



<sup>1</sup>National Reference Centre for equine infectious diseases, Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale Lazio e Toscana, 00178 Rome, Italy

<sup>2</sup>Dipartimento di Scienze Veterinarie, Università degli Studi di Torino, Grugliasco, Torino, Italy

## VALIDATION OF AN INDIRECT ELISA FOR THE DETECTION OF ANTIBODIES AGAINST EQUINE INFECTIOUS ANEMIA VIRUS (EIAV) IN EQUINE SERA USING GAG AND ENV RECOMBINANT ANTIGEN

R. Nardini<sup>1</sup>, G.L. Autorino<sup>1</sup>, S. Rosati<sup>2</sup>, L. Bertolotti<sup>2</sup>, M. Profiti<sup>2</sup>, R. Frontoso<sup>1</sup>, F. Rosone<sup>1</sup>, A. Caprioli<sup>1</sup>, M.T. Scicluna<sup>1</sup>

### Purpose

Validation of an indirect ELISA using Gag and Env recombinant antigen of EIAV is presented.

### Methods

Validation performed according to WOAHP guidelines.

#### Analytical specificity

1. Selectivity: evaluated by examining positive and negative sera using a modified wash.
2. Exclusivity: evaluated examining sera positive for other Lentivirus and other equine viral diseases.

#### Analytical sensitivity

3. Limit of detectability (LOD) of ELISA compared with AGID.
4. Sera of infected horses at different d.p.i., tested with 6 ELISA available in Italy and AGID.

#### Repeatability

5. Coefficient of variation (CV) of 2 sets of 30 negative serum replicas.

#### Reproducibility

6. Qualitative: K of Cohen calculated on results of an interlaboratory test.
7. Quantitative: Standard deviation ( $S_R$ ) of 7 sessions of 30 negative serum replicas.
8. Diagnostic performances  
1095 sera analysed with ELISA and AGID as gold standard. Sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive values were calculate

### Results

1. Modified ELISA did not correctly recognise sera.
2. All sera classified as negative.
3. ELISA LOD: 1,86  $\text{Log}_{10}$  higher than AGID.
4. This ELISA recognised as positive 9 sera at 21 d.p.i., 2/6 kits 1 serum, 3/6 kits and AGID none.
5. CV less than 20% (2.6-4.3%).
6. K value: 0.976.
7.  $S_R$ : 0.039.
8. Sensitivity: 100%; specificity: 98.8%; positive and negative predictive value: 91.18%; 100%, respectively.

**Conclusions**

Considering all characteristics evaluated, especially in terms of repeatability, reproducibility, diagnostic sensitivity and precocity, the test is highly suitable for screening purposes.

**Corresponding author**

Dr. Roberto Nardini

National Reference Centre for equine infectious diseases

Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale Lazio e Toscana

Via Appia Nuova 1411

00178 Rome, Italy

E-Mail: [roberto.nardini@izslt.it](mailto:roberto.nardini@izslt.it)