a repository as open access material

"Basic Scientific Publications: Open Access" by Geralt is in the Public Domain. CC0
Copyright symbol by Mike Szyling is licensed under CC BY 4.0

TU Delft

14:08 02/08/2019

2. fugare i dubbi su *copyright*, *peer review*, **modello economico**

L'alternativa OPEN

The screenshot shows a web browser displaying a presentation slide. The slide has a blue background with a window frame. The title 'Open Science' is at the top, followed by 'Principles of Open Scholarship'. Below this is a 3x3 grid of blue boxes containing the following principles: Transparency, Accountability, Inclusivity, Responsibility, Community & Collaboration, Visibility, Rigour, Equality, and Public good. At the bottom, a black speech bubble contains the text 'OPEN SCIENCE: JUST SCIENCE DONE RIGHT'. The browser's address bar shows the URL 'https://library.area.pi.cnrit.it/images/pdf/CorsoPisa/Giglia_Modulo_1.pdf'. The taskbar at the bottom shows various application icons and the system clock indicating 11:24 on 27/05/2019.

Open Science

Principles of Open Scholarship

Transparency	Accountability	Inclusivity
Responsibility	Community & Collaboration	Visibility
Rigour	Equality	Public good

OPEN SCIENCE:
JUST
SCIENCE
DONE RIGHT



L'alternativa OPEN


Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale

Nuovo messaggio x Presentazione standard di PowerPoint x +

https://library.area.pi.cnr.it/images/pdf/CorsoPisa/Giglia_Modulo_1.pdf

Open science un passo per volta...

Come puoi rendere Open ogni passo della ricerca...



- aggiungendo misure di impatto alternative, es. altmetrics
- comunicando sui social media, es. Twitter
- condividendo poster e presentazioni, es. su FigShare
- utilizzando licenze aperte, es. Creative Commons BY
- depositando in archivi o pubblicando su riviste Open
- provando la open peer review, es. PubPeer o F1000
- condividendo preprints, es. su OSF, arXiv o bioRxiv
- con formati leggibili dalle macchine, es. Jupyter o CoCalc
- con la scrittura collaborativa, es. Overleaf o Authorea
- condividendo protocolli e workflow, es. su Protocols.io
- condividendo note di laboratorio, es. OpenNotebookScience
- condividendo software, es. su GitHub con licenza GNU/MIT
- condividendo i dati, es. su Dryad, Zenodo o Dataverse
- pre-registrando esperimenti, es. su OSF o AsPredicted
- commentando pagine web, es. su Hypothes.is o Pund.it
- usando bibliografie condivise, es. su Zotero
- condividendo progetti di ricerca, es. su RIO Journal


Elenco Kromer & Jeroen Bosman <https://101innovations.wordpress.com> DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.1147025 Traduzione: Elena Giglio DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.1195648

Open Science: what's in it for me (Torino, 8 e 9 marzo 2018)


Report, marzo 2018

11:25 27/05/2019

Come puoi rendere Open ogni passo della ricerca...



- aggiungendo misure di impatto alternative, es. altmetrics
- comunicando sui social media, es. Twitter
- condividendo poster e presentazioni, es. su FigShare
- utilizzando licenze aperte, es. Creative Commons BY
- depositando in archivi o pubblicando su riviste Open
- provando la open peer review, es. PubPeer o F1000
- condividendo preprints, es. su OSF, arXiv o bioRxiv
- con formati leggibili dalle macchine, es. Jupyter o CoCalc
- con la scrittura collaborativa, es. Overleaf o Authorea
- condividendo protocolli e workflow, es. su Protocols.io
- condividendo note di laboratorio, es. OpenNotebookScience
- condividendo software, es. su GitHub con licenza GNU/MIT
- condividendo i dati, es. su Dryad, Zenodo o Dataverse
- pre-registrando esperimenti, es. su OSF o AsPredicted
- commentando pagine web, es. su Hypothes.is o Pundit
- usando bibliografie condivise, es. su Zotero
- condividendo progetti di ricerca, es. su RIO Journal





Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale
del Lazio e della Toscana M. Aleandri

Browser window showing the website <https://www.oa.unito.it/new/per-scrivere-in-modo-collaborativo/>. The page title is "... altri strumenti per collaborare".

The website header includes the logo **OA@unito.it** and a search bar labeled "Cerca". Navigation links are: In UnitO, Come, Cos'è utile, Perché è importante, Editori italiani, Eventi, Corsi e formazione, Video Open Science.

... altri strumenti per collaborare

- motore di ricerca con visualizzazione dei risultati: [Open Knowledge Maps](#)
- scrittura collaborativa: [Arpha](#), [Authorea](#) e [Overleaf](#)
- inserimento di modelli attivabili nel testo: [iPython Notebook](#) (esempio di utilizzo, da Michael Nielsen)
- pre-registrazione di esperimenti: [Aspredicted](#), [OSFpreregistration](#)
- deposito di interi protocolli/metodi di ricerca: [protocols.io](#)
- commenti su pagine web: [PundIT](#), [Hypothes.is](#)
- blog scientifici: [Hypotheses.org](#), chi fosse interessato ad aprirne uno, contatti elena.giglia@unito.it
- **101 innovazioni** nella comunicazione scientifica, forse c'è anche lo strumento che vi serve
- [OpenAIRE](#), il portale della ricerca europea
- elenco di strumenti per ricercare, scrivere, condividere... [Connected researchers](#)
- ... ne avete da suggerire? scrivete a elena.giglia@unito.it

On the right side, a vertical list of links: [In UnitO](#), [Regolamento di Ateneo](#), [Open Access in pratica](#), [Open Data](#), [Eventi](#), [Horizon2020](#), [Risorse](#).

Footer information:

- "Open Access all'Università di Torino" dell'Ufficio Accesso aperto ed Editoria elettronica è distribuito con una licenza Creative Commons Attribuzione 3.0 Italia
- La pagina è curata da: Elena Giglia (testi) e Alessandro Leccese (grafica). Se vuoi contattarci, scrivi a openaccess@unito.it
- Le foto sono di Elena Giglia, utilizzabili liberamente con Licenza Creative Commons Attribuzione 3.0. Ne trovate altre da usare come volete sulla [pagina Flickr](#)
- UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TORINO

System tray shows the date 03/09/2019 and time 14:59.

L'alternativa OPEN

The screenshot shows a presentation slide titled "Step by step" with a background image of a white dog. A tweet by Jon Tennant (@Protohedgehog) is overlaid on the slide. The tweet text is: "To support 'open science' you don't have to agree with or practice the whole messy bulk of it. Share your papers openly; version your code; cite data sets; use open source software; blog. Small steps can make a big difference." The phrase "Small steps can make a big difference" is highlighted in blue. The tweet is dated 18:09 - 27 feb 2018 and is attributed to Praga, Repubblica Ceca. The presentation is viewed in a browser window with the URL https://library.area.pi.cnr.it/images/pdf/CorsoPisa/Giglia_Modulo_1.pdf. The browser's address bar also shows a search for "biologyOpen-p-9780/RNL74203". The presentation is titled "Open Science: what's in it for me (torino, 6 e 7 marzo 2018)".

Da "Open Science: what's in it for me?" Elena Giglia, Università di Torino





Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale
del Lazio e della Toscana M. Aleandri

2. fugare i dubbi su *copyright*, *peer review*, **modello economico**

Chi beneficia dell'OA?

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bKkrdn_GrQo&feature=youtu.be





Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale
del Lazio e della Toscana M. Aleandri

2. fugare i dubbi su *copyright*, *peer review*, **modello economico**

Riepiloghiamo...

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cic3EVbekMk>

10 Myths around Open Scholarly Publishing

Myth 1

Preprints will get your research 'scooped'

Preprints typically provide a time-stamp and a DOI, therefore establishing priority of discovery

Myth 6

Copyright transfer is required to publish and protect authors

Copyright transfer procedures do not protect authors nor contribute to the advancement of scientific progress

Myth 2

JIF and journal branding are measures of quality for researchers

The JIF is a flawed metrics that was never meant to be used for evaluation of research and researchers

Myth 7

Gold Open Access is synonymous with the APC business model

Most DOAJ-indexed journals do not have APCs and are funded from other sources, such as research institutes and grants

Myth 3

Approval by peer review proves that you can trust a research article

The current peer review system is prone to a number of flaws including corruption, human bias and ghostwriting

Myth 8

Embargo periods on 'green' OA are needed to sustain publishers

Traditional journals can peacefully coexist with zero-embargo self-archiving policies on author manuscripts

Myth 4

Without journal peer review, the quality of science suffers

Researchers are more than responsible and competent enough to ensure their own quality control as part of intrinsic scientific integrity

Myth 9

Web of Science and Scopus are global databases of knowledge

Neither represent the sum of current global research knowledge including Africa, Latin America and Southeast Asia

Myth 5

Open Access has created predatory publishers

Predatory journals have been around for a long time before the recent push towards Open Access publishing


Myth 10

Publishers add no value to the scholarly communication process

Publishers are responsible for quite some key functions, from peer-review management to production and archiving of final version articles



Cosa succede in Europa?



Da “Open Science: what’s in it for me?” Elena Giglia, Università di Torino



Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale
del Lazio e della Toscana M. Aleandri

3. Cenni sulle politiche europee: HORIZON2020 e PLAN-S

Cosa succede in Europa?

Libero - Mail, Ricerca e tanto altro... Presentazione standard di PowerPoint... +

https://library.area.pi.cnr.it/images/pdf/CorsoPisa/Giglia_Modulo_1.pdf

corriere dello sport

Più visitati: http://www.preview.p... Come iniziare Pew Research Center Pew Research Center's... Pew Research Center's... Library Connect SBM Wikipedia: Risorse di i... The Scholarly Kitchen ACG Enterprise

31 di 94

110%

Open Science in Europa

- Rewards and Incentives
- Research Indicators and Next-Generation Metrics
- Future of Scholarly Communication
- European Open Science Cloud
- FAIR Data
- Research Integrity
- Skills and Education
- Citizen Science

Providing researchers with the skills and competencies they need to practise Open Science
Open Science Skills Working Group Report

Integrated advice of the Open Science Policy Platform on 8 prioritised Open Science ambitions May 29, 2018 Report, Sept. 2017

Removal barriers to open science

1. Change assessment, evaluation and reward systems in science
2. Facilitate text and data mining of content
3. Improve insight into IPR and issues such as privacy
4. Create transparency on the costs and conditions of academic communication

Developing research infrastructures

5. Introduce FAIR and secure data principles
6. Set up common e-infrastructures

Fostering and creating incentives for open science

7. Adopt open access principles
8. Stimulate new publishing models for knowledge transfer
9. Stimulate evidence-based research on innovations in open science

Mainstreaming and further promoting open science policies

10. Develop, implement, monitor and refine open access plans

Stimulating and embedding open science in science and society

11. Involve researchers and new users in open science
12. Encourage stakeholders to share expertise and information on open science

Amsterdam Call for Action on Open Science

Evaluation of Research Careers fully acknowledging Open Science Practices
Rewards, incentives and/or recognition for researchers practicing Open Science
Report on OS and careers, July 2017

Politiche nazionali e di ogni ateneo su Open Access e Open Data
COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION
of 25.4.2017
on access to and preservation of research data

...servono testi... quindi Open Access

13:51 27/05/2019

Da "Open Science: what's in it for me?" Elena Giglia, Università di Torino





Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale
del Lazio e della Toscana *M. Aleandri*



WIKIPEDIA
The Free Encyclopedia

Main page
Contents
Featured content
Current events
Random article
Donate to Wikipedia
Wikipedia store

Interaction
Help
About Wikipedia
Community portal
Recent changes
Contact page

Tools
What links here
Related changes
Upload file
Special pages
Permanent link
Page information
Wikidata item
Cite this page

Print/export
Create a book
Download as PDF
Printable version

Languages
Deutsch
Español
Nederlands
Português
Türkçe

Edit links

Article Talk

Read Edit View history

Search Wikipedia



Wiki Loves Monuments: Photograph a monument, help Wikipedia and win!
Learn more

SciELO

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

SciELO (**Scientific Electronic Library Online**) is a **bibliographic database**, **digital library**, and cooperative **electronic publishing** model of **open access journals**. SciELO was created to meet the scientific communication needs of developing countries and provides an efficient way to increase visibility and access to scientific literature.^[2] Originally established in **Brazil** in 1997, today there are 16 countries in the SciELO network and its journal collections: **Argentina**, **Bolivia**, **Brazil**, **Chile**, **Colombia**, **Costa Rica**, **Cuba**, **Ecuador**, **Mexico**, **Paraguay**, **Peru**, **Portugal**, **South Africa**, **Spain**, **Uruguay**, and **Venezuela**.^[3]

SciELO was initially supported by the **São Paulo Research Foundation** (FAPESP) and the **Brazilian National Council for Scientific and Technological Development** (CNPq), along with the **Latin American and Caribbean Center on Health Sciences Information** (BIREME). SciELO provides a portal that integrates and provides access to all of the SciELO network sites. Users can search across all SciELO collections or limit by a single country collection, or browse by subject area, publisher, or journal title.

Contents [hide]

- Database and projects
 - History
- Open access
- Technology
- Controversy
- See also
- References
- Further reading
- External links

Database and projects [edit]

By October 2015 the database contained:

- 1,249 journals
- 39,651 issues (journal numbers)
- 573,525 research articles
- 13,005,080 citations (sum of the number of items in each article's reference list)

from different countries, universally accessible for free **open access**, in full-text format.^[4] The SciELO Project's stated aims are to "envisage the development of a common methodology for the preparation, storage, dissemination

SciELO



Scientific Electronic Library Online

Producer	FAPESP - BIREME (Brazil)
Languages	English, Portuguese, Spanish
Access	Free
Cost	Free
Coverage	Multidisciplinary
Disciplines	Index, abstract & full-text
Record depth	Academic journal articles
Format	Geospatial coverage
Geospatial coverage	Latin America, Iberian Peninsula, South Africa
No. of records	573,525 ^[1]

Links

- Website
- Title list



Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale
del Lazio e della Toscana *M. Aleandri*

Browser tabs: POPPE SCIENZ APERTA - Cerc... (Libro) La società aperta e i suo... Popper e La società aperta 50... When will 'open science' beco... Open Science now: A systemat... SciELO.org

Address bar: <https://scielo.org/en/>

Search bar: sciELO

Footer: Più visitati <http://www.preview.p...> Come iniziare Pew Research Center Pew Research Center's... Pew Research Center's... Library Connect SBM W Wikipedia:Risorse di i... The Scholarly Kitchen ACG Enterprise

Scientific Electronic Library Online

Search articles: Enter one or more words

ADVANCED SEARCH

Collections Journals

JOURNALS

- Argentina
- Bolivia
- Brazil
- Chile
- Colombia
- Costa Rica

- Cuba
- Mexico
- Paraguay
- Peru
- Portugal
- Public Health

- South Africa
- Spain
- Uruguay
- IN DEVELOPMENT
 - Ecuador
 - Venezuela
 - West Indies

BOOKS

- SciELO Books

OTHERS

- Biodiversity Heritage Library
- Ciência e Cultura
- Pesquisa FAPESP

SciELO in Perspective Twitter @RedeSciELO YouTube

Windows taskbar with icons for various applications and the system clock showing 14:56 on 23/09/2019.

Cosa succede in Europa?

...con dati FAIR...

FORCE11
The Future of Research Communications and e-Scholarship

ABOUT COMMUNITY GROUPS

FORCE11 » Groups » The FAIR Data Principles

THE FAIR DATA PRINCIPLES

checklist per FAIR

- F=METADATI, IDENTIFICATIVI PERSISTENTI...
- A= CONSERVAZIONE SUL LUNGO PERIODO
- I=FORMATI APERTI, INTEROPERABILI
- R=DOCUMENTAZIONE E LICENZE

Piccadilly line
Work-based platform 5

13:52
27/05/2019

Da “Open Science: what’s in it for me?” Elena Giglia, Università di Torino



Cosa succede in Europa?

Libero - Mail, Ricerca e tanto al x Presentazione standard di PowerPoi x

https://library.area.pi.cnr.it/images/pdf/CorsoPisa/Giglia_Modulo_1.pdf

tarocchi on line la forza

Più visitati http://www.preview.p... Come iniziare Pew Research Center Pew Research Center's... Pew Research Center's... Library Connect SBM W Wikipedia:Risorse di i... The Scholarly Kitchen ACG Enterprise

40 di 94

110%

...mantenendo i «diritti»

KEEP CALM AND NON CEDETE I VOSTRI DIRITTI

CC

ALCUNI DIRITTI RISERVATI

Majesty The Queen

Elementi della licenza

La tua scelta in questo pannello aggiorna gli altri pannelli su questa pagina.

Consenti che vengano condivisi adattamenti della tua opera?

☐ No ☐ Sì, fintanto che gli altri condividono allo stesso modo

Consenti che la tua opera venga utilizzata a scopi commerciali?

☒ Sì ☐ No

Licenza selezionata

Attribuzione 4.0 Internazionale

Simbolo	Sigla	Condizione
	BY	Attribuzione Attribution
	NC	Non commerciale Non-Commercial
	ND	Non opere derivate No Derivative Works
	SA	Condividi allo stesso modo Share-Alike

TUTTI I DIRITTI RISERVATI

...provocazione. Ma servono ancora le

14:56 27/05/2019

Da “Open Science: what’s in it for me?” Elena Giglia, Università di Torino





4. è l'ennesimo fardello amministrativo?

Principi FAIR

<https://www.faiopenaccess.org/the-fair-open-access-principles/>



- avere una **struttura editoriale trasparente**
- lasciare il **copyright** agli autori
- pubblicare **esclusivamente articoli ad accesso aperto** (**non vengono considerate “fair” le riviste ibride**) ed avere licenze di utilizzo esclusivamente Open Access
- prevedere delle tariffe (APC) esclusivamente per la pubblicazione di un articolo
- richiedere **spese di pubblicazione (APC) basse** (non superare i € 1.000,00 se non in casi eccezionali), trasparenti e proporzionate al lavoro svolto dall'editore





Il movimento FAIR

(acronimo di **Findable, Accessible, Interoperable, Reusable**) ha definito in un documento i principi fondamentali per l'accessibilità e la riproducibilità dei dati che devono essere ricercabili, accessibili, riutilizzabili ed interoperabili.

I principi FAIR sono stati di recente adottati nelle nuove linee guida della Commissione Europea in materia di gestione dei dati nei progetti finanziati da **Horizon 2020** “H2020 Programme Guidelines on FAIR Data Management Plan”.





il programma **Horizon 2020**
finanziato dall'Unione Europea
prevede **obbligatoriamente**

**la pubblicazione dei risultati
dei progetti di ricerca
tramite la modalità open access (OA)**





4. È davvero solo l'ennesimo fardello amministrativo?


Open Access significa **accesso aperto**
immediato e libero da ogni restrizione

- ai **risultati**
- ai **dati** della **ricerca scientifica**

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gzRgknylTEM>



I PRINCIPI



da copiare.pdf - Adobe Acrobat Reader DC

File Modifica Vista Finestra ?

Home Strumenti da copiare.pdf x


107%

Accedi

Condividi

Open Access

Open Access significa
accesso aperto, immediato
e libero da ogni restrizione
ai risultati e ai dati della ricerca scientifica

 Open Access
Berlin Declaration

1. The author(s) and right holder(s) of such contributions grant(s) to all users a free, irrevocable, worldwide, right of access to, and a license to copy, use, distribute, transmit and display the work publicly and to make and distribute derivative works, in any digital medium for any responsible purpose, subject to proper attribution of authorship (community standards, will continue to provide the mechanism for enforcement of proper attribution and responsible use of the published work, as they do now), as well as the right to make small numbers of printed copies for their personal use.

