Equine Infectious Anemia



CJ Issel, DVM, PhD University of Kentucky Gluck Equine Research Center



Typical Clinical Course of EIAV Infections



EIA in the United States

1970: No diagnostic test 1972-79: >50,000 cases found **1980-2000: Numbers decrease** From 4,000/yr to less than 1,000 **2013: Overtested mobile population** 38 cases >\$1,500,000 each! **Untested reservoir**

Diagnosis of EIA

Clinical signsNot reliableVirus isolationNot practical/possibleAntibody detectionAGID1970ELISA1984Immunoblot1984Viral RNA/DNAGaining acceptance/use

Gold Standard: Antibody detection in AGID (correlation Horse Inoc-250ml)



Serological Diagnosis of EIA Antibody Tests for EIA

AGID (Coggins)p264 ELISA kits (US)p26Immunoblotgp90, gp45, p26

Envelope more immunogenic p26 >40% of virion: ~2000/ gp90-gp45: minor ~30/ Major core Core Env + Core





AGID (Coggins)

POS









Immunoblot Testing for EIA

Virus grown, purified and SDS-heat ttmt Separated into individual proteins by relative molecular mass-PAGE **Transferred to membranes** Suspect serum tested at 1:20 dilution **React with at least 2 major proteins?** Surface unit, transmembrane, major core **gp90 gp45** p26





0 14 22 28 36 43 49 0 14 22 28 36 43 49 **Days after infection** W+ **Days after infection**



Test 1 AGID **ELISA1** ELISA2 **ELISA3 Immunoblot-**

Rate



Expected Results

>99%

2

╋

╋

+

╋

+



Serologic Testing for EIA Usual Sample: total agreement in AGID/ELISA Some: Interpreted AGID NEG / ELISA POS

Some: AGID misinterpreted and blot +





Challenges in Serologic Diagnosis of EIAV Infections 180-210 days after infection – vaccine strain

AGID/ELISA/Blot

75% of vaccinates Positive in all 3 serologic assays First antibody by 28-42 days
25% Negative by AGID: +/- in ELISA: + Blot

Challenges in Serologic Diagnosis of EIAV Infections 180-210 days after infection – vaccine strain

Animal	Virus ²	AGID	ELISAs Tests		Immunoblot		
			US kits ³	IT^4	p26	gp45	gp90
Experimental infections ⁵			1/2/3				
С9	Yes	NEG	+/+/+	1:24			
C15	Yes	NEG	+/+/-	<1:6			And the second second
C16	Yes	NEG	+/+/-	<1:6			
C22	Yes	NEG	+/+/-	<1:6			
C23	Yes	NEG	+/+/+	<1:6		A State	
B62	Yes	NEG	-/+/-	<1:6	A		
BT210	Yes	NEG	+/+/+	1:12			
C50	Yes	NEG	-/+/-	<1:6			
H46	Yes	NEG	+/+/-	<1:6			
H32	Yes	NEG	+/+/-	1:6	16 26		

AGID (Coggins) Test Reactions Expected

Positive Reactions



 $\begin{array}{rrrr} <1 & \geq 10 & 7 & 4 & 2 & 1 \\ & \text{Amount of Antibody to p26} \\ & \text{With constant antigen} \end{array}$



Animal	Virus ²	AGID	ELISAs Tests		Immunoblot		
Reference Positiv	ve Serums		US kits ³	IT^4	p26	gp45	gp90
Flicker W+	Yes	NEG	+/+/+	1:8			
USDA W+	???	1	+/+/+	1:48			

"Weak Positive" AGID Accurate Interpretation Required Ref W+ USDA UK W+Flicker







AGID Test Parameters Compared How They Impact Accuracy 1970's Today **Recombinant Antigen source** Virus **Template used** Large **Smaller** Higher **Antigen costs** Low **Ease in reading** Low Higher

Expectations: Higher rate of False-NEG AGID reports with rec-antigen kits

AGID (Coggins) Test Reactions Expected

Positive Reactions



<< < I >> >> >>
Relative Amount of p26 Antigen
With constant test serum

Ē

Serological Diagnosis of EIA

Basic research defines the need for improvement Applied studies prove value of model: <u>3 tier strategy</u>

A cooperation between researchers at the University of Kentucky and the staff of the National Reference Centre for Equine Infectious Anemia (IZS-Lazio e Toscana) during surveillance for EIA: 2007-2010

Serology Italy – 3 Tier Lab Testing

	Number	%		
Samples	96,468			
+ ELISA	331	0.36		
+ E & AGID	124	0.13		
Discrepant Sample	es 207	0.21		
+ Immunoblot	25			
- Immunoblot	182			
False + ELISA	182/96,468	0.19		
False – AGID	25/96,468	0.026		
	Vet Rec	cord (2013)		

Three Tier Strategy: Field TestingItalyItalyFirst tierELISASecondE+AGIDThirdE+A+Blot

Is there a need to adopt it more widely? Yes, 17% (25/149) of equids AB+ for EIA missed by routine AGID in this survey

Three Tier Strategy: Field Testing

Comments-Perspective: 1 -Official recognition of limitations of AGID 2 -Field proficiency: routine performance 3 -Use investment by the industry wisely In US, >US\$70,000,000/yr

EIA Control: 2010 Indicated changes

Test by risk, not regulation

New lab paradigm: 3 tier system

