Studies for Italian Propolis Characterization

Gardini S.^a, Graziosi R.^b, <u>Marcazzan G.L.^a</u>, Bertelli D.^b

a - Consiglio per la Ricerca e sperimentazione in Agricoltura (CREA-API), Bologna, Italy

b - Dipartimento Scienze della Vita, Università di Modena e Reggio Emilia, Modena, Italy





gianluigi.marcazzan@crea.gov.it

Rome 22-25 November 2016 Novotel - Roma Eur

Different plants Different «propolis types»

Poplar: *Populus spp.* (Europe)



Green: *Baccharis spp.* (Brazil)





Different plants Different «propolis types»

Red: *Dalbergia spp.* (Brazil, Mexico, Cuba)

Clusia: *Clusia spp.* (Cuba, Venezuela)

Pacific: *Macaranga tanarius* (Indonesia, Taiwan, Okinawa)

Mediterranean:

Cupressaceae (Greece, Sicily, Malta)





Propolis gathering





50-100 grams per year

Uses of propolis by bees

- to create an aseptic environment
- to smooth out and coat the internal walls and parts of the beehive
- to make the entrance of the hive weathertight
- to build a protective barrier against the enemies narrowing the entrance
- to seal cracks and crevices
- to strengthen the thin borders of combs
- to embalm the carcasses of dead hive invaders









Uses of propolis for human health purposes properties and activities

- Antibacterial properties
- Antifungal properties
- Antiviral properties
- Anti-inflammatory
- Antioxidant
- Antiulcer
- Hepatoprotective
- Cytotoxic
- Immunostimulating





Uses of propolis for human health purposes uses

- Dermatology
- Otorhinolaryngology
- Dentistry
- Gastroenterology
- Gynaecology
- Oncology

Cosmetics







Uses of propolis for human health purposes uses

- Dietary supplement
 - Hydroalcoholic solution
 - Glycolic solution
 - Tablets







Uses of propolis as food

- Candies
- As a food ingredient









Publication decade

FIGURE 2: Scientific productivity on propolis between the decades (*Chemical Abstracts*).

Hindawi Publishing Corporation Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine Volume 2013, Article ID 697390, 13 pages http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2013/697390

Review Article

Recent Progress of Propolis for Its Biological and Chemical Compositions and Its Botanical Origin

Viviane Cristina Toreti, Helia Harumi Sato, Glaucia Maria Pastore, and Yong Kun Park



FIGURE 4: Scientific production on propolis by patents (*Chemical Abstracts*).

Propolis composition







Journal of Apicultural Research

ISSN: 0021-8839 (Print) 2078-6913 (Online) Journal homepage: http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/tjar20

Standard methods for Apis mellifera propolis research

Vassya Bankova, Davide Bertelli, Renata Borba, Bruno José Conti, Ildenize Barbosa da Silva Cunha, Carolina Danert, Marcos Nogueira Eberlin, Soraia I Falcão, María Inés Isla, María Inés Nieva Moreno, Giulia Papotti, Milena Popova, Karina Basso Santiago, Ana Salas, Alexandra Christine Helena Frankland Sawaya, Nicolas Vilczaki Schwab, José Maurício Sforcin, Michael Simone-Finstrom, Marla Spivak, Boryana Trusheva, Miguel Vilas-Boas, Michael Wilson & Catiana Zampini

Journal of Apicultural Research, 2016 http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00218839.2016.1222661



Taylor & Francis

Propolis composition

Propolis type	Geographic origin	Plant source	Main constituents
Poplar	Europe, North America, non- tropic regions of Asia, New Zealand	Populus spp. (Aigeiros, P. nigra L.)	Flavones, Flavonones, cinnamic acids and their esters
Green	Brazil	Baccharis dracunculifolia	Prenylated, p-coumaric acid, diterpenic acids
Birch	Russia	Betula verrucosa	Flavones, flavonols
Red	Brazil, Cuba, Mexico	Dalbergia ecastophyllum	Isoflavonoids (isoflavans and pterocarpans)
Mediterranean	Sicily, Greece, Malta, Crete	Cupressaceae	Diterpenes (mainly acids of labdane type)
Clusia	Cuba, Venezuela	<i>Clusia</i> spp.	Polyprenylated and benzophenones
Pacific	Okinawa, Taiwan, Indonesia	Macaranga tanarius C-prenil-flavonones	