Strumenti di ricerca

Esporta PDF

Adobe Export PDF

Converti file PDF in Word o Excel online

Selezionare il file PDF

da copiare.pdf

Converti in

Microsoft Word (*.docx)

Lingua documento:
Italiano Cambia

Converte

Crea PDF

Modifica PDF

Commento

Combinare i file

Organizza pagine

Redigere

Converti e modifica i PDF
con Acrobat Pro DC

Attiva versione di prova

10:51
02/08/2019



Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale
del Lazio e della Toscana M. Aleandri

Open Access: caratteristiche principali; fugare i dubbi su *copyright*,
peer review e modello economico; cenni sulle politiche europee:
HORIZON2020 e PLAN-S

the ACCESS revolution

Open access (OA) literature is

BARRIER FREE, digital, online, free of charge,
and free of most **copyright** and **licensing**
restrictions





the ACCESS revolution

access gaps: *A price tag* is a significant access barrier

- DO harm authors by limiting their audience and impact
- DO harm readers by limiting what they can retrieve and read
- and thereby DO harm research from both directions

OA removes *price* barriers





Copyright can also be a significant access barrier

replacing user freedom with permission-seeking activities


- ☐ harms **research authors** by limiting the **usefulness** of their work
- ☐ harms **research readers** by limiting the **uses** they may make of works even when they have access
- ☐ and thereby harms **research** from both directions

OA removes these permission barriers





Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale
del Lazio e della Toscana M. Aleandri



**Pubblicazioni scientifiche e impatto:
open access, citazioni e bibliometrie**

LE TRE VIE DELL'OPEN ACCESS
la green, la red e la gold road





Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale
del Lazio e della Toscana M. Aleandri

Tre vie?

[Nuovo articolo] Prime reazioni degli editori a PlanS - Unicode (UTF-8)

Messaggio

Posta indesiderata Elimina
Rispondi Elimina
Rispondi a tutti
Inoltra
Messaggio istantaneo
Aggiungi al calendario
Sposta in
Copia in
Contrassegno
Segui
Copia
Trova testo
Codifica
Precedente
Successivo
Esplora

Alcune immagini di questo messaggio non sono visualizzate. [Aggiungi all'elenco Mittenti attendibili](#) | [Mostra immagini](#)

[Nuovo articolo] Prime reazioni degli editori a PlanS
Bibliosan 2.0 (comment-reply@wordpress.com) [Aggiungi contatto](#)
A: Patrizia Gradito;

24/05/2019 13:07

Prime reazioni degli editori a PlanS
di bibliosan20

pLANs

È della settimana scorsa un interessante [post](#), pubblicato su Science magazine, che riporta le prime reazioni di alcuni editori nel tentativo di soddisfare i criteri stabiliti da [Plan S](#), in particolare il punto che prevede che dal 1 gennaio 2020, ogni articolo dovrà essere pubblicato in Open Access immediato (nessun embargo possibile) con una licenza CC-BY (Creative Commons Attribuzione, per il massimo riuso) su riviste o piattaforme ad accesso aperto, stabilendo un tetto massimo alle APC, qualora richieste, e non ammettendo alcun tipo di pubblicazione ibrida.

Alcuni editori stanno prendendo in considerazione un approccio che sperano sia conforme al Plan S e al tempo stesso gli permetta di mantenere inalterato il ricavato dagli abbonamenti: permettere agli autori di pubblicare i propri manoscritti in archivi aperti non appena i loro articoli saranno pubblicati. Ritengono infatti che offrire agli autori la green road sia l'opzione meno pericolosa, poiché solo il 3,3% circa degli articoli pubblicati sono scritti da autori che ricevono sostegno dai finanziatori di Plan S.

Si tratta sostanzialmente della cosiddetta "green road", da sempre caldeggiata dai promotori del movimento Open Access, e prevista dalla bozza del PlanS.

L'articolo riporta le posizioni di diversi editori che al momento sembrano favorire questa soluzione rispetto alla possibilità di convertire le proprie riviste in riviste ad accesso aperto ("gold road"), ma anche la perplessità di altri, come ad esempio Springer Nature, che ad oggi valuta la "gold road" la soluzione più sostenibile.

[bibliosan20](#) | 24 maggio 2019 alle 1:06 pm | Etichette: [Open Access](#), [PlanS](#) | Categorie: [Uncategorized](#) | URL: <https://wp.me/p2yZzg-Vw>

[Commento](#) [Visualizza tutti i commenti](#)





Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale
del Lazio e della Toscana M. Aleandri

Sempre più green

<https://www.sciencemag.org/news/2019/05/meet-plan-s-open-access-mandate-journals-mull-setting-papers-free-publication>

The screenshot shows the ScienceMag.org website. The main article is titled "To meet the 'Plan S' open-access mandate, journals mull setting papers free publication". The article text discusses the challenges of Plan S, a mandate from funders requiring open access. It mentions that many publishers have warned of a compliance option: "green" open access. In that model—permitted in the draft version of Plan S, unveiled in September 2018—Plan S-funded authors could deposit free-to-read papers in public repositories without a waiting period. The journal would continue to collect subscription fees, and the mechanism could benefit some authors who lack funding to pay for gold open access.

Below the article, there is a section for "SIGN UP FOR OUR DAILY NEWSLETTER" with a form for "Email Address *".

On the right side, there is a "ScienceInsider" section with several articles:

- ELECTRONIC SKIN** (with a "Submit Today" button)
- Study on DNA spread by genetically modified mosquitoes prompts backlash** (BY KELLY SERVICE | SEP. 17, 2019)
- New deals could help scientific societies survive open access** (BY JEFFREY BRAINARD | SEP. 16, 2019)
- Congo arrests former health minister for alleged misuse of Ebola funds** (BY JON COHEN | SEP. 16, 2019)
- Why the U.S. Census Bureau could have trouble complying with Trump's order to count citizens** (BY JEFFREY MERVIS | SEP. 16, 2019)
- First peanut allergy treatment gains backing from FDA advisory panel** (BY JENNIFER COITIN | SEP. 16, 2019)

The bottom of the screenshot shows a Windows taskbar with various application icons and a system clock indicating 10:24 on 18/09/2019.

AAS...
China...
The Royal
Society...

<https://www.sciencemag.org/news/2019/09/new-deals-could-help-scientific-societies-survive-open-access>

New deals could help scientific

← → ↻ 🏠 🔒 https://www.sciencemag.org/news/2019/09/new-deals-could-help-scientific-societies-survive-open-access 🔍 Cerca


⚙️ Più visitati 🌐 http://www.preview.p... 🌐 Come iniziare 🌐 Pew Research Center 🌐 Pew Research Center's... 🌐 Pew Research Center's... 🌐 Library Connect 🌐 SBM 🌐 Wikipedia:Risorse di i... 🌐 The Scholarly Kitchen 🌐 ACG Enterprise

AAAS [Become a Member](#) [Log In](#) [ScienceMag.org](#) 🔍 Search

Science Contents ▾ News ▾ Careers ▾ Journals ▾

in

4



DAVIDE BONAZZI/SALZMAN ART

New deals could help scientific societies survive open access

By Jeffrey Brainard | Sep. 16, 2019, 4:40 PM

In the push to make the scientific literature open access, small scientific societies have feared they could be collateral damage. Many rely on subscription revenue from their journals—often among the most highly cited in their disciplines—to fund other activities, such as scholarships. And whereas big commercial publishers have the scale to absorb financial losses in some of their journals, many scientific societies operate at most a handful of journals.

A reprieve may be in sight. Last week, a project that included funders backing Plan S, the European-led effort to speed the transition to open access, released a set of **contract templates and tips** meant to help small, independent publishers reach deals with libraries that would eventually eliminate subscriptions while protecting revenue. The project also helped arrange pilot consortia to publish an unlimited number of open-access articles in return for a set fee paid to societies.

The Biochemical Society, based in London, is participating because “we have to start somewhere, and our principle is, learn by doing,” says Malavika Legge, its publishing director. The new guidance grew out of a June workshop in London attended by two dozen society and library officials, which “opened the door to talking to librarians in a way we’ve never done before.”


SIGN UP FOR OUR DAILY NEWSLETTER
Get more great content like this delivered right to you!


Email Address *

Plan S, set to begin in 2021, requires researchers funded by participating agencies to ensure that

Confused about your next career move?

Download Free Career Advice Booklets!






Advertisement

CALL FOR PAPERS

Science Robotics
AAAS

SPECIAL ISSUE:
ELECTRONIC SKIN

Submit Today »



10:28
18/09/2019



Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale
del Lazio e della Toscana *M. Aleandri*

https://wellcome.figshare.com/articles/Project_Update_-_Society_Publishers_Accelerating_Open_access_and_Plan_S_SPA-OPS_/8401664

Project Update - Society Publi...

←

https://wellcome.figshare.com/articles/Project_Update_-_Society_Publishers_Accelerating_Open_access_and_Plan_S_SPA-OPS_/8401664

Cerca

Più visitati

http://www.preview.p...

Come iniziare

Pew Research Center

Pew Research Center's...

Pew Research Center's...

Library Connect

SBM

Wikipedia:Risorse di i...

The Scholarly Kitchen

ACG Enterprise

Browse

Search on Wellcome Trust...

Log in

Journals

70% 80% 90% 100%

rnals are hybrid OA.

ot sure.

nd HSS

d

The models

- Transformative Models
- Cooperative Infrastructure & Funding Models
- Evolving Traditional Models
- Article Transaction Models
- Open platforms
- Other Revenue Models
- Strategies for Change and Cost Reduction

Article

APC-funded OA

Institutional prepay mod

Submission Payments

"We appreciate that the m...
for 'submission payments'
acknowledges that publish...
incur costs beyond those
associated with accepted a...
However, this system woul...
be accessible to a range of
researchers who do not ha...
access to funds for article...
transactions and would res...
administrative burden."

SPA OPS update _ 14 May 2019.pptx (13.14 MB)

10 / 20

MD5: de02e253d67abf0c60cc242db7c06ff8

Cite

Download (13.14 MB)

Share

Embed

+ Collect (you need to log in first)

← Project Update - Society Publishers Accelerating Open
access and Plan S (SPA-OPS)

107
views

59
downloads

0
citations


10:39
18/09/2019





Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale
del Lazio e della Toscana M. Aleandri

Re: Declined funding email to author - Unicode (UTF-8)



Re: Declined funding email to author

G [redacted]

12/09/2019 13:30

A: apc@tandf.co.uk;

Cc: [redacted] Antonella Bozzano (antonella.bozzano@izslt.it); Patrizia Gradito;

Dear APC Team Taylor and Francis Customer Support

I think everything is now solved for the payment. Dr. Gradito (that is reading us in copy) will contact you soon.

Kind regards,

[redacted]

ESERCITAZIONE

From: apc@tandf.co.uk

To: [redacted]

Sent: Monday, September 16, 2019 13:01

Subject: Declined funding email to author

Dear Sir/Madam,

Article Title: Acaricide efficacy and honey bee toxicity of three new formic acid-based products to control Varroa destructor

DOI/MS ID: 10.1080/00218839.2019.1656788

Journal: Journal of Apicultural Research

We asked for funding approval from the third party you had nominated to pay the Open Access Article Publishing Charge (APC). Unfortunately your request has been declined.

However, there are still options available to you to make your article Open Access:

Gold open access: the final published version of your article can still be made open access following the payment of an APC. Please let us know whether you wish to pay this from your funds or nominate a new funder. If you would like to choose Gold Open Access please complete a new quote [here](#).

Green open access: alternatively you can choose to make your work open access by posting an earlier version of your manuscript in repositories and online. This enables you to share your article and comply with most funder mandates, without having to pay an APC. Visit our [Author Services website](#) to find out more.

If we don't hear from you, we will move your manuscript to our Standard workflow, which means that there is no Open Access charge to you, and you can follow the Green open access option above if your manuscript is accepted for publication.

If you have any further questions, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Kind Regards,

APC Team Taylor and Francis Customer Support apc@tandf.co.uk



16:21
12/09/2019



Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale
del Lazio e della Toscana M. Aleandri

ISSN International Standard Serial Number = numero di riferimento internazionale per le serie
identifica il titolo dell'intera pubblicazione in serie

attribuito da Centri nazionali, ciascuno competente per la produzione editoriale del proprio paese, tutti raggruppati in una rete internazionale coordinata dall'International Centre

In Italia lo standard è stato tradotto e pubblicato dall'Ente nazionale di unificazione come norma UNI ISO 3297:2010
Informazione e documentazione - Sistema internazionale unificato per la numerazione delle pubblicazioni in serie (ISSN)





Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale
del Lazio e della Toscana M. Aleandri

<https://portal.issn.org/resource/ISSN/2078-6913>

ISSN 2078-6913 (Online) | Journal of apicultural research | The ISSN Portal

ISSN INTERNATIONAL STANDARD SERIAL NUMBER INTERNATIONAL CENTRE

ISSN PORTAL The Global Index for Continuing Resources

FREE ACCESS

PUBLISHERS' AREA DISCOVER ISSN SERVICES SEARCH OPEN ACCESS RESOURCES ISSN INTERNATIONAL CENTER

Home > Record

ALL, ISSN, Title All Search

Advanced search ROAD search

Identifiers

ISSN : 2078-6913

Linking ISSN (ISSN-L): 0021-8839

Links

URL: www.ibra.org.uk ...

Google: www.google.com/ ...

Bing: www.bing.com/se ...

Yahoo: search.yahoo.co ...

CROSSREF: search.crossref ...

Key-title Journal of apicultural research (Online)

Resource information

Title proper: Journal of apicultural research.

Country: International organization

Medium: Online

Record information

Last modification date: 03/02/2018

Type of record: Confirmed

ISSN Center responsible of the record: CIEPS - ISSN

My Tools

Share

Print

Display linked data

Enjoy Premium features

Unlock functions



Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale
del Lazio e della Toscana M. Aleandri

ESERCITAZIONE: Cerchiamo la rivista....

CLICCARE DALLA RIVISTA *Journal of apicultural research*

<https://authorservices.taylorandfrancis.com/publishing-open-access/>

2078-6913






Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale
del Lazio e della Toscana M. Aleandri

ROAD

DIRECTORY
OF OPEN ACCESS
SCHOLARLY
RESOURCES

<https://road.issn.org/>





Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale
del Lazio e della Toscana *M. Aleandri*

Browser tabs: InCites, InCites Journal Citation Reports, Welcome | The ISSN Portal, The ISSN Portal

Address bar: [https://portal.issn.org/?q=api/search&search\[\]=MUST=country=ALA,ALB,ASM,AND,ARM,AUT,AZE,BLR,BEL,BMU,BIH,BVT,IOT,BGR,CAN,CPV,HRV](https://portal.issn.org/?q=api/search&search[]=MUST=country=ALA,ALB,ASM,AND,ARM,AUT,AZE,BLR,BEL,BMU,BIH,BVT,IOT,BGR,CAN,CPV,HRV)

Search bar: issn

Navigation links: Più visitati, http://www.preview.p..., Come iniziare, Pew Research Center, Pew Research Center's..., Library Connect, SBM, Wikipedia:Risorse di i..., The Scholarly Kitchen, ACG Enterprise

ISSN International Centre logo

ISSN PORTAL The Global Index for Continuing Resources

FREE ACCESS

PUBLISHERS' AREA

DISCOVER ISSN SERVICES

SEARCH OPEN ACCESS RESOURCES

ISSN INTERNATIONAL CENTER

Home > Search results

2078-6913 ISSN

Search

Advanced search ROAD search

16566 results found based on your search

Search criteria

country:
ALA,ALB,ASM,AND,ARM,AUT,AZE,BLR,BEL,BMU,BIH,BVT,IOT,BGR,CAN,CPV,HRV,CYP,CZE,DNK,EST,FRO,FIN,FRA,GEO,DEU,GIB,GRC,GRL,GGY,VAT,HUN,ISL,IRL,IMN,ISR,ITA,JEY,LVA,LIE,LTU,LUX,MKD,MDA,MCO,NLD,ANT,NOR,POL,PRT,ROU,RUS,SPM,SRB,SVK,SVN,ESP,SJM,SWE,CHE,UKR,GBR,USA

record: Register

Modify search

Refine

Medium

Record status

Confirmed (16566)

Select all List Relevance 10

Albanian journal of pharmaceutical sciences

ISSN: 2313-1772

Publisher: Tiranë Group for Research and Interdisciplinary Applied Sciences

Country: Albania

URL: www.ajphsci.com/

Status: Confirmed

Dates: 2013-9999

ROAD

Khazar University institutional repository

ISSN: 2310-7316

Publisher: [Baku] Khazar University Library Information Center

My Tools

View records

Print


Enjoy Premium features

Unlock functions

Taskbar: 14:54 19/09/2019



Processo della produzione





Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale
del Lazio e della Toscana M. Aleandri

LE TRE VIE DELL'OPEN ACCESS: la green, la red e la gold road

open access - Cerca con Google X Strategie di pubblicazione in ac X 5 surprising facts about Elsevier X Scienza aperta e dati della ricer X h2020-hi-oa-data-mgt_en.pdf X

Università degli Studi di Trento (IT) | https://r.unitn.it/it/ateneo/open-access/strategie-di-pubblicazione-e-proprietà-intellettua... not all that count einstein

myunitn
cerca informazioni o persone

Home | Strategie di pubblicazione in accesso aperto

Che cos'è l'Open Science - Scienza Aperta
Open Access - Accesso Aperto
Open data - Dati aperti
L'accesso aperto a UniTrento
Enti finanziatori e requisiti in materia di accesso aperto
Strategie di pubblicazione e proprietà intellettuale
Diritto d'autore e Licenze Creative Commons
Il contratto editoriale: avvertenze
Il ciclo aperto della ricerca
Risorse didattiche e di approfondimento
FAQ

Strategie di pubblicazione in accesso aperto

Research results → Dissemination plan → Decision to disseminate / share → Publications → Gold Open Access
Research results → Data management plan → Decision to exploit / protect → Patenting (or other form of protection)
Publications → Green Open Access
Depositing research data → Access and use free of charge
Depositing research data → Restricted access and/or use

LINK UTILI

- IRIS - archivio di ateneo per le pubblicazioni
- SHERPA-ROME0 - autoarchiviazione e diritti di autore degli editori (Definitions&Terms)
- ORCID - identificativo univoco per ricercatori
- ZENODO - archivio pubblico per pubblicazioni e dati della ricerca
- APC - How much publishers charge for OA

Ufficio Pubblicazioni Scientifiche - Open Access, Anagrafe Ricerca, Editoria
Via Verdi, 8 - 38122 Trento
tel. +39 0461 283044/3022
email: iris@unitn.it
email: UfficioArchiviEditoria@unitn.it

GREEN ROAD - autoarchiviazione delle pubblicazioni in archivi ad accesso aperto
GOLD ROAD - pubblicazione in archivi ad accesso aperto
ZENODO - autoarchiviazione dei dati della ricerca in archivi ad accesso aperto
IRIS - archivio istituzionale delle pubblicazioni di UniTrento
ORCID - identificativo univoco per autori

Trasferimento tecnologico

La **Divisione per il supporto alla ricerca scientifica e al trasferimento tecnologico** offre supporto ai ricercatori in tutte le fasi di valorizzazione dei risultati scientifici in particolare per la valutazione del potenziale dei risultati della ricerca e la loro proteggibilità attraverso la **brevetazione**, la **proprietà intellettuale** o il segreto industriale;






Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale
del Lazio e della Toscana M. Aleandri

LE TRE VIE DELL'OPEN ACCESS: la *green*, la *red* e la *gold* road

terminologia



Open Access, Peter Suber, MIT (USA), 2012 ISBN 978-0-262-51763-8



terminologia

OA

TA (Toll access) or conventional Journal

GOLD OA


GREEN OA

RED OA

BLACK OA

Libre OA/gratis OA





TA vs OA



Toll Access (TA), Pay-per-view, Paywall opposto all'OA:
prevede l'accesso a pagamento ad un contenuto accademico digitale

Può assumere la forma di


- canone di abbonamento (**subscription fee**)
- pagamento à la carte (**Pay-per-view**)

l'accesso acquistato può essere temporaneo o permanente

Si parla di **Paywall** per i contenuti soggetti ad una barriera economica di accesso



TA vs OA



Attenzione!

Abbonamenti	APC
- sono pagati ogni anno	- sono pagate una volta
- crescono ogni anno	per tutte
- chiudono il contenuto per chi non ha abbonamento	- aprono il contenuto per tutti

DISTINGUETE SEMPRE

- EDITORI OPEN ACCESS «PURI»
- EDITORI IBRIDI

(EDITORI TRADIZIONALI CHE OFFRONO OPZIONE OPEN)

Da “Open Science: what’s in it for me?” Elena Giglia, Università di Torino



Forme di transizione

Alcune riviste, per esempio [quelle della Royal Society of Chemistry](#), hanno proposto nuove forme contrattuali chiamate [READ AND PUBLISH](#)


READ & PUBLISH agreements: prevedono il pagamento di **un'unica commissione** da parte degli istituti di ricerca per avere garantito l'accesso ai contenuti e la pubblicazione in *gold OA* da parte dei propri ricercatori

Tuttavia il risultato delle negoziazioni di questi nuovi contratti non è noto
le case editrici obbligano alla **riservatezza** e questo impedisce di condurre negoziazioni coordinate sul prezzo



esempio

chembib.files.wordpress.com/2018/02/rsc-read-and-publish-flyer-2.pdf



Read & Publish

Read & Publish supports open access publishing whilst ensuring we are in the best position to sustainably shape the future of publishing for the benefit of our community.

Read & Publish lets corresponding authors at institutions publish gold OA in our hybrid journals and provides perpetual access rights to our entire journal portfolio.

The benefits

- Corresponding authors
- Publishing OA maximises the visibility of research
- Ability to publish under a CC-BY or a CC-BY NC licence
- No extra costs in publishing hybrid OA as these are covered by the agreement

ROYAL SOCIETY OF CHEMISTRY



chembib.files.wordpress.com/2018/02/rsc-read-and-publish-flyer-2.pdf

Institutions

- OA can be the default choice for authors
- Reduced administrative burden through the automatic identification of corresponding authors
- Perpetual access rights to our entire journal portfolio

Registered charity number: 207890

Read & Publish pricing

Publishing fee	Reading fee	Total price	Transition discount
Based on the publishing output of an institution's corresponding authors during the last full year.	Covers the cost of giving an institution perpetual access rights to all content behind the paywall (the articles that aren't published OA).	The combined publishing and reading fee is the price an institution will pay. Please note this will vary between institutions as it is based on publishing output.	We have built in a discount variable to support the transition from a subscription model to a publishing fee based model. This discount will be applied for a set period of time, which will be outlined in the agreement.

14:05
03/09/2019

Biblosan 2.0

Risorse on-line per bibliotecari,
ricercatori e medici



Biblosan per l'accesso aperto: nuovo accordo con Frontiers



Segnaliamo con piacere il recente **accordo** tra Biblosan e
Frontiers, editore ad accesso aperto.

È infatti di dicembre scorso la firma del primo National Open
Access Framework, della durata di 3 anni a partire da gennaio
2021, siglato da Biblosan per tutte e 51 istituzioni che lo
compongono.

L'accordo, in linea con i mandati internazionali per l'accesso
aperto, incluso PlanS, prevede, tra le altre cose, uno sconto sulle
APC, la fatturazione centralizzata e flessibile e un supporto per il
personale di biblioteca che si occupa di APC. Inoltre, i ricercatori
avranno pieno accesso agli strumenti e alle infrastrutture di
Frontiers, compresa la sua piattaforma collaborativa per la peer


Cerca

RSS - Articoli

RSS - Commenti

#BillOfRights ALMs
altmetrics
APC app bibliometrics
blog collaborative
editing
conference
copyright corsi
COVID-19 Creative
Commons dark social Data
journals digitalization DMP
DOAJ DOI e-medicine
EOSC fake news glossary

Segui



chembib.files.wordpress.com/2018/02/rsc-read-and-publish-flyer-2.pdf

Browser tabs: (4) Biblioteche e conoscenza p... newspaper reading habits and... rsc-read-and-publish-flyer-2.p...

Address bar: <https://chembib.files.wordpress.com/2018/02/rsc-read-and-publish-flyer-2.pdf>

Search: I OPEN ACCESS IN ITALIA 2018 →

Navigation: 2 di 2

How it works

- 1 Author submits a paper
- 2 We recognise corresponding authors by email domain and affiliation
- 3 We let the author know they can publish OA via their institutions Read & Publish agreement
- 4 Paper is accepted (after the peer-review process)
- 5 Author completes a licence
- 6 Paper is published OA
- 7 Monthly and annual reports are provided to each institution showing the number of articles counted against the agreement

Why Read & Publish

The rise in OA publishing means that the needs of our community are changing. We are committed to ensuring we share the best ideas in the way that our community wants to access them.

Read & Publish supports OA publishing whilst ensuring we offer traditional subscription models for when open access isn't a priority.

Taskbar: File Explorer, Edge, Chrome, VLC, PowerPoint, Word, Outlook, Internet Options, Task Manager, System Tray (14:06, 03/09/2019)



Project Update - Society Publi...

https://wellcome.figshare.com/articles/Project_Update_-_Society_Publishers_Accelerating_Open_access_and_Plan_S_SPA-OPS_/8401664

Wellcome

Browse Search on Wellcome Trust... Log in

reliminary project Data

Don't know

4.55%

libraries to commit to
scription licences. At
ates in its preamble that
subscriptions altogether.
ble with author self-
e journal publishers
ie subscription income
of running the journal.
self-archiving when
ly encouraging libraries
tions would be risky in

informationpower

Transformative Agreements:
repurpose existing spend to open
content

- **Choreographed shift models**
These are initiatives that redirect funds currently used to pay subscriptions to make journals open access to users all over the world. Two examples are [Knowledge Unlatched](#) and [SCOAP³](#).
- **Read & Publish models**
The amount of money currently paid to the publisher (for subscriptions and sometimes also for APCs where there has been additional funding for OA publishing) is guaranteed, and in exchange authors can publish OA without paying an additional APC.
- **Publish and Read models**
With PAR a consortium pays a pre-agreed amount for papers published by affiliated authors, and everyone in the library/consortium gets access to the subscription content for no extra cost.
- **California Digital Library pilot transformative agreement**
This model engages authors as well as libraries. The library/consortium contributes money in the form of a direct payment to the publisher in order to lower/subsidise transactional publishing payments by authors who can afford to contribute something toward the cost. This approach is designed to reflect the fact that researchers in the US can use their grants to pay for publication costs if they choose to do so but are usually under no obligation or mandate to do so.
- **Subscribe to Open**
This approach has been developed by the publishing team at non-profit publisher [Annual Reviews](#). It is designed to motivate collective action by libraries who are asked to continue to subscribe even though the content will be published OA. A 5% discount off the regular subscription price is offered to existing customers. If all current customers continue to subscribe, then that year's content is made available OA and all the backfiles are also made available OA. None of this content is opened if the number of subscribers decline, which discourages free riding.

Slide courtesy of MPDL

SPA OPS update_ 14 May 2019.pptx (13.14 MB)

13 / 20

MD5: de02e253d67abf0c60cc242db7c06ff8

Cite Download (13.14 MB) Share Embed + Collect (you need to log in first)

Alicia Wise

Project Update - Society Publishers Accelerating Open access and Plan S (SPA-OPS)

107 views 59 downloads 0 citations



Choreographed shift models

These are initiatives that redirect funds currently used to pay subscriptions to make journals open access to users all over the world. Two examples are [Knowledge Unlatched](#) and [SCOAP³](#)

Read & Publish models

The amount of money currently paid to the publisher (for subscriptions and sometimes also for APCs where there has been additional funding or OA publishing) is guaranteed, and in exchange authors can publish OA without paying an additional APC

Publish and Read models

With PAR a consortium pays a pre-agreed amount for papers published by affiliated authors, and everyone in the library/consortium gets access to the subscription content for no extra cost

California Digital Library pilot transformative agreement

This model engages authors as well as libraries. The library/consortium contributes money in the form of a direct payment to the publisher in order to lower/subsidise transactional publishing payments by authors who can afford to contribute something toward the cost. This approach is designed to reflect the fact that researchers in the US can use their grants to pay for publication costs if they choose to do so but are usually under no obligation or mandate to do so

Subscribe to Open

This approach has been developed by the publishing team at non-profit publisher [Annual Reviews](#). It is designed to motivate collective action by libraries who are asked to continue to subscribe even though the content will be published OA. A 5% discount off the regular subscription price is offered to existing customers. If all current customers continue to subscribe, then that year's content is made available OA and all the backfiles are also made available OA. None of this content is opened if the number of subscribers decline, which discourages free riding





Video 3.2: The Open Access model



3.2 Open Science MOOC - The open science model

3.6 Open Science MOOC - publishing

https://delftxdownloads.tudelft.nl/OS101x_Open_Science/OS101x_2018_Module_3_3-2_The_Open_access_model_versus_the_subscription_based_model.pdf

Open access versus Subscription-based

2. Usage of scientific publications



TU Delft

Subscription-based model

3

14:12 02/08/2019





**the existence of a fee doesn't mean
the journal is engaged in vanity publishing!**

APC: about 30 % of OA journals **charge author-side fees** and about half the articles published in OA journals appear in those **FEE-BASED JOURNALS**.

Hence, the best OA journal for your work may charge a publication fee. If so, don't be dismayed or give up **on gold OA**

12 % of authors at fee-based OA journals end up paying publication fees out of pocket , **the fees are paid by a sponsor, such as a FUNDER or EMPLOYER** or the fees are **WAIVED OR DISCOUNTED BY THE JOURNAL**

Your work will be subject to **peer review**, **the fee only kicks in IF your work is ACCEPTED**, and the editors and referees who review it will not know whether you requested a **fee waiver**

APC † Submission fee

Submission fee contributo economico richiesto da un editore per la submission
(presentazione da parte dell'autore) di un contenuto scientifico da valutare per la
pubblicazione



Review

Ten Hot Topics around Scholarly Publishing, *Publications* 2019, 7, 34

hot topics- 2019.pdf - Adobe Acrobat Reader DC

File Modifica Vista Finestra ?

Home Strumenti hot topics- 2019.pdf x

12 / 24 141%

Accedi

Condividi

Cerca 'Ruotare la pagina'

Esporta PDF

Adobe Export PDF

Converti file PDF in Word o Excel online

Selezionare il file PDF

hot topics- 2019.pdf

Converti in

Microsoft Word (*.docx)

Lingua documento:

Italiano Cambia

Converti

Crea PDF

Modifica PDF

Commento

Combinate i file

Organizza pagine

Redigere

Converti e modifica i PDF con Acrobat Pro DC

Attiva versione di prova

08:16 17/09/2019

Publications 2019, 7, 34 12 of 24

for publication. At a different level, this equates to around a quarter of a million fee-free OA articles in 2017, based on the DOAJ data [81].

	has APCs	pctg journals	nr journals
0	No	73.29%	9359
1	Yes	26.26%	3353
2	No Information	0.45%	58

metadata at 10-03-2019: tot nr of journals: 12770

Figure 3. Proportion of journals indexed in the DOAJ (Directory of Open Access Journals) that charge or do not charge APCs (article-processing charges). For a small portion, the information is not available. All code and data needed to reproduce these figures are on Zenodo: <http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.2647404>.

However, many of the larger publishers do leverage very high APCs for OA (e.g., *Nature*



Publishing in an *OA journal* is just like publishing in a *conventional journal*: **find** a suitable journal and **submit** your manuscript, it has to be **peer-reviewed**

If you're not familiar with the range of peer-reviewed OA journals search in:

the Directory of Open Access Journals (DOAJ)

lets you browse by field to find an OA journal that meets your standards

DOAJ DIRECTORY OF
OPEN ACCESS
JOURNALS





Fee waiver

Molti editori e riviste offrono la possibilità di avere un **parziale o totale esonero dall'APC per istituzioni**, finanziatori della ricerca o autori che non riescono a coprirne il pagamento o in regime di accordi particolari

Grant

Programma di **finanziamento** che favorisce la pubblicazione in OA





Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale
del Lazio e della Toscana M. Aleandri

LE TRE VIE DELL'OPEN ACCESS: la green, la red e la gold road

Not always cost-prohibitive?

whyopenresearch.org/costs

THE ROYAL SOCIETY OPEN SCIENCE

2. Find a low-cost open access journal

Many open access journals charge publishing fees of less than \$500 (U.S. dollars). These fees are often lower than the submission, page, and color figure charges levied by many subscription journals.

PeerJ has lifetime publishing plans from **\$99 per author**.

SAGE open charges **\$395 per article**.


Ubiquity Press open access journals average **~\$500 per article**.

3. Request a waiver

Do you work in a country classified by the World Bank as **low income** or **lower-middle income**? You may be eligible for an automatic full or partial fee waiver at many open access journals.



Not always cost-prohibitive?



Want a modifiable slide to sum up these options?

Being open doesn't have to break the bank!

- ~70% of OA journals do not charge.
- Many OA journals have low-cost fees.
- Most OA journals have fee waivers.
- Some institutions have OA publisher memberships.
- Some institutions have OA publishing funds.
- Some funders provide OA publishing fee support.
- Self-archiving openly costs nothing.

Logos: eLIFE, PeerJ, wellcome trust, figshare

Get better value for your money

Remember... publishing with many subscription journals is not free. There are submission fees, page charges, costs for color figures. And what do you get for your money? Paywalled content that many can't access, and you often have to ask permission from the publisher to reuse your own work.

With open publishing, you retain your author rights and the world can read your work - that's better value for your money!



Types of Open Access

<http://www.righttoresearch.org/resources/OpenResearchGlossary/index.shtml>

Pre-print* - a manuscript draft that has not yet been subject to formal peer review, distributed to receive early feedback on research from peers

Post-print * - a manuscript **draft** after it has been peer reviewed

Version of Record (VOR) - the final version of a manuscript, after peer review and processing by a publishers

Hybrid - a type of journal in which certain articles are made open access for typically a significantly higher price (relative to full OA journals), while others remain toll access

Accepted author manuscript - the version of a manuscript that has been accepted by a publisher for publication

E-print - a digital version of a research document available online for a repository





Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale
del Lazio e della Toscana M. Aleandri

LE TRE VIE DELL'OPEN ACCESS: la green, la red e la gold road

<http://www.righttoresearch.org/resources/OpenResearchGlossary/index.shtml>

Green OA - making a version of the manuscript freely available in a repository

Gold OA - making the final version of manuscript freely available immediately upon publication by the publisher

Gratis OA - the paper is available to read free-of-charge, though **its reuse is still restricted**, for example by 'All Rights Reserved' copyright

Libre OA - the paper is made available under an open licence, allowing it to be shared and reused, depending on **which licence** is used

Diamond OA - a form of gold open access in which there is no author fee (APC)

(Libre and Gratis refer to copyright and licensing restrictions)



Modello Golden Road

Riviste totalmente in open access ovvero *direttamente ed immediatamente accessibili, ad accesso aperto ovvero non a pagamento*

Previsto il pagamento di una **fee a monte**:

APC Article processing charge = quota per la pubblicazione
vale come contributo alla pubblicazione



La versione pdf dell'articolo distribuita gratuitamente
può essere riutilizzata secondo le regole delle licenze **CC (Creative Commons)***

Creative Commons-Attribuzione* (o **CC-BY)^[4], utilizzata per es. da **PLoS**^[5] e
consigliata ufficialmente dall'ente internazionale "[Scholarly Publishing and Academic Resources Coalition](#)" (**SPARC**)

Creative Commons-Attribuzione-Non commerciale (o **CC-BY-NC**)



How to Achieve OA: Go Gold

Full oppure
pure OA journals

Gold Open Access

“Gold OA” means publishing with publishers that automatically and immediately make the work available online to all at no cost.

Most gold OA publishers are journal publishers, but a few book publishers make their books OA.

More about gold OA later...

Megajournal

Raccolta di più riviste (anche di diverse aree tematiche) unite dall'utilizzo di piattaforme e metodologie condivise per realizzare un'economia di scala

Generalmente in Gold OA, modello APC



How to Achieve OA: Go Green

Green Open Access

“Green OA” refers to works that, regardless of where else they appear, are made available online in an OA repository committed to long-term preservation.

Journals are called “green” if they permit authors to self-archive their articles in OA repositories.

Most journals do allow self-archiving, but most authors don't know that!

More about green OA later...

Self-archiving

una modalità di esecuzione del Green OA; **l'autore archivia direttamente l'articolo** e i metadati in un **repository** convenzionato e lo condivide con la comunità scientifica; di solito il repository è predisposto dalla propria **istituzione** di riferimento

ROAR- Registry of Open Access

Repositories roar.eprints.org

Registro dei vari repository che contengono materiale OA

OpenAIRE openaire.eu

Piattaforma europea ufficiale per il deposito dei risultati della ricerca finanziati dai principali progetti europei





Modello Green Road

Green open access: ripubblicazione in un **repository ad accesso libero** ovvero in un **archivio istituzionale** di articoli apparsi in riviste peer reviewed nelle modalità e secondo i tempi previsti dall'editore, anche definita **self-archiving** (autoarchiviazione)

Il ricercatore pubblica su **journal toll access** (riviste convenzionali) una **versione** dell'articolo (pre, post-print, pdf)

deposita successivamente (+/- **embargo**) in un **repository istituzionale**, in base alle condizioni definite dal *publisher* nel **License Agreement**

Il ricercatore definisce le condizioni di accesso in base ai diritti d'uso che possiede





Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale
del Lazio e della Toscana M. Aleandri



green OA: la possibilità di archiviare su un **repository** pubblico e gratuitamente accessibile
una copia pre-publication dell'articolo ACCETTATO dalla rivista

È il caso di arXiv.org per la fisica e la matematica, di social network come **Academia.edu** o **ResearchGate** o dei molti archivi mantenuti dalle singole università


Per procedere con questa **autoarchiviazione** c'è bisogno però del **permesso** dell'editore
per esempio l'American Mathematical Society [pubblica in green OA tutte le sue riviste](#)



PRODUZIONE SCIENTIFICA in modalità GREEN open access

l'autore può pubblicare il suo articolo in un journal accessibile tramite sottoscrizione (**toll access**) e...