The Propolis Book, Chapter 1: Propolis: Origin, Production, Composition Stefan Bogdanov and Vassya Bankova www.bee-hexagon.net



The aim was to:

- assess the main physical-chemical characteristics and the active components that characterize Italian propolis
- evaluate whether, as reported by the studies done up to today, Italian propolis belongs to the group "Poplar type"
- Expand the database for the characteristics of the Italian production for classification models of propolis types.



Bankova V. *et* al., 2002 <u>3</u> Italian samples (10 total)

Popova M. *et* al., 2007 26 Italian samples (114 total)

Gardana C. *et* al., 2007 <u>9</u> Italian samples (107 total)





2013 43 samples from all over the country, islands included





Samples were assessed for their sensory characteristics:

colour, texture and odour



Wax, resin and mechanical impurities evaluation

Determined according to the *Norma* IRAM-*INTA*, 2004 (Soxhlet)

	Wax g/ 100g	Resin g/ 100g	Mechanical impurities g/100g
Mean	30,7	57,9	11,3
Min	10,0	19,9	4,3
Max	82,2	82,8	26,5



Phenolic compounds evaluation

Total phenolics content: Folin-Ciocalteu method Reference gallic acid (IRAM-INTA method)

Flavone and flavonol content: AICl₃ coloration Reference quercetin (IRAM-INTA method)

Flavanones and dihydroflavonols content: 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazine coloration Reference pinocembrin (Popova et al., 2004)





Phenolic compounds evaluation

Characteristic (%)	Mean (n=38)	Mean (Popova 2007)	Min. – Max (n=38)	Min Max (Popova 2007)
Resins	57.9 ± 16.5	57	19.9-82.8	18 – 82
Phenolics	22.5 ± 4.5	28	10.8- 29.5	7.9 – 46
Flavones and flavonols	7.0 ± 2.9	8	1.1-15.0	1.3 – 17.9
Flavanones and dihydroflavonols	7.5 ± 3.0	6	1.2 – 11.9	1.5 – 15.2





Phenolic compounds evaluation

The COLOSS BEEBOOK: propolis 31

Table 5. Specific criteria and standard values for the content of bioactive constituents in propolis.

Propolis type		Minimum % by weight in raw propolis	Reference
Poplar propolis	Total phenolics	21	(Popova et al., 2004)
	Total flavones and flavonols	4	(Popova et al., 2004)
	Total flavanones and dihydroflavonols	4	(Popova et al., 2004)
Brazilian green propolis	Total phenolics	5	(Sawaya et al., 2011)
	Total flavonoids	0.5	(Sawaya et al., 2011)



Journal of Apicultural Research, 2016 http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00218839.2016.1222661



DPPH· Free Radical-Scavenging Activity

The scavenging activity of DPPH• was assayed according to the method of Brand-Williams et al.

Desoxyribose assay metodo Halliwell et al.

Reducing Power

The reducing power (RP) was determined according to the method described by Oyaizu.

Chelating activity metodo Dinis et al.



DPPH• Free Radical- Scavenging Activity (TEs/g)	Desoxyribose assay (K)	Reducing Power (TEs/g)	Chelating activity (%)
2,63 ± 0,7	I,06 ± 0,2	0,74 ± 0,2	24,67 ± 4,8