- depositare contemporaneamente una versione del proprio articolo per diffonderlo gratuitamente e liberamente in un **repository istituzionale o tematico liberamente accessibile (self-archiving)**
- definire le **condizioni di accesso** al documento in base alle condizioni contrattate con l'editore
- definire le **condizioni di riutilizzo** del documento in base ai diritti d'uso che possiede



Il limite della pubblicazione in *green OA* è però quello della **reperibilità**

Per es.: se mi interessa un articolo pubblicato su Nature di cui esiste una copia green OA, faticherò a trovarla in rete. È questo il problema che hanno cercato di risolvere i tre informatici Heather Piwowar, Jason Priem and Cristhian Parra con Unpaywall

UNPAYWALL è uno strumento, oggi installabile gratuitamente come estensione dei più diffusi browser, che collega le copie dietro *paywall* a quelle in OA





Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale
del Lazio e della Toscana M. Aleandri

Esempio:

"Seven temperate terrestrial planets around the nearby ultracool dwarf star TRAPPIST-1" pubblicato il 22 febbraio 2017 su *Nature*

Come reperirlo?

basterà andare [sul sito di Nature](#) per vedere apparire accanto all'articolo **un'icona verde che raffigura un lucchetto aperto**

cliccandoci sopra verremo indirizzati alla [versione OA](#) dello stesso lavoro depositata su arXiv.org





Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale
del Lazio e della Toscana *M. Aleandri*

UNPAYWALL

unpaywall.org

I OPEN ACCESS IN ITALIA 2018

unpaywall

User Guides Products Integrations About

An open database of 24.104.897 free scholarly articles.

We harvest Open Access content from over 50,000 publishers and repositories, and make it easy to find, track, and use.

LEARN MORE GET THE EXTENSION

14:28 03/09/2019




Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale
del Lazio e della Toscana *M. Aleandri*

Elenco archivi aperti

<http://v2.sherpa.ac.uk/opendoar>






The availability of gold and green OA copies by scientific discipline. The disciplines are shown by the gold ratio in descending order, rather than in alphabetical order.

[Ulteriori dettagli](#)

Björk B-C, Welling P, Laakso M, Majlender P, Hedlund T, Gudnason G - Björk et al. (2010). "Open Access to the Scientific Journal Literature: Situation 2009". *PLoS ONE*

CC BY 2.5 vedi termini

Red road: riviste IBRIDE





Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale
del Lazio e della Toscana M. Aleandri

Hybrid journals were crucially important to the growth
in immediate OA uptake

In 2016 UK researchers chose to publish more than half
their articles in these titles

the proportion of such articles published on immediate
OA terms **rose from 6% in 2012 to 28% in 2016**

Alicia Wise



Modello Ibrido

Viene considerata **RED ROAD**: quando una rivista **convenzionale**, con abbonamento a pagamento, distribuisce in open access qualche articolo, si può **opzionare** il formato open access, previo versamento di quote (APC = article processing charges) per es. Elsevier, Mary Ann Liebert, Springer, ecc:
per questi editori è fonte di profitto e di potere?

Il ricercatore pubblica su journal “**toll access**” in modalità **open choice** con il pagamento di un **APC – Article processing charge**



La versione pdf dell'articolo distribuita gratuitamente può essere riutilizzata secondo le regole delle licenze **CC (Creative Commons)***
Es. Mary Ann Liebert*



Double dipping

per questi editori è fonte di profitto e di potere?



Nel contesto di un **Hibrid Journal**, è il meccanismo per cui la medesima istituzione **sostiene due volte i costi di accesso** anche in presenza di articoli pubblicati in **OA**:

la prima volta come contributo **APC**

la seconda nella forma del **subscription fee**

Legacy publisher

Editore tradizionale che utilizza il modello economico toll access





Academic Publishing Services

alle più ampie facoltà da concedersi agli utilizzatori, adesso si tace su questo punto. Ed è proprio partendo da questo aspetto che proviamo a definire uno **spettro di varietà** dell'Open Access basato non tanto sul modello economico che lo sostiene, ma piuttosto osservando il fenomeno dalla parte dell'utilizzatore.

Consideriamo ad esempio un articolo scientifico pubblicato in una rivista, la sua disponibilità in rete può essere classificata con un sistema basato sulla disponibilità del materiale e strutturato in cinque categorie, di cui le prime quattro definiscono interamente e senza sovrapposizione l'Open Access:

1. Articolo disponibile in una rivista interamente in Open Access (**Gold Open Access** nella definizione di DOAJ, quindi senza embargo) con una certa licenza open di riutilizzo
2. Articolo pubblicato su una rivista a pagamento ma disponibile in un repository istituzionale (**Green Open Access**) con una certa licenza open di riutilizzo
3. Articolo pubblicato su una rivista a pagamento ma scaricabile gratuitamente sul sito dell'Editore con una certa licenza open di riutilizzo (**Hybrid Open Access**)
4. Articolo pubblicato e disponibile gratuitamente sul sito dell'Editore, ma senza che sia indicata una licenza di riutilizzo (**Bronze Open Access**)
5. Articolo disponibile a pagamento (**Toll Access**) tipicamente in abbonamento.


In questa elencazione abbiamo trascurato altre tipologie / definizioni di Open Access perché sottoinsiemi di queste indicate (ad es. **Diamond Open Access** è un caso particolare di Gold Open Access, ed è in questa descrizione irrilevante discriminare il modello economico con cui si realizza l'Open Access) oppure illegali (ad esempio **Black Open Access** ad indicare i repository che violano il copyright, come Sci-Hub) e controversi (si pensi ai Social network accademici dove gli autori caricano e condividono i propri articoli senza badare troppo al copyright).

la varietà "**Bronze**" : è un mix della Gold e dell'Hybrid perché fa riferimento sempre ad un articolo in Open Access messo a disposizione **nella responsabilità dell'Editore**. Ma di che cosa si tratta, allora? Sotto la definizione di **Bronze Open Access** si possono trovare gli articoli pubblicati a **scopo promozionale**, oppure in regime di **Delayed Open Access**, o ancora, più probabilmente, articoli di tipo **Gold Open Access** dove **l'Editore tuttavia non si pronuncia esplicitamente sulle licenze di riutilizzo**

La percentuale di articoli che rientra in questa categoria addirittura sembra **sopravanzare** tutte le altre, se possiamo credere a alcuni [recenti studi](#) e analisi statistiche effettuate dai creatori del plugin [UnpayWall](#)



Making Sense of CC Licenses



Licensing




Creative Commons - A suite of licences that set out the rights of authors and users, providing alternatives to the standard copyright. **CC licences** are widely used, simple to state, machine readable and have been created by legal experts. There are a variety of CC licences, each of which use one or more clauses, examples of which are given below. Some licences are compatible with Open Access in the Budapest sense, and some are not

CC Attribution (BY) - a licence clause that allows **the reuse, sharing, and remixing of materials providing the original author is appropriately attributed**. Aside from attribution the CC-BY licence has no other restrictions on copying. Compatible with free cultural works

CC NonCommercial (NC) - a licence clause allowing the reuse, sharing, and remixing of materials providing that it is for non-commercial purposes. Not compatible with free cultural works



Cosa sono le Licenze *Creative Commons* e a cosa servono ?



Quando si indica che un contenuto è protetto da **Copyright** significa che *tutti i diritti relativi ad esso sono riservati solamente all'autore*

Le **Licenze Creative Commons** permettono di condividere i contenuti con il modello di *alcuni diritti riservati*

Con esse perciò *l'autore permette a terzi* di riprodurli così come sono oppure modificandoli, però non perdendone totalmente il controllo, infatti chi li riutilizza sarà *obbligato a citare* il sito di provenienza o comunque l'autore dell'opera

Quali sono i tipi di Licenze disponibili?

ben **6** [licenze Creative Commons](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/)






















Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale
del Lazio e della Toscana M. Aleandri

LE TRE VIE DELL'OPEN ACCESS: la green, la red e la gold road

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/?lang=en>

CREATIVE COMMONS LICENSES		 COPY & PUBLISH	 ATTRIBUTION REQUIRED	 COMMERCIAL USE	 MODIFY & ADAPT	 CHANGE LICENSE
	PUBLIC DOMAIN	✓	✗		✓	✓
	CC BY	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	CC BY-SA	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
	CC BY-ND	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓
	CC BY-NC	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
	CC BY-NC-SA	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗
	CC BY-NC-ND	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓
 You can redistribute (copy, publish, display, communicate, etc.)		 You have to attribute the original work		 You can use the work commercially	 You can modify and adapt the original work	 You can choose license type for your adaptations of the work.



Che cos'è l'Open Science - Scienza Aperta

Open Access - Accesso Aperto

Open data - Dati aperti

L'accesso aperto a UniTrento

Enti finanziatori e requisiti in materia di accesso aperto

Strategie di pubblicazione e proprietà intellettuale

- Diritto d'autore e Licenze Creative Commons

Il contratto editoriale: avvertenze

Il ciclo aperto della ricerca

Risorse didattiche e di approfondimento

FAQ

copyright

tutti i diritti riservati

Creative Commons

alcuni diritti riservati

pubblico dominio

nessun diritto riservato

LINK UTILI

- Choose your licence - scegli le condizioni per condividere la tua opera
- Domande frequenti sul diritto d'autore

Diritto d'autore e Licenze Creative Commons

Diritto d'autore

In Italia il diritto d'autore è regolamentato dalla [legge 633/1941](#) e successive modifiche. Ai sensi dell'art 1 "Sono protette le opere dell'ingegno di carattere creativo che appartengono alla letteratura, alla musica, alle arti figurative, all'architettura, al teatro ed alla cinematografia qualunque ne sia il modo o la forma di espressione".

Il sistema italiano relativo al diritto d'autore individua due ambiti ben distinti:

- Diritti Morali
- Diritti patrimoniali

Licenze Creative Commons

Le licenze [Creative Commons](#) permettono di rendere il proprio lavoro disponibile a tutti affinché lo possano godere, condividere, utilizzare, adattare ai propri scopi o modificare nel pieno rispetto del diritto d'autore. Si collocano a metà strada tra il regime del copyright ("Tutti i diritti riservati") e il pubblico dominio ("Nessun diritto riservato") basandosi sul principio "Alcuni diritti riservati". L'autore può decidere autonomamente in merito a

- paternità dell'opera
- pubblicazione, copia e diffusione dell'opera
- modifiche dell'opera
- utilizzo dell'opera a fini economici
- utilizzo dell'opera a creazione di altre opere

Ci sono sei tipi di licenze alle quali si aggiunge la licenza **CC Zero (CC0)** con la quale l'autore rinuncia consapevolmente a tutti i diritti sulla sua opera che diviene automaticamente di **dominio pubblico**:

CC BY NC ND

CC BY NC ND

CC BY NC ND

CC BY NC ND

CC BY NC ND

open access - Cerca con Google

Diritto d'autore e Licenze Creative Commons

creative commons - Cerca con Google

h2020-hi-oa-data-mgt_en.pdf

Università degli Studi di Trento (IT) | https://r.unitn.it/ateneo/open-access/diritto-d-autore-e-licenze-creative-commons

creative commons

Più visitati

http://www.preview.p...

Come iniziare

Pew Research Center

Pew Research Center's s...

Pew Research Center's s...

Library Connect

SBM

Wikipedia:Risorse di i...

The Scholarly Kitchen

ACG Enterprise

File

Incolla

Diapositiva 48

Diapositiva 49

Diapositiva 50

Diapositiva 51

Diapositiva 52

Diapositiva

12:39

12/08/2019





Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale
del Lazio e della Toscana *M. Aleandri*

LE TRE VIE DELL'OPEN ACCESS: la green, la red e la gold road

QUIZ

Video 3.5: Open licenses | 3.5 | cc-by-sa-nc - Cerca con Google

https://courses.edx.org/courses/course-v1:DelftX+OS101x+2T2019/courseware/6152c231d21d416fb1581944da5f5e

0:30 / 2:59 Speed 1.0x

Video Subtitles Other

Subtitles (captions) in other languages than provided can be viewed at [YouTube](#). Select your language in the CC-button of YouTube.

This is a license for copyright holders who want to assign their work to the public domain.


Question 1

0 points possible (ungraded)

You have found an interesting publication in an open access journal that you would like to reuse. It is licensed with a CC-BY-SA-NC license. What are you allowed to do with this publication?

- ☒ Use some of the work described in the paper in your own publication, without mentioning the original author. You license your publication with CC-BY-SA-NC.
- ☐ Use some of the work described in the paper in your own publication, and attribute the original author. You license your publication with CC-BY-SA-NC.
- ☐ Use some of the work described in the paper in your own publication, and attribute the original author. You license your publication with CC-BY.
- ☐ Use some of the work described in the paper to publish a book with a commercial party, and attribute the original author. You license your publication with CC-BY-SA-NC.

Submit



Show Answer





Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale
del Lazio e della Toscana M. Aleandri

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/it/>

Risposta corretta

Video 3.5: Open licenses | 3.5 x Nuova scheda


<https://courses.edx.org/courses/course-v1:DelftX+OS101x+2T2019/courseware/6152c231d21d416fb1581944da5f5e>

open science mooc

Più visitati <http://www.preview.p...> Come iniziare [Pew Research Center](#) [Pew Research Center's...](#) [Pew Research Center's...](#) [Library Connect](#) [SBM](#) [Wikipedia:Risorse di i...](#) [The Scholarly Kitchen](#) [ACG Enterprise](#)

High 1080p
Medium 720p
Low 360p

per languages than provided can be viewed at [YouTube](#). Select your language in the CC-button of YouTube.



Question 1
0 points possible (ungraded)

You have found an interesting publication in an open access journal that you would like to reuse. It is licensed with a CC-BY-SA-NC license. What are you allowed to do with this publication?

- ☐ Use some of the work described in the paper in your own publication, without mentioning the original author. You license your publication with CC-BY-SA-NC.
- ☒ Use some of the work described in the paper in your own publication, and attribute the original author. You license your publication with CC-BY-SA-NC. ✓
- ☐ Use some of the work described in the paper in your own publication, and attribute the original author. You license your publication with CC-BY.
- ☐ Use some of the work described in the paper to publish a book with a commercial party, and attribute the original author. You license your publication with CC-BY-SA-NC.

Explanation
CC-BY-SA-NC means that you can use the original work in your own publication, as long as you:
* Attribute the original author (BY);
* Publish your work with the same license as the original author (SA);
* Do not use the work for commercial purposes (NC).

[Submit](#) [Show Answer](#)

Answers are displayed within the problem



Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale
del Lazio e della Toscana M. Aleandri

LE TRE VIE DELL'OPEN ACCESS: la green, la red e la gold road



Video 3.5: Open licenses | 3.5 | X W Licenze Creative Commons - X +

https://it.wikipedia.org/wiki/Licenze_Creative_Commons

cc-by-sa-nc

Le licenze Creative Commons, giunte nel novembre 2013 alla versione 4.0^[2], sono idealmente strutturate in due parti: la prima parte indica le libertà concesse dall'autore per la propria opera; la seconda, invece, espone le condizioni di utilizzo dell'opera stessa.

Le libertà [modifica | modifica wikitesto]

Le due libertà sono:

Simbolo	Condizione	Condizione (EN)	Descrizione
	Condividere	To Share	Libertà di copiare, distribuire o trasmettere l'opera.
	Rielaborare	To Remix	Libertà di riadattare l'opera.

Le condizioni di utilizzo dell'opera [modifica | modifica wikitesto]

Lo stesso argomento in dettaglio: *m:Free knowledge based on Creative Commons licenses/it*.

Le condizioni di utilizzo dell'opera, anche dette clausole, sono quattro e a ognuna è associato un simbolo grafico allo scopo di renderne più facile il riconoscimento:

Simbolo	Sigla	Condizione	Descrizione
	BY	Attribuzione <i>Attribution</i>	Permette che altri copino, distribuiscano, mostrino ed eseguano copie dell'opera e dei lavori derivati da questa a patto che venga indicato l'autore dell'opera, con le modalità da questi specificate. Ad esempio, potrebbe essere richiesto a chi cita un'opera di indicare oltre all'autore anche il link al sito web dell'opera o dell'autore.
	NC	Non commerciale <i>Non-Commercial</i>	Permette che altri copino, distribuiscano, mostrino ed eseguano copie dell'opera e lavori derivati da essa o sue rielaborazioni, solo per scopi non commerciali.
	ND	Non opere derivate <i>No Derivative Works</i>	Permette che altri copino, distribuiscano, mostrino ed eseguano soltanto copie identiche (<i>verbatim</i>) dell'opera; non sono ammesse opere derivate o sue rielaborazioni.
	SA	Condividi allo stesso modo <i>Share-Alike</i>	Permette che altri distribuiscano lavori derivati dall'opera solo con una licenza identica (non maggiormente restrittiva) o compatibile con quella concessa con l'opera originale (vedi anche <i>copyleft</i>).

Combinazioni: le sei licenze CC [modifica | modifica wikitesto]

Ognuna di queste quattro clausole individua una condizione particolare a cui il fruitore dell'opera deve sottostare per poterne usufruire liberamente. Combinandole si ottengono sedici possibili combinazioni, di cui undici sono licenze CC valide mentre le altre cinque non lo sono. Di queste ultime, quattro includono sia la clausola ND (*No Derivative Works*) sia quella SA (*Share-Alike*) che sono mutuamente esclusive, mentre una non è valida perché non contiene né la ND né la SA.

Delle undici combinazioni valide, le cinque che non presentano la clausola BY (*Attribution*) sono state ritirate perché richieste da meno del 3% degli utenti^[3]; rimangono tuttavia disponibili per la consultazione sul sito di Creative Commons.

Quindi le licenze Creative Commons in uso sono sei più la CC0 (*o pubblico dominio*)^[4]:

Copyright Creative Commons pubblico dominio
C CC BY NC SA
Tutti i diritti riservati Alcuni diritti riservati Nessun diritto riservato
Le licenze Creative Commons.





Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale
del Lazio e della Toscana M. Aleandri


Glossario dell'open_access.pdf - Adobe Acrobat Reader DC

File Modifica Vista Finestra ?

Home Strumenti Glossario_dell_open_...


6 / 21 146%

Attribuzione/Attribution




Presente in tutte le licenze, obbliga al riconoscimento dell'origine dei dati durante l'utilizzo o la ripubblicazione

Non commerciale/Non Commercial



Nega l'utilizzo dell'opera per scopi commerciali

Non opere derivate/No derivatives



Interdisce la possibilità di modificare, correggere, tradurre l'opera

Glossario dell'open access – Giovanni Salucci – versione 1.0 – CC BY NC SA 4.0 – 12/04/2016, p. 6

Condividi

Cerca 'Sottolineare'

Esporta PDF

Adobe Export PDF

Converti file PDF in Word o Excel online

Selezionare il file PDF

Glossario__access.pdf

Converti in

Microsoft Word (*.docx)

Lingua documento:
Italiano Cambia

Converti

Crea PDF

Modifica PDF

Commento

Combinare i file

Organizza pagine

Redigere

Converti e modifica i PDF
con Acrobat Pro DC

Attiva versione di prova

12:09
03/09/2019



Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale
del Lazio e della Toscana *M. Aleandri*

Glossario_dell_open_access.pdf - Adobe Acrobat Reader DC


File Modifica Vista Finestra ?

Home Strumenti Glossario_dell_open... x

7 / 21 146%

Glossario dell'open access - Giovanni Salucci - versione 1.0 - CC BY-NC-SA 4.0 - 12/04/2018, p. 8


Condividi allo stesso modo/Share Alike



Permette la possibile modifica, traduzione, sviluppo dell'opera, ma richiede che l'opera risultante possa essere distribuita mantenendo la medesima licenza.

In base alla combinazione di queste quattro clausole si possono definire le sei licenze Creative Commons (in ordine dalla più permissiva alla più restrittiva):

- 1) Attribuzione (CC BY)



- 2) Attribuzione + Condividi allo stesso modo (CC BY-SA)

Esporta PDF

Adobe Export PDF

Converti file PDF in Word o Excel online

Selezionare il file PDF

Glossario_dell_open_access.pdf

Converti in

Microsoft Word (*.docx)

Lingua documento:
Italiano Cambia

Converti

Crea PDF

Modifica PDF

Commento

Combinare i file

Organizza pagine

Redigere

Converti e modifica i PDF
con Acrobat Pro DC

Attiva versione di prova

12:10
03/09/2019





Glossario_dell_open_access.pdf - Adobe Acrobat Reader DC


File Modifica Vista Finestra ?

Home Strumenti Glossario_dell_open... x


Accedi

Condividi


2) Attribuzione + Condividi allo stesso modo (CC BY-SA)



3) Attribuzione + Non opere derivate (CC BY-ND)



4) Attribuzione + Non commerciale (CC BY-NC)



Esporta PDF

Adobe Export PDF

Converti file PDF in Word o Excel online

Selezionare il file PDF

Glossario_..._access.pdf

Converti in

Microsoft Word (*.docx)

Lingua documento:
Italiano [Cambia](#)

Converti

Crea PDF

Modifica PDF

Commento

Combinare i file

Organizza pagine

Redigere

Converti e modifica i PDF
con Acrobat Pro DC

Attiva versione di prova

210 x 297 mm

12:11
03/09/2019




Glossario_dell_open_access.pdf - Adobe Acrobat Reader DC

File Modifica Vista Finestra ?


Home Strumenti Glossario_dell_open... x

7 / 21 200%

5) Attribuzione + Non commerciale + Condividi allo stesso modo



6) Attribuzione + Non commerciale + Non opere derivate (CC BY-NC-ND)



Glossario dell'open access – Giovanni Salucci – versione 1.0 – CC BY NC SA 4.0 – 12/C

210 x 297 mm

12:11 03/09/2019

Accedi

Condividi

Cerca 'Sottolineare'

Esporta PDF

Adobe Export PDF

Converti file PDF in Word o Excel online

Selezionare il file PDF

Glossario_..._access.pdf

Converti in

Microsoft Word (*.docx)

Lingua documento:

Italiano Cambia

Converti

Crea PDF

Modifica PDF

Commento

Combinare i file

Organizza pagine

Redigere

Converti e modifica i PDF con Acrobat Pro DC

Attiva versione di prova



ESEMPIO: *Epidiomol infect*

HYG-LTP-Cambridge.pdf - Adobe Acrobat Reader DC

File Modifica Vista Finestra ?

Home Strumenti HYG-LTP-Cambrid... x

1 / 3 100%

Accedi

Condividi

RETURN ADDRESS: A hand-signed copy of this LTP must be uploaded to the journal's submission site.

GOLD OPEN ACCESS LICENCE TO PUBLISH ("LTP")

This LTP records the terms under which the article specified below will be published by the Chancellor, Masters, and Scholars of the University of Cambridge acting through its department Cambridge University Press of University Printing House, Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge CB2 8BS, UK ("Cambridge"). Cambridge is the exclusive publisher of the Journal.

Epidemiology & Infection (HYG) (the "Journal")

THE ARTICLE Identify the article and its authors

ARTICLE TITLE You must insert the full title of the article. Full Title: _____ (the "Contribution")

AUTHOR The lead, signing author must insert his / her full legal name, contact email address and country of permanent residence. Full Legal Name: _____ Email Address: _____ Pen Name: _____ Country of Residence: _____ (the "Author")

ARE THERE ANY CO-AUTHORS? You must check ONE of these two checkboxes.

☐ NO, the Contribution is not co-authored and the Author is entering into (and signing) this LTP for himself/herself ONLY.

☐ YES, the Contribution is co-authored and the Author is authorised by his/her CoAuthor(s) to enter into (and sign) this LTP on behalf of himself/herself AND the CoAuthor(s).

> Please insert the full legal names, addresses and country of permanent residence for all CoAuthor(s) in the space below. Attach extra pages, if necessary.

COAUTHOR ONE Full Legal Name: _____ Email Address: _____ Country of residence: _____

COAUTHOR TWO Full Legal Name: _____ Email Address: _____ Country of residence: _____ (each a "CoAuthor", together, the "CoAuthors")

WHO OWNS THE COPYRIGHT IN THE CONTRIBUTION AS A WHOLE, UPON ITS CREATION?

☐ The (Co)Author(s)

> If you checked this box, the Author must complete and sign Box 1 and Box 2 below in his/her capacity as authorised representative of both the Author and Copyright Holder.

☐ The employer(s) of the (Co)Author(s) (the Contribution was created during the course of employment and the ownership of the intellectual property in the Contribution is claimed by the employer(s)).

> If you checked this box, then you have indicated that the employer(s) of the (Co)Author(s) is/are the Copyright Holder.

> The Author must complete and sign Box 1 in his/her capacity as Author and as authorised representative of any CoAuthors.

> An authorised representative of the employer(s) must complete and sign Box 2 in his/her capacity as Copyright Holder.

☐ Other (the copyright in the Contribution is not owned by the Author or the Author's employer).

> If this is the case, do not use this LTP. Please contact the Journal's editor and provide details of the correct Copyright Holder.

LICENCE TERMS Decide how the article will be accessed by readers

CHOICE OF CREATIVE COMMONS LICENCE

By entering into this LTP you agree that the Version of Record (VoR) will be made available on the Cambridge Online Publishing Platform ("COPP") under the terms of a Creative Commons licence you select below, subject to payment of the relevant Article Processing Charge. If you do not choose a licence, the Contribution will be published under the CC BY 4.0 licence:

☐ CC BY 4.0 (Attribution)


☐ CC BY NC SA 4.0 (Attribution - Non-commercial - Share Alike)

☐ CC BY NC ND 4.0 (Attribution - Non-commercial - No Derivatives)

(each a "Creative Commons Licence")

> Please be mindful of the requirements of your funding body/ies (if applicable) when selecting a licence.

16:22
27/08/2019



ESEMPIO: *Epidiomol infect*

HYG-LTP-Cambridge.pdf - Adobe Acrobat Reader DC

File Modifica Vista Finestra ?

Home Strumenti HYG-LTP-Cambrid... x

125%

Condividi

STANDARD TERMS AND CONDITIONS

The (Co)Author(s) and the Copyright Holder hereby agree to be bound by the following terms and conditions:

1 DEFINITIONS

1.1 "Article Processing Charge" or "APC" means the payment by the (Co-) Author(s) to Cambridge to publish the VoR on the COPP under the terms of this LTP.

1.1.1 Unless Cambridge, at its sole discretion, waives or discounts the APC, the Contribution will not be published until the APC is paid in full.

1.1.2 Where applicable, a separate invoice shall be issued to the appropriate entity for the payment of the APC and the (Co)Author(s) is/are responsible for providing Cambridge with sufficient details to issue the invoice.

1.1.3 Publication of the VoR under the CC BY NC SA or CC BY ND Creative Commons Licences expressly does not permit any commercial reuse of the VoR under the Creative Commons Licences without permission from Cambridge, to include reuse on commercial repositories and social media sites.

1.2 "Contribution" means the article written by the (Co)Author(s) as identified on page one of this LTP and includes, without exception, all the following versions of the article:

1.2.1 Accepted Manuscript ("AM"): the version of the Contribution that has been accepted for publication. This version may include revisions resulting from peer review but may be subject to further editorial input by Cambridge.

1.2.2 Version of Record ("VoR"): the version of the Contribution that is formally published by Cambridge. This includes any 'FirstView article' that is formally identified as being published before the compilation of a volume or issue as long as it is citable via a permanent identifying Digital Object Identifier ("DOI"). This does not include any 'early release article' that has not yet been fixed by processes that are still to be applied, such as copy-editing, proof corrections, layout, and typesetting. The VoR includes any corrected or enhanced VoR.

2 LICENCE

2.1 In consideration for publication of the Contribution, the Copyright Holder hereby grants to Cambridge:

2.1.1 a non-exclusive licence to publish, reproduce, distribute, and sell the Contribution or any part of it in all forms and media and in all languages throughout the world, whether print, digital / electronic, whether now known or hereinafter invented, and to grant sublicences of all translation and subsidiary rights;

2.1.2 a non-exclusive licence to exploit all other rights in the nature of copyright, including rental, lending and database rights and all other publishing and print on demand rights in the Contribution;

2.2 The Licence shall commence upon Cambridge's formal acceptance to publish the Contribution and shall endure for the legal term of copyright in the Contribution.

2.3 The Author hereby asserts his/her moral right (and, as applicable, has obtained authority from his/her CoAuthor(s) to assert their moral rights) always to be identified as the author(s) of the Contribution in accordance with the provisions of the UK Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.

3 UNDERTAKINGS AND REPRESENTATIONS

3.1 Notwithstanding the non-exclusive licence rights granted to Cambridge under Clause 2.1 above, the Copyright Holder and the (Co)Author(s) agree and undertake that:

3.1.1 at the time of execution of this LTP the Contribution or any prior version of it, is not currently under consideration, in whole or in part, for publication in a peer-reviewed scholarly journal; and

3.1.2 during the period beginning on the date of acceptance of this LTP and ending on the date of Cambridge's publication of the VoR in the Journal, the Contribution will not be submitted for publication nor published in any peer-reviewed scholarly journal, either in whole or in part.

3.2 Nothing in Clause 3.1 shall restrict or prevent any use of the Contribution which falls under and is consistent with the terms of this LTP.

3.3 The (Co)Author(s) and/or the Copyright Holder, as applicable, hereby undertake and represent that:

3.3.1 they each have full authority and power to agree to this LTP;

3.3.2 the Contribution is original and has not been previously published in whole or in part;

3.3.3 the Contribution contains nothing that infringes any existing copyright or licence or any other intellectual property right of any third-party;

3.3.4 the Contribution contains nothing that breaches a duty of confidentiality or discloses any private or personal information of any person without that person's written consent;

3.3.5 all statements contained in the Contribution purporting to be facts are true and any formula, instruction or equivalent contained therein will not, if followed accurately, cause any injury or damage to the user;

3.3.6 the Contribution does not contain any libellous or unlawful material, or any material which would harm the reputation of Cambridge;

3.3.7 where the Author is signing on behalf of the CoAuthor(s), the Author has obtained prior written consent from each of the CoAuthors expressly authorising the Author to do so;

Page 2 of 3

16:23 27/08/2019



ESEMPIO: *Epidiomol infect*

HYG-LTP-Cambridge.pdf - Adobe Acrobat Reader DC

File Modifica Vista Finestra ?

Home Strumenti HYG-LTP-Cambrid... x

Page 2 of 3

expressly authorising the Author to do so;

LTP / STM - 024a

3.3.8 there are no actual or apparent conflicts of interest connected to the Contribution that have not previously been declared to Cambridge University Press. A conflict of interest is understood to exist if an interest (financial or otherwise) exerts or appears to exert undue influence on the analysis or conclusions in the Contribution, the choice of subject matter, or in any other way that impedes or appears to impede the (Co)Author(s)'s objectivity or independence.

3.4 The (Co)Author(s) confirm that they have obtained licences to publish any third-party material (including but not limited to textual, illustrative, audio and video content) within the Contribution throughout the world in all languages and in all forms and media consistent with the Creative Commons licence selected for publication of the Contribution; appropriate acknowledgement to the original source of all such materials has been made and, in the case of audio/video material, appropriate release forms have been obtained from the individual(s) whose likenesses are represented in the Contribution. Copies of all licences and/or release documentation will, on request, be forwarded to the Journal's editor prior to publication of the Contribution.

3.5 Any use of the AM by the (Co)Author(s) must include the following statement: "This article has been accepted for publication in *Epidemiology & Infection* and will appear there in a final form in the future." and, where possible, a link to the VoR on the COPP using a DOI link, e.g.: [http://dx.doi.org/\(DOI\)](http://dx.doi.org/(DOI)).

3.6 Any use of the VoR by the (Co)Author(s) should include a link to the VoR on the COPP using a DOI link, e.g., [http://dx.doi.org/\(DOI\)](http://dx.doi.org/(DOI)).

3.7 In the event that the (Co)Author(s) and/or the Copyright Holder are in breach of any of these undertakings Cambridge shall have the right to cease making

the Contribution available and/or to require that the (Co)Author(s) make any necessary changes to the Contribution (including any factual information).

4 MISCELLANEOUS

4.1 Cambridge cooperates with various copyright licensing schemes which allow material to be photocopied within agreed restraints (e.g. the Copyright Clearance Center in the US and the Copyright Licensing Agency in the UK). Any proceeds received by Cambridge from such licences, together with any proceeds resulting from sales of subsidiary rights in the Contribution, shall be used by Cambridge to support the continuing publication of its academic works.

4.2 The information contained on this form will be held for record-keeping purposes by Cambridge. The name(s) of the Author and any CoAuthor(s) may be reproduced in the Journal and provided to print and online indexing and abstracting services and bibliographic databases. Cambridge complies with the UK Data Protection Act and its requirements for the retention, use and storage of Personal Data (as such term is defined in that Act).

5 ENTIRE AGREEMENT AND GOVERNING LAW

5.1 This LTP contains the entire agreement between Cambridge and the (Co)Author(s) concerning the Contribution and supersedes all related prior agreements, arrangements and understandings (whether written or oral). No addition to or modification of any provision of this LTP shall be binding unless it is in writing and signed on behalf of Cambridge and the (Co)Author(s).

5.2 This LTP is governed by the laws of England and Wales and is subject to the exclusive jurisdiction of the English courts.

16:24
27/08/2019



Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale
del Lazio e della Toscana M. Aleandri

contratto



Fwd: Journal of Apicultural Research - Please complete your author agreement - Unicode (UTF-8)

Messaggio

Posta indesiderata Elimina
Rispondi a tutti
Rispondi
Inoltra
Messaggio istantaneo
Aggiungi al calendario
Sposta in
Copia in
Contrassegno
Segui
Copia
Trova testo
Codifica
Precedente
Successivo
Esplora

Fwd: Journal of Apicultural Research - Please complete your author agreement
Giovanni Formato
A: Patrizia Gradito;
18/09/2019 10:19

From: authoragreement@taylorandfrancis.com
To: "giovanni.formato@izslt.it" <giovanni.formato@izslt.it>
Sent: Wednesday, September 18, 2019 9:05:08 AM
Subject: Journal of Apicultural Research - Please complete your author agreement

Your Author Publishing Agreement (APA) with Taylor and Francis

Attention: Giovanni Formato

Hello,

In order to publish your article, "Acaricide efficacy and honey bee toxicity of three new formic acid-based products to control Varroa destructor", we ask that you complete your Author Publishing Agreement. Please click the link below (or copy the URL into your browser) to launch our online Author Publishing Agreement portal. The process should take only a few minutes. In most cases, you will receive immediate notice that your agreement is accepted and will be able to download a copy of it for your records.

Please do not reply to this email. If you need immediate assistance concerning your article, please instead contact TJAR-production@journals.tandf.co.uk.

Thank you.

[Start »](https://authoragreement.taylorandfrancisgroup.com/Start/77550e6d-9699-40a3-a72f-66cbfafd849f)

<https://authoragreement.taylorandfrancisgroup.com/Start/77550e6d-9699-40a3-a72f-66cbfafd849f>

© 2015 - Informa UK Limited, an Informa Group Company

IZSLT "M. Aleandri"
Head of Apiculture Laboratory
V. Appia Nuova 1411
00178 Roma

<https://authoragreement.taylorandfrancisgroup.com/Start/77550e6d-9699-40a3-a72f-66cbfafd849f>



Esercizio della titolarità del copyright!

AcceptedAuthorPublishingAgreement.pdf (PROTETTO) - Adobe Acrobat Reader DC

File Modifica Vista Finestra ?

Home Strumenti AcceptedAuthorPu... x

Accedi

Condividi

Cerca "Disegnare una linea"

Esporta PDF
Crea PDF
Modifica PDF
Commento
Combinate i file
Organizza pagine
Redigere
Proteggere
Ottimizza PDF
Compila e firma
Invia per revisione
Altri strumenti

Converti e modifica i PDF
con Acrobat Pro DC
Attiva versione di prova

11:08
18/09/2019

LICENSE AGREEMENT

This is a licence agreement under which you, the author, retain copyright in your article, and grant the International Bee Research Association, 91 Brinsea Road, Congresbury, Bristol, BS49 5JJ, UK (hereinafter 'the Society') to allow us and our publisher Informa UK Limited registered in England under no. 1072954 trading as Taylor & Francis Group, Registered Office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH (hereinafter 'Taylor & Francis') a non-exclusive licence to publish your article, including abstract, tables, figures, data, and supplemental material hosted by our publisher, as the Version of Record in the Journal on an Open Access basis under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License (CC BY-NC-ND) <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/> subject to the Terms & Conditions set out below.

ARTICLE TITLE ('Article'): Acaricide efficacy and honey bee toxicity of three new formic acid-based products to control Varroa destructor

ARTICLE DOI: 10.1080/00218839.2019.1656788

AUTHOR(S): Marco Pietropaoli, Giovanni Corrado

JOURNAL TITLE ('Journal'): Journal of Apicultural Research

JOURNAL ISSN: 2078-6913

APC Quote ID (if applicable): Q-14550

In consideration of the publication of the Article, you hereby grant with full title guarantee all rights of copyright and related rights in the above specified Article as the Version of Scholarly Record which is intended for publication in all forms and all media (whether known at this time or developed at any time in the future) throughout the world, in all languages, for the full term of copyright, to take effect if and when the Article is accepted for publication in the Journal.

- I confirm that I have read and accept the full terms of the Journal's Article Publishing Agreement including the Terms & Conditions.
- I confirm the article will be made available under the following access and use licence. I have read and understood the terms of this licence: Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License (CC BY-NC-ND) <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.
- I confirm that I agree to assume responsibility for any applicable payment of the Article Publishing Charge.
- I confirm I grant the Society the rights to publish my article on an [Open Access](#) basis, in all forms and all media (whether known at this time or developed at any time in the future) throughout the world, including the right to translate the article into other



AcceptedAuthorPublishingAgreement.pdf (PROTETTO) - Adobe Acrobat Reader DC

File Modifica Vista Finestra ?

Home Strumenti AcceptedAuthorPu... x

1 / 3 125%

Condividi

Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License (CC BY-NC-ND) <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/> subject to the Terms & Conditions set out below.

ARTICLE TITLE ('Article'):	Acaricide efficacy and honey bee toxicity of three new formic acid-based products to control Varroa destructor
ARTICLE DOI:	10.1080/00218839.2019.1656788
AUTHOR(S):	Marco Pietropaoli, Giovanni Formato
JOURNAL TITLE ('Journal'):	Journal of Apicultural Research
JOURNAL ISSN:	2078-6913
APC Quote ID (if applicable):	Q-14550

In consideration of the publication of the Article, you hereby grant with full title guarantee all rights of copyright and related rights in the above specified Article as the Version of Scholarly Record which is intended for publication in all forms and all media (whether known at this time or developed at any time in the future) throughout the world, in all languages, for the full term of copyright, to take effect if and when the Article is accepted for publication in the Journal.

- I confirm that I have read and accept the full terms of the Journal's Article Publishing Agreement including the Terms & Conditions.
- I confirm the article will be made available under the following access and use licence. I have read and understood the terms of this licence: Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License (CC BY-NC-ND) <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.
- I confirm that I agree to assume responsibility for any applicable payment of the Article Publishing Charge.
- I confirm I grant the Society the rights to publish my article on an [Open Access](#) basis, in all forms and all media (whether known at this time or developed at any time in the future) throughout the world, including the right to translate the article into other languages, create adaptations, summaries or extracts of the article or other derivative works based on the article and the right to sub-license all such rights to others subject to the Terms & Conditions set out below, to take effect if and when the article is accepted for publication. If a statement of government or corporate ownership appears above, that statement modifies this assignment as described.
- I confirm that I have read and accept my author warranties.
- I confirm that I have read and agree to comply with Taylor & Francis' [policy on publishing ethics](#).

GRANT OF PUBLISHING RIGHTS

Signed and dated: Giovanni Formato, 27 August 2019

International Bee Research Association, 27 August 2019

Cerca 'Disegnare una linea'

- Esporta PDF
- Crea PDF
- Modifica PDF
- Commento
- Combinare i file
- Organizza pagine
- Redigere
- Proteggere
- Ottimizza PDF
- Compila e firma
- Invia per revisione
- Altri strumenti

Converti e modifica i PDF con Acrobat Pro DC

Attiva versione di prova


11:10 18/09/2019





Creative Commons Licenses

Many OA works have **Creative Commons (CC)** licenses, which grant the public permission to use the work in more ways than traditional copyright allows.





Incredulità di San Tommaso, Caravaggio, (1600-1)





Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale
del Lazio e della Toscana M. Aleandri

FAQ sul copyright

<https://euiipo.europa.eu/ohimportal/it/web/observatory/faqs-on-copyright-it#1>

open access - Cerca con Google Domande frequenti sul diritto d'autore creative commons - Cerca con Google h2020-hi-oa-data-mgt_en.pdf

https://euiipo.europa.eu/ohimportal/it/web/observatory/faqs-on-copyright-it#1

Più visitati http://www.preview.p... Come iniziare Pew Research Center Pew Research Center's... Pew Research Center's... Library Connect SBM Wikipedia:Risorsse di i... The Scholarly Kitchen ACG Enterprise

in questa specifica categoria di opere protette per variare in modo significativo, a seconda del carattere e della natura dell'opera, la riproduzione di una foto non è particolarmente originale e ritrae semplicemente persone o aspetti, elementi o fatti della vita naturale e sociale (comprese le riproduzioni dell'arte figurativa e i fotogrammi delle pellicole cinematografiche) la foto è protetta da un diritto esclusivo limitato ad una durata di 20 anni decorrenti dalla realizzazione della foto.

4. In cosa consiste una violazione del diritto d'autore? Corro qualche rischio a non rispettarne le regole? Che cosa accade se commetto un illecito?

Si viola il diritto d'autore quando si utilizza un'opera protetta senza aver ottenuto idonea autorizzazione dal titolare dei diritti, e l'attività in questione non rientra in un'eccezione o limitazione al diritto d'autore. Con le eccezioni al diritto d'autore la legislazione vigente individua casi e situazioni in cui sono consentite libere utilizzazioni per bilanciare la protezione del diritto d'autore con la tutela di obiettivi e valori che, spesso, si pongono in antitesi con esso (per esempio la libertà d'espressione e comunicazione, la tutela della riservatezza degli utenti, il progresso artistico e scientifico, etc.). Il tipo di problemi cui si può andare incontro commettendo una violazione del diritto d'autore dipende soprattutto dalla gravità dell'illecito e dall'applicazione di misure e sanzioni che possono essere chieste e ottenute dai titolari dei diritti, anche on-line, in casi di violazioni massive irrogate, direttamente dall'autorità amministrativa (per esempio AGCOM) o giudiziaria. Nell'era digitale il diritto d'autore è diventato più vulnerabile, laddove soggetto a violazioni anche di grandi proporzioni, se solo si pensa alla condivisione non autorizzata di grandi quantità di dati e materiali protetti dal diritto d'autore per mezzo di piattaforme "peer to peer" e di programmi di c.d. "file sharing" e di siti quali i "Torrent". È bene ricordare che la mancanza di consapevolezza del carattere illecito di una certa utilizzazione di opere protette dal diritto d'autore può rendere meno grave o non punibile una certa utilizzazione dal punto di vista penale: può essere però del tutto irrilevante nei casi in cui l'autorità amministrativa sia chiamata a irrogare sanzioni monetarie o l'autorità giudiziaria debba pronunciarsi su richieste di danni da parte dei titolari dei diritti nei confronti dell'utente.

5. A quali condizioni posso utilizzare un'opera protetta dal diritto d'autore creata da altri? Mi è stato detto che l'uso di un'opera altrui è semplicemente una citazione e quindi deve sempre ritenersi permesso.

Le opere creative altrui possono essere utilizzate senza problemi – anche parzialmente – previa autorizzazione del titolare dei diritti d'autore. L'autorizzazione può anche essere espressa, specialmente in ambienti digitali, attraverso modelli standard di licenza che si ritrovano in siti web o nel documento o cartella elettronica che contiene l'opera e informano riguardo alle attività che, per volontà dell'autore e/o del titolare del relativo diritto, si è liberi di intraprendere, senza correre il rischio di violare alcuna disposizione di legge. Esempi efficaci di tali licenze sono i termini e le condizioni d'uso che accompagnano un prodotto che si acquista o un servizio cui ci si abbona, specialmente on-line (si pensi ai negozi digitali che permettono di scaricare musica e film o di accedervi in "streaming"). Esempi di licenze standard di carattere non commerciale sono le licenze elaborate da Creative Commons per vari tipi di utilizzazione. In assenza di una licenza o di un'autorizzazione standard, espressa in uno dei modi suddetti, è possibile che l'uso che s'intende realizzare con un'opera creativa altrui sia giustificato e reso libero da una delle eccezioni e limitazioni al diritto d'autore stabilite dalla legge per tutelare e incoraggiare utilizzazioni socialmente e/o economicamente utili. Citazioni ed estratti da opere protette, per esempio, sono permesse da specifiche eccezioni nella misura in cui l'utente non autorizzato indichi il nome dell'autore e la fonte dell'opera e citi l'opera per criticarla o discuterla o la utilizzi (parzialmente) per scopi non commerciali in attività di insegnamento o ricerca. Le citazioni e gli estratti appena menzionati sono permessi nei limiti giustificati dai fini di critica, discussione, insegnamento e ricerca e, per essere legittimi, non devono danneggiare lo sfruttamento commerciale dell'opera, riservato per legge al titolare dei diritti d'autore.

6. Posso utilizzare musica protetta da diritto d'autore come colonna sonora di un video amatoriale che ho realizzato e vorrei pubblicare su una piattaforma video?

Secondo la legislazione vigente, non si può utilizzare una composizione musicale e la relativa registrazione per la produzione di un video amatoriale senza l'autorizzazione dei titolari dei diritti d'autore e dei diritti a questo connessi (e cioè i diritti degli artisti, dei musicisti interpreti e dei produttori discografici). Occorre considerare che l'uso non autorizzato di un'opera musicale preesistente e la relativa registrazione musicale per un video amatoriale pubblicato su una piattaforma digitale equivale non solo a una riproduzione non autorizzata ma anche a una forma di



Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale
del Lazio e della Toscana M. Aleandri

<https://www.ia.unibo.it/new/puoi-mantenere-i-diritti-sulla-tua-opera>

OA@unibo.it

Cerca

In Unibo Come Cos'è utile Perché è importante Editori italiani Eventi Corsi e formazione Video Open Science

Mantenere i diritti sulla propria opera

Per la **Legge Italiana sul Diritto d'Autore** (L.633/1941) i diritti di sfruttamento economico sono fra di loro indipendenti (art. 19):
«I diritti esclusivi previsti dagli articoli precedenti sono fra loro indipendenti. L'esercizio di uno di essi non esclude l'esercizio esclusivo di ciascuno degli altri diritti».

Questo significa che **non è necessario cedere tutti i diritti in esclusiva per poter essere pubblicati**.

Ci sono tre strumenti che si possono utilizzare:

- i **Contratti non esclusivi** (**modelli europei**, non in italiano)
- gli **Addenda**, clausole da aggiungere se si vuole/deve firmare il contratto proposto dall'editore
- le **Licenze Creative Commons**, con cui l'autore decide quali diritti vuole mantenere. Sono spiegate bene in questo **video** di pochi minuti.

Potete consultare anche i **Dubbi sul copyright** in IRIS/AperTO.

[In Unibo](#)

[Regolamento di Ateneo](#)

[Open Access in pratica](#)

[Open Data](#)

[Eventi](#)

[Horizon2020](#)

[Risorse](#)

"Open Access all'Università di Torino"
dell'Ufficio Accesso aperto ed Editoria
elettronica è distribuito con una licenza
Creative Commons Attribuzione 3.0 Italia



La pagina è curata da: Elena Giglia (testi) e
Alessandro Leccese (grafica)

Se vuoi contattarci, scrivi a
openaccess@unibo.it

Le foto sono di Elena Giglia, utilizzabili
liberamente con Licenza Creative Commons
Attribuzione 3.0. Ne trovate altre da usare
come volete sulla [pagina Flickr](#)



UNIVERSITÀ
DEGLI STUDI
DI TORINO



Browser window showing the website **IRIS ApeTO** (Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale del Lazio e della Toscana M. Aleandri).

The page title is **IRIS ApeTO**.

The page content is titled **Dubbi sul copyright** (Copyright questions).

The page lists 14 questions related to copyright and Open Access:

- Una domanda di fondo: l'Open Access è compatibile con il diritto d'autore/copyright?
- Come posso sapere qual è la versione consentita dall'editore?
- Cosa si intende per pre-print/post-print?
- E se il mio editore prevede un periodo di embargo per l'Open Access?
- E se il mio editore non consente il deposito in Open Access in nessuna forma?
- Posso inserire in Open Access il pdf con la versione finale dell'editore?
- Posso associare una licenza Creative Commons a tutti i miei lavori?
- E se ho pubblicato su una rivista Open Access o con un editore Open Access di monografie?
- Cosa devo fare se l'editore mi chiede di ritirare un mio lavoro messo in Open Access?
- E se non ho firmato alcun contratto?
- Perché devo sottoscrivere una licenza per il deposito del mio lavoro in ApeTO?
- Posso ancora firmare ulteriori contratti sulla mia opera dopo aver firmato la licenza?
- Posso negoziare di nuovo le condizioni del contratto firmato con l'editore per garantirmi il deposito in ApeTO?
- Devo sempre cedere tutti i diritti all'editore?

Powered by IRIS - about IRIS

Copyright © 2015



Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale
del Lazio e della Toscana M. Aleandri

LE TRE VIE DELL'OPEN ACCESS: la green, la red e la gold road

Antiplagio e copyright

The screenshot shows a computer screen with two web pages open. The left page is the Copyscape website, which features a search bar for finding copies of a page on the web. Below the search bar are four icons: a play button for 'About Copyscape', a magnifying glass for 'Copyscape Premium', an envelope for 'Copysentry', and a banner for 'Banners'. The right page is an article titled 'Le mie linee guida per evitare di essere penalizzati da Google Panda 4.0 :'. The article provides advice on avoiding penalties from Google Panda 4.0, including creating quality content, not copying from other sites, verifying and citing sources, and writing for users rather than search engines. The article also includes a list of related articles and a section for receiving extra content via email.

COPYSCAPE

Search for copies of your page on the web.

<http://www.copyscape.com>

[About Copyscape](#)
Watch the video

[Copyscape Premium](#)
Check if content is original

[Copysentry](#)
Automatic plagiarism alerts

[Banners](#)
Defend your site

Le mie linee guida per evitare di essere penalizzati da Google Panda 4.0 :

Vi consiglio innanzitutto di leggere questo mio vecchio articolo in cui parlavo di come evitare di essere penalizzati da Google.

- Creare Contenuti di qualità
- Non Copiare da altri siti
- Verifica e Cita sempre le tue fonti
- Scrivi per gli Utenti, non per i Motori di Ricerca

PS. Ho cercato di riportare tutto quello che sono riuscito a raccogliere di importante dal web, però devo dire che per adesso riguardo Google Panda 4.0 la penso come [Pasquale Gangemi](#) !

Articoli Correlati:

1. I prodotti di Google a cui non si può rinunciare
2. Cosa non è piaciuto ai WWWokers di verybello.it !
3. Come ottimizzare la sitemap per Google !
4. Licenze Creative Commons: cosa sono e come funzionano

VUOI RICEVERE CONTENUTI EXTRA?

Email *





CC NoDerivatives (ND) - a licence clause requiring that derivatives are not made of the original works. Not compatible with free cultural works

CC ShareAlike (SA) - a licence clause requiring that derivative works have the same licence as the original. Compatible with free cultural works

CC 0 - waiver of copyright; no rights reserved. Places content as openly as possible in the public domain

BSD (Berkeley Software Distribution) - A family of UNIX-like operating systems. **GNU GPL** (General Public License) - A free copyleft license for software and other kinds of works

Apache License - A free software license by the Apache Software Foundation


MIT License - An open and permissive software license.

Author Addendum - An author addendum is a supplemental or added agreement to a publishing contract that defines or changes the terms of the contract, often focusing on the transfer of copyright ownership. For authors of scholarly works, an author addendum to a publisher's standard publication contract may be necessary to help ensure that authors protect important rights, such as the right to post their articles online to a personal website or in a digital repository; the right to use their works within a classroom setting; or the right to use their works as the foundation for future research



<https://sparcopen.org/our-work/author-rights/introduction-to-copyright-resources/>

L'Associazione delle Biblioteche di Ricerche americana ha constatato il crescente bisogno di accesso all'informazione scientifica: ha fondato la **coalizione della pubblicazione di ricerca**: «**Scholarly Publishing and Academic Resources Coalition**» (SPARC)



The screenshot shows a web browser window displaying the SPARC website. The address bar shows the URL: <https://sparcopen.org/our-work/author-rights/introduction-to-copyright-resources/>. The page features a dark header with the SPARC logo and navigation links: 'Who We Are', 'What We Do', 'Why It Matters', and 'Become a Member'. Below the header, the main content area discusses copyright resources. It includes a paragraph about making copies for classroom use, a section titled 'Securing your rights' with two bullet points, and a section titled 'Information resources' with two bullet points. The page also has a 'SHARE' section with social media icons for Facebook, Twitter, and Email. The browser's taskbar at the bottom shows various application icons, and the system clock indicates 12:28 on 12/08/2019.

make copies for classroom use. To take advantage of the greater opportunity now available to communicate your research results, you need these rights to the articles you produce.

Securing your rights

Here are two mechanisms you can use to maintain these rights:

- The SPARC Author's Addendum preserves rights for broader use of your research.
- If your research is funded by the National Institutes of Health, they offer language that modifies a publisher's copyright agreement to give you the rights to follow their Public Access Policy. This policy requests deposit of articles resulting from research they have funded into their open access online repository.

Information resources

Various resources are available to help you understand copyright agreements. Here are three that you may find useful:

- **Publisher copyright policies & self-archiving:** A convenient summary listing of permissions that are normally given as part of individual publishers' copyright transfer agreements. From the ROMEO and SHERPA projects in the United Kingdom.
- **Reserving Rights of Use in Works Submitted for Publication: Negotiating Publishing Agreements:** Practical guidance on managing your copyright from the Copyright Management Center of Indiana University-Purdue University Indianapolis.




SHARE

https://sparcopen.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/01/Access-Reuse_Addendum.pdf



Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale
del Lazio e della Toscana M. Aleandri

SPARC



da copiare.pdf - Adobe Acrobat Reader DC

File Modifica Vista Finestra ?

Home Strumenti da copiare.pdf x

68 / 94 107%

Transformative agreements / cosa sono

ES@C Efficiency and Standards for Article Charges

START MARKET WATCH TRANSFORMATIVE AGREEMENTS WORKFLOWS RESOURCES

Get online without the la

TRANSFORMATIVE AGREEMENTS

What are transformative agreements?

Transformative agreements are those contracts negotiated between institutions (libraries, national and regional consortia) and publishers that **transform the business model underlying scholarly journals from subscription to open access**. As the vast majority of scholarly publishing and expenditure of any given institution tends to be concentrated in journals produced by a relatively small number of publishers, implementing transformative agreements with these publishers constitutes a high-impact strategy: many institutions and consortia find that by negotiating such agreements with fewer than 10 publishers, they can achieve immediate open access for the vast majority of their outputs.

They have a **variety of configurations** that reflect the diverse and fluid landscape of scholarly communication, starting with "offsetting" through to the recent "Publish & Read, or PAR" model, and more.

Agreements continue to evolve as they are increasingly adopted around the world and the body of evidence on their impact grows.

Put into practice, **instead of paying fees for access to journals behind subscription paywalls, funds are repurposed to remunerate publishers for their editorial services associated with the open access publication of accepted articles**.

In most models, fees are articulated at the article level or in proportion to the number of articles published, disbanding the lump-sums fees of subscriptions that are largely based on legacy print expenditures. **The fundamental principles of transformative agreements include that they are**

international principles, guidelines & best practices <http://esac-initiative.org/about/transformative-agreements/>

Agreement Registry

Publisher	Country	Customer	Size (# annual publications)	Start Date	End Date	Details/ID
Springer Nature	Germany	Max Planck Digital Library	300	2015/11/1	12/31/2018	sc2015mpdi
Wiley	Germany	Projekt DEAL/MPDL Services GmbH	9500	2019/01/01	12/31/2021	wiley2019deal
IOP Publishing	Germany	Max Planck Digital Library	140	01/01/2018	12/31/2020	iopri2018mpdi
RSC	Germany	Max Planck Digital Library	140	01/01/2017	12/31/2018	rscrap2017mpdi

Strumenti di ricerca

Esporta PDF

Adobe Export PDF
Converti file PDF in Word o Excel online

Selezionare il file PDF
da copiare.pdf

Converti in
Microsoft Word (*.docx)

Lingua documento:
Italiano [Cambia](#)

Converti

Crea PDF

Modifica PDF

Commento

Combinare i file


Organizza pagine

Redigere

Converti e modifica i PDF con Acrobat Pro DC
[Attiva versione di prova](#)

11:35 02/08/2019

Lo SPARC Author Addendum




The **SPARC Author Addendum** is a legal instrument that you can **use to modify your copyright transfer agreements with non-open access journal publishers**

It allows you to select which individual rights out of the bundle of **copyrights** you want to keep, such as:

- distributing copies in the course of teaching and research
- posting the article on a personal or institutional Web site
- creating derivative works



addenda ai contratti editoriali



Per poter pubblicare, non è necessario cedere o licenziare tutti i diritti patrimoniali alla **casa editrice**, alla quale serve soltanto il diritto di **prima pubblicazione** sebbene la maggior parte dei contratti editoriali (**Copyright Transfer Agreements**) preveda la cessione completa dei diritti. Al momento della firma del contratto, l'autore deve avere cura di **leggerlo** in tutte le sue parti. È bene che l'autore si accordi con l'editore specificatamente per quanto riguarda:

la **riproduzione e la divulgazione** della propria opera per **fini didattici e/o di ricerca**

la pubblicazione della propria opera su **siti personali e/o istituzionali** e il suo **deposito in archivi istituzionali ad accesso aperto**


la **produzione di opere derivate a scopo didattico**

Per salvaguardare alcuni dei propri diritti patrimoniali l'autore può avvalersi, in fase di stipula di contratto con l'editore, degli **addenda editoriali**: e l'**addendum** è un **contratto addizionale** che ha come scopo la modifica del contratto di edizione **riservando all'autore i diritti che gli consentono di riutilizzare la propria opera**, ad esempio a fini didattici o per il deposito della stessa in un **archivio istituzionale/disciplinare ad accesso aperto**

Spare e Science Commons hanno predisposto un "Addendum al contratto editoriale"



<https://www.oa.unito.it/new/addenda-ai-contratti-editoriali/>



OA@unito.it

In UnITO Come Cos'è utile Perché è importante Editori italiani Eventi Corsi e formazione Video Open Science

Addenda ai contratti editoriali

L'Addendum al contratto di edizione è una delle possibilità che l'autore ha di riservare per sé e per la propria istituzione una parte dei diritti di sfruttamento economico.

Dal **punto di vista tecnico** l'Addendum è uno schema contrattuale che mira a modificare il contratto di edizione; dal **punto di vista del contenuto** esso riserva all'autore alcuni diritti che gli permettono di riutilizzare la propria opera (ad esempio depositarla nell'archivio istituzionale).

- [Per le riviste italiane](#)
- [Per le riviste internazionali](#)
- [Per personalizzare il proprio addendum](#)

Sarebbe bene non cedere i propri diritti agli editori con licenze esclusive; qualora lo si sia già fatto, si possono appunto utilizzare gli Addenda.

Per approfondire: [I diritti dell'autore: linee guida per l'autore](#) (a cura di Antonella De Robbio)

In Unito
[Regolamento di Ateneo](#)
[Open Access in pratica](#)
[Open Data](#)
[Eventi](#)
[Horizon2020](#)
[Risorse](#)

"Open Access all'Università di Torino"
dell'Ufficio Accesso aperto ed Editoria
elettronica è distribuito con una licenza
Creative Commons Attribuzione 3.0 Italia

La pagina è curata da: Elena Giglia (testi) e
Alessandro Leccese (grafica)

Se vuoi contattarci, scrivi a
openaccess@unito.it

Le foto sono di Elena Giglia, utilizzabili
liberamente con Licenza Creative Commons
Attribuzione 3.0. Ne trovate altre da usare
come volete sulla [pagina Flickr](#)

UNIVERSITÀ
DEGLI STUDI
DI TORINO

Diapositiva 105 paduaresearch.cab.unipd.it/docs/SPARC_AUTHORRIGHTS2007-it.pdf

14:37
03/09/2019



Journal Types

Megajournal - a journal **with editorial criteria based on scientific soundness** instead of a priori estimated newsworthiness or 'impact'

Journal - an aggregation of published research articles. Historically divided into volumes and issues.

Overlay journals - An open access, electronic journal that does not produce its own content, but selects and curates **groups of articles that are already freely available online**. An example of this is an 'Epijournal'

Epub - A free and open e-book standard by the International Digital publishing Forum

Hybrid journal - Some traditional journals offer an option for authors to make their individual articles **freely accessible to anyone worldwide**, for an additional fee. Other articles in the journal remain **accessible only through subscription**. Such journals are known as "hybrid journals"

Library-based publishing - Many **academic libraries** are now beginning to act as publishers for scholarly works produced in their institutions and elsewhere. In some cases, the library works with the university scholarly press to publish works. In other cases, the library publishes works independently or separately from the academic press. Library-based publishers are often strongly in favor of Open Access





Peer Review

Peer review - a process by which a research article is vetted by experts in community before publication

Post publication peer review - standard peer review, but after a research article has been formally published

Transferable peer review - reviews that travel with a paper if it is rejected from a journal

Open review - when reviews are made openly available, typically alongside the article

Signed peer review - when the individual reviews are publicly signed by those who conducted them

Portable peer review - independent peer review that travels with a manuscript that is submitted to subsequent different journals, designed to combat redundancy in the peer review process

Double blind peer review - when the reviewers don't know who the authors are, and vice versa

Registered Reports - A type of publication in which peer review of the suggested method is completed prior to data collection and analysis. Accepted papers then are guaranteed publication in the journal if the authors follow through with the registered methodology



Unit 6

- Video: 6.1: Plagiarism 17 min
- Video: 6.2: Authorship 10 min
- Video: 6.3: The Submission Process 11 min
- Video: 6.4: Interview with Dr. Bradley Efron 14 min
- Video: 6.5: Interview with Dr. George Lundberg 27 min
- Video: 6.6: Interview with Dr. Gary Friedman 22 min
- Video: 6.7: Doing a peer review 13 min**
- Video: 6.8: Predatory journals 8 min
- Quiz: Unit 6 Homework 5 questions
- Video: Demo Edit 6 (Optional) 17 min

6.7: Doing a peer review

Types of Peer Review

- **Single-blind**
 - Most common; authors are blinded to reviewers
- **Double-blind**
 - Reviewers are additionally blinded to authors
- **Open**
 - Neither reviewers nor authors are blinded; reviewers names (and reviews) may be publicly available
- **Post-publication Peer Review**
 - Blogs, online comments, etc. More formal systems for post-publication vetting are emerging, such as PubMed Commons.

0:02 Once you've been published, it won't be long before you're asked to do a peer review. If you're invited, take the opportunity. Peer review is a great way to learn and to build confidence.

0:15 The first time you're asked to do a peer review, you may feel intimidated. You may feel like, I'm too inexperienced, I don't have enough knowledge of the field, I'm just a graduate student. But if

Notes

Click the "Save Note" button when you want to capture a screen. You can also highlight and save lines from the transcript below. Add your own notes to anything you've captured.



Peer Review

The screenshot shows a web browser displaying a Coursera course page. The browser's address bar shows the URL: <https://www.coursera.org/learn/sciwrite/lecture/mu4ZE/6-7-doing-a-peer-review>. The page title is "6.7: Doing a peer review - Stan". The Coursera logo and navigation links are visible at the top. The main content area is titled "Peer Review: Process" and includes a section "My system:" with three numbered steps:

- 1. Scan the abstract.**
- 2. Jump to the data: review the tables and figures first.**
 - Draw your own conclusions.
 - Do the tables and figures stand on their own?
 - Are there any obvious statistical errors?
 - Is there repetitive information?
- 3. Read the paper once through.**
 - Do the authors conclusions match their data?
 - Is the paper clearly written, or did you struggle to get through it? You should not have to struggle!
 - Is the length of the paper justified given the amount of new information that the data provide?

Below the steps, there is a video player showing a lecture titled "6.7: Doing a peer review" (13 min). The video player includes a "Save Note" button, a "Discuss" button, and a "Download" button. The video player also shows a transcript of the lecture. The transcript includes the following text:

0:02 Once you've been published, it won't be long before you're asked to do a peer review. If you're invited, take the opportunity. Peer review is a great way to learn and to build confidence.

0:15 The first time you're asked to do a peer review, you may feel intimidated. You may feel like, I'm too inexperienced, I don't have enough knowledge of the field, I'm just a graduate student. But if you get asked to do peer review, you should absolutely take advantage of that opportunity. Journal editors are looking for young reviewers. Young reviewers are often more up on the latest in a field, on the latest techniques. Young reviews also tend to do a more careful job than people who have been reviewing for eons. There was a research study presented at a conference a few years back where they traced the natural history of peer reviewers. And they found that the

The video player also shows a "Sign in" button. The video player is part of a larger course page that includes a sidebar with a list of videos and a "Notes" section on the right.



Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale
del Lazio e della Toscana M. Aleandri

Peer Review

The screenshot shows a web browser window displaying a Coursera course page. The browser's address bar shows the URL: <https://www.coursera.org/learn/sciwrite/lecture/mu4ZE/6-7-doing-a-peer-review>. The page title is "6.7: Doing a peer review". The Coursera logo and navigation links are visible at the top. The main content area is titled "Unit 6" and lists several video lectures and a quiz. The current video lecture is "6.7: Doing a peer review" (13 min). The video content shows a list of bullet points under the heading "5. Read the methods carefully." and "6. Read the results carefully." The video player controls are visible at the bottom of the video frame. The right sidebar contains a "Notes" section with a "Save Note" button and a "Discuss" button. The bottom of the screen shows the Windows taskbar with various application icons and the system clock indicating 14:24 on 13/08/2019.

Unit 6

- Video: 6.1: Plagiarism (17 min)
- Video: 6.2: Authorship (10 min)
- Video: 6.3: The Submission Process (11 min)
- Video: 6.4: Interview with Dr. Bradley Efron (14 min)
- Video: 6.5: Interview with Dr. George Lundberg (27 min)
- Video: 6.6: Interview with Dr. Gary Friedman (22 min)
- Video: 6.7: Doing a peer review (13 min)**
- Video: 6.8: Predatory journals (8 min)
- Quiz: Unit 6 Homework (5 questions)
- Video: Demo Edit 6 (Optional) (17 min)

5. Read the methods carefully.

- Scan this section to find answers to your questions about the data.
- Were things measured objectively or subjectively? What instruments were used?
- Are there flaws in the study design, such as no control group?
- Read the statistics section carefully.

6. Read the results carefully.

- Read this section with the tables and figures in front of you.
- Does each section roughly correspond to one table or figure?
- Do the authors summarize the main trends and themes from the table, or do they just repeat what is in the tables?
- If there are graphs, do the authors give precise numerical values in the text if it is not given in the graph?
- Are the authors honest or do they try to draw your eye to what they want you to see??
- Do the authors over-interpret statistical significance, by ignoring the fact that the magnitude is small or by ignoring the fact that they have done multiple subgroup analyses?
- Is this section unnecessarily long?

Save Note Discuss Download


English Help Us Translate

0:02 Once you've been published, it won't be long before you're asked to do a peer review. If you're invited, take the opportunity. Peer review is a great way to learn and to build confidence.

0:15 The first time you're asked to do a peer review, you may feel intimidated. You may feel like, I'm too inexperienced, I don't have enough knowledge of the field, I'm just a graduate student. But if you get asked to do peer review, you should absolutely take advantage of that opportunity. Journal editors are looking for young reviewers. Young reviewers are often more up on the latest in a field, on the latest techniques. Young reviews also tend to do a more careful job than people who have been reviewing for eons. There was a research study presented at a conference a few years back where they traced the natural history of peer reviewers. And they found that the

Notes


Click the "Save Note" button when you want to capture a screen. You can also highlight and save lines from the transcript below. Add your own notes to anything you've captured.

A decorative graphic consisting of overlapping yellow, red, and blue squares with a black crosshair.

Peer Review: Process

8. Read the discussion carefully.

- Does the first paragraph succinctly and clearly tell you what was found and what is new?
- Are the authors' conclusions justified or are they overreaching?
- Do they clearly distinguish hypothesis-driven conclusions and exploratory conclusions?
- Is the writing clear and to the point (active voice!)? Is there some sense of order and structure or are they just rambling on aimlessly?
- Could the discussion be shortened?
- Did they address the limitations you care about? (as opposed to any old irrelevant limitations that they threw in just to have some)
- Are the references that they cite current?
- Have they omitted key references?



Peer Review



coursera.org è ora visualizzato a schermo intero

Esci da schermo intero (Esc)



Peer Review: Content

Comments to authors:

- **1. Start with a one-paragraph "general overview."**
 - **State what you think is the major finding and importance of the work**
 - **Give 2-3 positive, encouraging statements about the work.** If the methods are problematic, is the writing nice, for example? Is the research question particularly interesting or novel? (E.g., "This is an interesting manuscript, with several strengths." "The authors should be commended for ..." "The finding that XX is important.")
 - **State 1-2 major limitations** (if there are any) to the study design, writing/presentation, or conclusions. (E.g., "The study is limited because there is no control group." "The overall writing or presentation needs improvement." "The authors may have over-stated their findings." "The paper provides only weak evidence for its conclusions." "The study is exploratory, not hypothesis-driven.")



The screenshot shows a web browser window displaying a Coursera course page. The browser's address bar shows the URL <https://www.coursera.org/learn/sciwrite/lecture/mu4ZE/6-7-doing-a-peer-review>. The page title is "6.7: Doing a peer review". A yellow label with the word "quiz" is overlaid on the page. The page content includes a sidebar with a list of videos and a main area with a quiz question. The sidebar lists videos from 6.1 to 6.8, with 6.7 marked as completed. The main area displays "Question 1 of 2" with the text "What is a single-blind peer review?". Below the question are four radio button options. The right sidebar contains a "Notes" section with a "Save Note" button and a "Discuss" button. The bottom of the page shows a taskbar with various application icons and a system clock indicating 14:27 on 13/08/2019.

Unit 6

- Video: 6.1: Plagiarism 17 min
- Video: 6.2: Authorship 10 min
- Video: 6.3: The Submission Process 11 min
- Video: 6.4: Interview with Dr. Bradley Efron 14 min
- Video: 6.5: Interview with Dr. George Lundberg 27 min
- Video: 6.6: Interview with Dr. Gary Friedman 22 min
- Video: 6.7: Doing a peer review 13 min**
- Video: 6.8: Predatory journals 8 min
- Quiz: Unit 6 Homework 5 questions
- Video: Demo Edit 6 (Optional) 17 min

6.7: Doing a peer review

Question 1 of 2

What is a single-blind peer review?

- ☐ Authors are blinded to the peer reviewers' identities.
- ☐ Journal editors are blinded to the authors' identities.
- ☐ Peer reviewers are blinded to the authors' identities.
- ☐ Readers are blinded to the authors' identities.

[Skip](#) [Submit](#)

[Save Note](#) [Discuss](#) [Download](#)

English [Help Us Translate](#)

0:02 Once you've been published, it won't be long before you're asked to do a peer review. If you're invited, take the opportunity. Peer review is a great way to learn and to build confidence.

0:15 The first time you're asked to do a peer review, you may feel intimidated. You may feel like, I'm too inexperienced, I don't have enough knowledge of the field, I'm just a graduate student. But if

Notes [All notes](#)

Click the "Save Note" button when you want to capture a screen. You can also highlight and save lines from the transcript below. Add your own notes to anything you've captured.



Peer Review

The screenshot shows a web browser displaying a Coursera course page. The browser's address bar shows the URL: <https://www.coursera.org/learn/sciwrite/lecture/mu4ZE/6-7-doing-a-peer-review>. The page title is "6.7: Doing a peer review". The left sidebar shows the course structure for Unit 6, with "Video: 6.7: Doing a peer review" selected. The main content area displays a quiz question: "Question 1 of 2: What is a single-blind peer review?". The correct answer is "Authors are blinded to the peer reviewers' identities.", which is highlighted in a yellow box with the text "Risposta corretta". Other options include "Journal editors are blinded to the authors' identities.", "Peer reviewers are blinded to the authors' identities.", and "Readers are blinded to the authors' identities.". The bottom of the page shows a video player with a transcript. The system clock at the bottom right indicates the time is 14:28 on 13/08/2019.

Risposta corretta

Unit 6

- Video: 6.1: Plagiarism 17 min
- Video: 6.2: Authorship 10 min
- Video: 6.3: The Submission Process 11 min
- Video: 6.4: Interview with Dr. Bradley Efron 14 min
- Video: 6.5: Interview with Dr. George Lundberg 27 min
- Video: 6.6: Interview with Dr. Gary Friedman 22 min
- Video: 6.7: Doing a peer review 13 min**
- Video: 6.8: Predatory journals 8 min
- Quiz: Unit 6 Homework 5 questions
- Video: Demo Edit 6 (Optional) 17 min

6.7: Doing a peer review

Question 1 of 2

What is a single-blind peer review?

- ☒ Authors are blinded to the peer reviewers' identities.
- ☐ Journal editors are blinded to the authors' identities.
- ☐ Peer reviewers are blinded to the authors' identities.
- ☐ Readers are blinded to the authors' identities.

Correct
This is the correct answer.

Continue

Save Note Discuss Download

English

Help Us Translate

0:02 Once you've been published, it won't be long before you're asked to do a peer review. If you're invited, take the opportunity. Peer review is a great way to learn and to build confidence.

0:15 The first time you're asked to do a peer review, you may feel intimidated. You may feel like, I'm too inexperienced, I don't have enough knowledge of the field, I'm just a graduate student. But if

Notes

Click the "Save Note" button when you want to capture a screen. You can also highlight and save lines from the transcript below. Add your own notes to anything you've captured.

Selezionare rivista OA



La disperazione dell'eroe, autoritratto. (G. Courbert, 1845)



da co
File M

Increase your visibility X How to find a suitable open access X +

whyopenresearch.org/journals

whyopen research

Più visitati http://www.preview.p... Come iniziare Pew Research Center Pew Research Center's... Pew Research Center's... Library Connect SBM W Wikipedia:Risorse di i... The Scholarly Kitchen ACG Enterprise

<http://whyopenresearch.org/journals>


How to find a suitable open access journal?

The following resource was created in collaboration with Ross Mounce, Scott St. Louis, and Joe McArthur, along with feedback from the open community.

Scroll down to see our one-page, shareable guide and download via [figshare](#).

Want to publish openly and looking for a suitable journal? Here are some steps you can take...

1. Browse the Directory of Open Access Journals




**DIRECTORY OF
OPEN ACCESS
JOURNALS**

The [DOAJ](#) is the foremost trusted listing of over 10,000 registered open access journals. Searches by subject, article processing charges, journal license, publisher, country of publisher, and full text language, type of peer review (blind, double-blind, open, etc.), and archiving policy (CLOCKSS, PubMed Central, etc.) are available.

To be included in the DOAJ, each journal must apply and meet a number of criteria, including open licensing of all published materials and editor-mediated peer-review. In addition, select journals who:

- use DOIs as permanent identifiers
- provides DOAJ with article metadata
- deposits content with a long term digital preservation or archiving program
- embeds machine-readable CC licensing information in articles
- allows generous reuse and mixing of content, in accordance with a CC BY, CC BY-SA or CC BY-NC license
- has a deposit policy registered with a deposit policy registry
- allows the author to hold the copyright without restrictions

receive a special DOAJ Seal of Approval. Thus, researchers can find high-quality open access journals in their field through the DOAJ.



2. Use the CoFactor Journal Selector Tool

The [Cofactor Journal Selector Tool](#) is not exclusive to open access journals, but

10:59
02/08/2019

2. Use the CoFactor Journal Selector Tool



The [CoFactor Journal Selector Tool](#) is not exclusive to open access journals, but allows authors to filter by several options, including whether the journal is fully open, or has an open publishing option (hybrid journal).

In addition, authors can search journals by article processing charges, fee waivers policy, and open licensing options. Other search filters include subject, peer review type, speed of revision and publication, impact factor, copyediting, and more.

The database is new and still growing, but provides an excellent starting point for researchers to customize their journal selection.

3. Talk to your mentors, librarians, and colleagues who focus on scholarly communication issues in your field

Scholars from many disciplines are seeking to promote the development and growth of rigorous open access publishing options in their fields. For example, neuroscientist Erin McKiernan created this shareable list of such options for her colleagues.

OANeuroscienceJournals.pdf	view	download
OANeuroscienceJournals.ods	view	download
OANeuroscienceJournals.xls	view	download
 figshare	Share	

4. Check that your journal choice is compliant with your funder's policies




SHERPA/FACT
Funders & Authors Compliance Tool

[SHERPA/FACT](#) is a database that allows researcher's to check whether specific journals meet their funder's sharing policies. Just type in the name of the journal and the name of your funder. Currently available only for UK funders.



SHERPA/JULIET

For researchers outside the UK, [SHERPA/JULIET](#) is a database of funder policies on article and data sharing. Researchers can search by funder name or country, and check licensing and embargo requirements.



Quality checks to conduct before submitting

1. Look at the journal's content.
Read a selection of articles that have previously been published there. If they're interesting and relevant to your work, the journal may be suitable. If not, keep looking.
2. Learn more about the journal's leadership and professional affiliations
Have a look at the editorial board of the journal. Do you know who any of the editors are? Is their research relevant to your broad subject area? Is the publisher a member of the [Open Access Scholarly Publishers Association \(OASPA\)](#)? If not, tread carefully. However, a small society-operated journal of good quality that is not an OASPA member is not a big concern.
3. Examine the journal's identifying information
Ensure that the journal has an ISSN and that the ISSN is validated. Ensure the journal provides all new articles with 'resolvable persistent identifiers', such as DOI's or Handles, e.g. or . Articles are arguably less discoverable and trackable without these.

In other words...

! THINK ✓ CHECK ➤ SUBMIT

Choose the right journal for your research

The new site [thinkchecksubmit.org](#) outlines steps you should take and questions you should answer (see their checklist) before submitting to any journal.

Also check out this handy flow chart from the Australasian Open Access Support Group



Flowchart*

whyopenresearch.org/journals

Open Access journal options flowchart

Seek a prestigious journal appropriate for your content which reaches its intended audience

```

graph TD
    Q1{Is journal Open Access?}
    Q2{Does journal permit deposit of work in a repository?}
    Q3{Does journal offer Open Access for a fee? Hybrid}
    Q4{Do you have the funds or are you eligible for a waiver?}
    Q5{Does journal charge an article processing fee?}
    
    Q1 -- NO --> Q2
    Q1 -- YES --> Q5
    Q2 -- YES --> Q3
    Q2 -- NO --> Q4
    Q3 -- YES --> Q4
    Q3 -- NO --> Q5
    Q4 -- YES --> F1[Fantastical! Your Published Article is freely available from the time of publication]
    Q4 -- NO --> Q5
    Q5 -- YES --> F1
    Q5 -- NO --> Q2
    
    Q2 --> F2[Send a copy of the version your publisher allows]
    F2 --> F3[A version of your work is freely available; subscribers are able to see published article]
  
```

ARC & NHMRC allow authors to use part of their grant to pay for publication fees
Many Open Access journals will waive fees for students or researchers from developing countries
Some journals make articles available after an embargo
Check your institution's policy relating to intellectual property

Your work is only immediately available to those institutions that can afford to subscribe to this journal

Fantastical! Your Published Article is freely available from the time of publication

A version of your work is freely available; subscribers are able to see published article

The Accepted Version is the author's final peer-reviewed and corrected version.

AOASG
Australian Open Access Strategy Group



COME RENDERE LA VOSTRA RICERCA OPEN ACCESS

LEGALMENTE E GRATUITAMENTE

Verificate su
thinkchecksubmit.org
The DOAJ

Conoscete una
rivista Open Access
gratuita?

Pubblicate in una
rivista Open
Access
(Gold road)

La maggior parte delle
riviste Open Access
non richiedono spese
di pubblicazione

Verificate con
la vostra biblioteca
il vostro Ateneo
il vostro ente di finanziamento

Avete un fondo per
pubblicare Open
Access?

Pubblicate in una
rivista Open
Access
(Gold road)

Attenzione:
alcuni editori richiedono
un periodo di embargo
prima che il postprint
anche depositato
diventi pubblico

Verificate su
sherpa.ac.uk/romeo

Potete pubblicare il
postprint?

Pubblicate
il postprint

Postprint: ultima
vostra versione del lavoro,
con le correzioni dei
revisori, ma senza il layout
finale dell'editore

Verificate su
sherpa.ac.uk/romeo

Potete pubblicare il
preprint?

Pubblicate
il preprint

Preprint: manoscritto
non ancora sottoposto a
formale revisione,
distribuito per ricevere da
subito i commenti dei pari

Scegliete
un'altra rivista




Jon Tennant and Lisa
Matthias
Translated by Elena Giglia

Selezionare rivista OA



<https://r.unitn.it/it/ateneo/open-access/risorse-ad-accesso-aperto>



The screenshot shows the 'Open Access UniTrento' website. The header includes the University of Trento logo and the text 'Open Access UniTrento'. A search bar is visible on the right. The main content area is divided into several sections:

- Home | Open Access - Accesso Aperto | Risorse ad accesso aperto**
- Che cos'è l'Open Science - Scienza Aperta**
 - Open Access - Accesso Aperto
 - I vantaggi dell'accesso aperto
 - Risorse ad accesso aperto
 - Open data - Dati aperti
 - L'accesso aperto a UniTrento
 - Enti finanziatori e requisiti in materia di accesso aperto
 - Strategie di pubblicazione e proprietà intellettuale
 - Risorse didattiche e di approfondimento
 - FAQ
- Risorse ad accesso aperto**
 - Principali archivi ad accesso aperto**
 - OPENDOAR**

Questa *Directory of Open Access Repositories* permette di svolgere delle ricerche per area disciplinare (molti archivi istituzionali sono multidisciplinari), lingua, tipologia, nazione, software utilizzato.
 - DOAJ**

Questa *Directory of Open Access Journals* Permette la **consultazione di articoli a testo pieno pubblicati su riviste digitali**, online, gratuite, scientificamente controllate e libere da buona parte delle restrizioni dettate dalle licenze per i diritti di sfruttamento commerciale.
 - DOAB**

Questa *Directory of Open Access Books* è un servizio per le **monografie ad accesso aperto**.
 - BEALL'S LIST**

Elenco di **editori e di riviste scientifiche ad accesso aperto non affidabili** (predatory publishers&journals)
 - Selezione DOAJ per disciplina**
 - Archivi multi-disciplinari**
 - ZENODO** rende possibile l'autoarchiviazione anche ai ricercatori il cui ente fosse **privo di un deposito istituzionale o non ammettesse l'archiviazione di certi formati (come codice sorgente e open data)**.
 - DSpace**
 - WorldBank**
 - PERIODICA**
 - Archivi disciplinari**
 - arXiv** (fisica, matematica, informatica, biologia quantistica, finanza, statistica, ingegneria elettronica, economia)
 - bepress** (giurisprudenza)
 - e-Lis** (scienza dell'informazione)
 - RePEc** (economia)
 - PubMed Central** (biomedicina, scienze naturali)
 - SSRN** (scienze applicate, scienze naturali, scienze umanistiche, fisica, scienze sociali, scienze sanitarie)
- LINK UTILI**
 - OpenAIRE / ZENODO Open Access Infrastructure for Research in Europe
 - ROAR Registry of Open Access Repositories
 - OPENDOAR Directory of Open Access Repositories
 - DOAJ Directory of Open Access Journals



Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale
del Lazio e della Toscana *M. Aleandri*



da copiare.pdf - Adobe Acrobat Reader DC

File Modifica Vista Finestra ?

Home Strumenti da copiare.pdf x

107%

Condividi

Novità / Italia

webtv.camera.it

Mercoledì 26 Settembre 2018 Settembre ore 15:00

Audizioni su valorizzazione Pompei e accesso all'informazione scientifica

Sept-Oct 2018

VIDEO COMPLETO

15:00

DESCRIZIONE

La Commissione Cultura ha svolto le seguenti audizioni alle ore 15. Vincenzo Mannino, presidente del Distretto turistico "Pompeii, Monti Lattari, Valle del Sarno", nell'ambito della discussione della risoluzione n. 7-00007 Gallo, sulla valorizzazione dell'area culturale circostante il sito di Pompei, alle ore 15.45, rappresentanti dell'Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, dell'Associazione italiana editori e dell'Ansa (Associazione italiana per la promozione della scienza aperta), nonché di Gino Rencaglia e Roberto Della Dornie, nell'ambito dell'esame in sede referente della proposta di legge recante "Modifiche all'articolo 4 del decreto-legge 8 agosto 2013, n. 91, convertito, con modificazioni, dalla legge 2 ottobre 2013, n. 112, in materia di accesso aperto

LAVORI PREPARATORI DEI PROGETTI DI LEGGE

Atto Camera: 395

Proposta di legge: GALLO: "Modifiche all'articolo 4 del decreto-legge 8 agosto 2013, n. 91, convertito con modificazioni, dalla legge 2 ottobre 2013, n. 112, in materia di accesso aperto all'informazione scientifica" (395)

Iter Testi Emendamenti Esame in Commissione Discussione in Assemblea Dossier Votazioni OpenData

Documentazione degli uffici

Dossier	Titolo	Note
Dipartimento Cultura CU0023 (7 settembre 2018)	Interventi in materia di accesso aperto all'informazione scientifica	Schede di lettura

Legge 112/2013

«2. I soggetti pubblici preposti all'erogazione o alla gestione dei finanziamenti della ricerca scientifica adottano, nella loro autonomia, le misure necessarie per la promozione dell'accesso aperto ai risultati della ricerca finanziata per una quota pari o superiore al 50 per cento con fondi pubblici, quando documentati in articoli pubblicati su periodici a carattere scientifico che abbiano almeno due uscite annue. I predetti articoli devono includere una scheda di progetto in cui siano menzionati tutti i soggetti che hanno concorso alla realizzazione degli stessi. L'accesso aperto si realizza:

a) tramite la pubblicazione da parte dell'editore, al momento della prima pubblicazione, in modo tale che l'articolo sia accessibile a titolo gratuito dal luogo e nel momento scelti individualmente;

b) tramite la ripubblicazione senza fini di lucro in archivi elettronici istituzionali o disciplinari, secondo la stessa modalità, entro diciotto mesi dalla prima pubblicazione per le pubblicazioni delle aree disciplinari scientifico-tecnico-mediche e ventiquattro mesi per le aree disciplinari umanistiche e delle scienze sociali.

Strumenti di ricerca

Esporta PDF

Adobe Export PDF

Converti file PDF in Word o Excel online

Selezionare il file PDF

da copiare.pdf

Converti in

Microsoft Word (*.docx)

Lingua documento:

Italiano Cambia

Converti

Crea PDF

Modifica PDF

Commento

Combinare i file

Organizza pagine

Redigere

Converti e modifica i PDF con Acrobat Pro DC

Attiva versione di prova

10:53 02/08/2019



Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale
del Lazio e della Toscana M. Aleandri



Gruppo BISA

dati BISA.pdf - Adobe Acrobat Reader DC

File Modifica Vista Finestra ?

Home Strumenti dati BISA.pdf x Accedi

Condividi

RACCOGLIERE LA SFIDA DELLA SCIENZA APERTA

Gruppo di lavoro BISA (Bibliosan per la Scienza Aperta)

Il tema della diffusione aperta della scienza coinvolge tutti gli operatori dell'informazione scientifica, da chi la produce (i ricercatori) a chi la promuove (gli organismi finanziatori), la diffonde (strutture editoriali e biblioteche di istituzioni scientifiche) mettendo in atto modelli innovativi di comunicazione scientifica, fino a chi ne definisce ruoli e responsabilità per una corretta condivisione dei risultati (i governi e i decisori politici, in genere). In definitiva, con il concetto di *open science* ci si riferisce ad un complesso articolato di strumenti e processi volti a concretizzare il concetto di trasparenza e condivisione della conoscenza scientifica.

Molte sono le implicazioni sul piano della valutazione della ricerca e dei sistemi di incentivazione che intervengono a regolare la carriera dei ricercatori; anche i sistemi di validazione, infatti, si avvantaggiano dell'applicazione di modelli aperti, nel senso che le risorse liberamente disponibili e riutilizzabili facilitano l'individuazione del sapere e dell'innovazione, anche ai fini dell'assegnazione di fondi.

Altrettante sono le sfide che emergono nei processi di creazione di nuovi servizi e infrastrutture. Con riguardo a questo ultimo aspetto, dovrà affermarsi una competenza specifica da dedicare alla realizzazione di strategie comuni, poiché la configurazione di una rete di istituzioni come Bibliosan impone di armonizzare contesti specifici che riflettono i vari profili organizzativi interni.

L'adeguamento tecnologico, l'offerta di infrastrutture, la disponibilità economica per nuovi investimenti e la maturità culturale sul fronte delle pratiche di apertura ai risultati della ricerca variano da istituzione a istituzione e soltanto affidandosi ad una politica condivisa di gestione del trattamento dei dati si potrà pervenire a un indirizzo unitario che si riveli al contempo flessibile, in risposta ad esigenze particolari delle singole comunità di ricerca. Questo punto rappresenta sicuramente una criticità da superare con il concorso coordinato di varie professionalità nell'interesse collettivo.

Sicuramente, nella realizzazione di questo processo di integrazione, è imprescindibile volgersi alle esperienze condotte a livello internazionale e seguire le iniziative di formazione, i progetti, le

Strumenti di ricerca

Esporta PDF

Adobe Export PDF

Converti file PDF in Word o Excel online

Selezionare il file PDF

dati BISA.pdf

Converti in

Microsoft Word (*.docx)

Lingua documento:

Italiano Cambia

Converti

Crea PDF

Modifica PDF

Commento

Combina i file

Organizza pagine

Redigere

Converti e modifica i PDF con Acrobat Pro DC

Attiva versione di prova

11:58 02/08/2019



Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale
del Lazio e della Toscana *M. Aleandri*

Per approfondire

Materiali sui nuovi scenari

<https://www.openuphub.eu/disseminate/must-reads>

Faq in materia di banche dati

<https://zenodo.org/record/2875400#.XUQB3mRS-71>




OPEN SCIENCE – WHAT'S IN IT FOR ME [E.Giglia] – Adobe Acrobat Reader DC

File Modifica Vista Finestra ?

Home Strumenti da copiare.pdf OPEN SCIENCE – ... x OPEN SCIENCE – ...

9 / 9 146%

Accedi Condividi



STRUMENTI – 8

Open Access e Open Science: un punto di accesso in italiano è www.oa.unito.it

Una miniera di strumenti utili è OpenUp Hub

Un progetto ricco di ispirazioni è Why open research?

Due punti di riferimento in Italia: AISA – Associazione per la promozione della ricerca in Open Science e IOSSG – Italian OpenScience Support Group

Strumenti di ricerca

Esporta PDF

Adobe Export PDF

Converti file PDF in Word o Excel online

Selezionare il file PDF

reportOSw...op_def.pdf

Converti in

Microsoft Word (*.docx)

Lingua documento:
Italiano Cambia

Converti

Crea PDF

Modifica PDF

Commento

Combinate i file

Organizza pagine

Redigere

Converti e modifica i PDF
con Acrobat Pro DC

Attiva versione di prova

11:25
02/08/2019





Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale
del Lazio e della Toscana M. Aleandri

Why open research.org

The screenshot shows a web browser window displaying the 'Why Open Research?' website. The browser's address bar shows 'whyopenresearch.org'. The website features six cartoon illustrations arranged in a 2x3 grid, each representing a benefit of open research. The illustrations are as follows:

- Top Left:** A cartoon of a person standing in a vast, empty landscape, looking out at a distant, hazy horizon. A speech bubble says: "...hello...? ...anybody...?".
- Top Middle:** A cartoon of two men. One man is pushing a wheelbarrow full of papers or books towards the other man. A speech bubble says: "...and your first born child."
- Top Right:** A cartoon of a man in a suit standing next to a small, round, furry creature. The man is holding a sign that says "El Severo!".
- Bottom Left:** A cartoon of a man in a lab coat standing next to a large, ornate lamp. He is holding a small object in his hand. A speech bubble says: "Congratulations! Your paper was accepted by the Yak Breeders Journal!"
- Bottom Middle:** A cartoon of a man in a lab coat standing next to a large, ornate lamp. He is holding a small object in his hand. A speech bubble says: "Congratulations! Your paper was accepted by the Yak Breeders Journal!"
- Bottom Right:** A cartoon of a man in a lab coat standing next to a large, ornate lamp. He is holding a small object in his hand. A speech bubble says: "Congratulations! Your paper was accepted by the Yak Breeders Journal!"

The website also includes the following text:

- Increase your visibility:** Build a name for yourself. Share your work and make it more visible.
- Reduce publishing costs:** Open publishing can cost the same or less than traditional publishing.
- Take back control:** Know your rights. Keep your rights. Decide how your work is used.
- Get more funding:** Test funder requirements, and qualify for special funds.
- Publish where you want:** Publish in the journal of your choice and archive on open.
- Get that promotion:**





Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale
del Lazio e della Toscana M. Aleandri

open access - Cerca con X How to recognize predat X OpenUP Hub - Dissemin X African Journals Online X h2020-hi-oa-data-mgt X EAHS 2019 - European A X InCites X

https://www.openuphub.eu/component/k2/item/624-dissemination-guidelines-for-horizon-2020-projects

font size Q Q Print Email

Rate this item ☆☆☆☆ (0 votes)

H2020

The European Commission has published a guide for Horizon 2020 projects on communicating projects.

FULL CITATION

European Commission (2014). Communicating EU Research and Innovation - A Guide for project participants.

SUMMARY

The European Commission has published a guide for Horizon 2020 projects on communicating projects. The website lists the following best practices:

- Start communication at the outset of the project and continue throughout its entire lifetime
- Plan communication strategically
- Set clear communication objectives
- Adapt communication to and target audiences beyond the project's own community
- Use the right medium and means
- Set communication to the scale of the project

Persuasive communication can be seen as the theoretical base for these guidelines. They are rooted in the understanding of dissemination as Public Understanding of Science (stage 1 in Beaufort's model), with some elements of Public Engagement with Science (PES). The accompanying guide focuses on one-way communication with sporadic mention of engagement strategies and methods.

The checklist in the guide mentions the following steps for successful dissemination:

1. Ensure good management

Diapositiva 1

15:14
12/08/2019





Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale
del Lazio e della Toscana *M. Aleandri*

Open up hub

The screenshot shows the OpenUP Hub website in a web browser. The browser's address bar displays <https://www.openuphub.eu>. The website's header includes the OpenUP HUB logo and the tagline "and gender sensitive research environment." Navigation links for HOME, ABOUT, SIGN IN, COMMUNITY, and SEARCH are visible. A blue "Explore" button is prominently displayed. Below this, the text "I am a..." introduces a grid of eight user roles, each represented by an orange icon and a brief description:

- Young Scholar**: and I want to understand alternative reviewing methods
- Researcher**: and I seek for novel ways to disseminate my work
- Project manager**: and I am interested in analyzing the impact of a scientific work and correlate them to dissemination channels
- Funder**: and I want to sense the community pulse to better stream the funding
- Policy maker**: and I want to listen to the needs of the scientific community
- Open Science advocate**: and I want to advance Open Science
- Publisher**: and I want to identify emerging ideas and researches to
- Librarian**: and I want to learn more about Alternatives

The browser's taskbar at the bottom shows various application icons, and the system clock indicates the date as 02/08/2019.





Evitare il Pay-per-view

When you add the open access linking options, there seems to be a nice little flow chart here:

```
graph TD
    Q1[Is there a Open Access version of this article available that we are showing?] -- No --> Q2[Is there maybe a copy on Google Scholar? That you could use?]
    Q1 -- Yes --> Q3[Does this Open Access Version suit your purposes?]
    Q3 -- Yes --> D1[Done!]
    Q3 -- No --> Q2
    Q2 -- Yes --> D2[Done!]
    Q2 -- No / Didn't check --> Q4[How quickly do you need a copy of this article?]
    Q4 -- Within a couple days --> D3[Use regular ILL cost service. Should have it to you within 2-48 hours. Done!]
    Q4 -- ASAPI --> D4[Use our commercial Document Delivery service. The article will be emailed to you in 10-15 minutes. Done!]
```

I really like this approach, it both empowers the user and allows the library to provide multiple options before going with the most expensive one.

Now, all of the above focuses on *just* articles, book chapters, or other shorter scholarly publications. Users requesting whole books is another beast, because they can't be emailed

**REGISTRASI
IN**

- **NILDE**
- **in Bibliosan**





Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale
del Lazio e della Toscana *M. Aleandri*

ASK THE LIBRARIAN!