Phenolic evaluation by LC-MS/MS

$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c } (\mu g/m l) & (\mu g/m l) & (\mu g/m l) & (\mu g/m l) & (\mu g/m l) \\ \hline 58.7 \pm 29.0 & 67.9 \pm 43.5 & 13.6 \pm 9.8 & 134.8 \pm 97.1 & 43.3 \pm 24.6 \\ \hline \\ \hline 58.7 \pm 29.0 & 67.9 \pm 43.5 & 13.6 \pm 9.8 & 134.8 \pm 97.1 & 43.3 \pm 24.6 \\ \hline \\ $		Quercetin	Sakuranetin	Kaempferide	Pinostrobin	Apigenin
58.7± 29.0 67.9 ± 43.5 13.6 ± 9.8 134.8 ± 97.1 43.3 ± 24.6 Kaempferol Chrysin Acacetin Pinocembrin Galangin (µg/ml) (µg/ml) (µg/ml) (µg/ml) (µg/ml) 59.7 ± 26.7 5390.1 ± 2432.5 4.7 ± 2.8 2725.0 ± 2085.8 3137.7 ± 1517.3 p-Coumaric acid Caffeic acid trans-Cinnamic Ferulic acid Isoferulic acid (µg/ml) (µg/ml) (µg/ml) (µg/ml) (µg/ml) 26.1 ± 28.8 62.6 ± 45.7 81.0 ± 72.5 689.0 ± 1127.9 1331.3 ± 2288.2		(µg/ml)	(µg/ml)	(µg/ml)	(µg/ml)	(µg/ml)
Kaempferol (µg/ml)Chrysin (µg/ml)Acacetin (µg/ml)Pinocembrin (µg/ml)Galangin (µg/ml)59.7 ± 26.7 53 90.1 ± 2432.5 4.7 ± 2.8 2725.0 ± 2085.8 3137.7 ± 1517.3 p-Coumaric acid(µg/ml)Caffeic acidtrans-CinnamicFerulic acidIsoferulic acid(µg/ml)(µg/ml)(µg/ml)(µg/ml)(µg/ml)(µg/ml)26.1 ± 28.8 62.6 ± 45.7 81.0 ± 72.5 689.0 ± 1127.9 1331.3 ± 2288.2		58.7± 29.0	67.9 ± 43.5	13.6 ± 9.8	134.8 ± 97.1	43.3 ± 24.6
Kaempferol (µg/ml)Chrysin (µg/ml)Acacetin (µg/ml)Pinocembrin (µg/ml)Galangin (µg/ml) 59.7 ± 26.7 5390.1 ± 2432.5 4.7 ± 2.8 2725.0 ± 2085.8 3137.7 ± 1517.3 p -Coumaric acidCaffeic acidtrans-CinnamicFerulic acidIsoferulic acid $(µg/ml)$ (µg/ml)(µg/ml)(µg/ml)(µg/ml) 26.1 ± 28.8 62.6 ± 45.7 81.0 ± 72.5 689.0 ± 1127.9 1331.3 ± 2288.2						
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Kaempferol	Chrysin	Acacetin	Pinocembrin	Galangin
59.7 \pm 26.7 5390.1 \pm 2432.5 4.7 \pm 2.8 2725.0 \pm 2085.8 3137.7 \pm 1517.3 p-Coumaric acid Caffeic acid trans-Cinnamic Ferulic acid Isoferulic acid (µg/ml) (µg/ml) (µg/ml) (µg/ml) (µg/ml) (µg/ml) 26.1 \pm 28.8 62.6 \pm 45.7 81.0 \pm 72.5 689.0 \pm 1127.9 1331.3 \pm 2288.2		(µg/ml)	(µg/ml)	(µg/ml)	(µg/ml)	(µg/ml)
p-Coumaric acid Caffeic acid trans-Cinnamic Ferulic acid Isoferulic acid (μg/ml) (μg/ml) (μg/ml) (μg/ml) (μg/ml) 26.1 ± 28,8 62.6 ± 45.7 81.0 ± 72.5 689.0 ± 1127.9 1331.3 ± 2288.2		59.7 ± 26.7	5390.1 ± 2432.5	4.7 ± 2.8	2725.0 ± 2085.8	3137.7 ± 1517.3
p-Coumaric acid Caffeic acid trans-Cinnamic Ferulic acid Isoferulic acid (µg/ml) (µg/ml) (µg/ml) (µg/ml) (µg/ml) 26.1 ± 28,8 62.6 ± 45.7 81.0 ± 72.5 689.0±1127.9 1331.3±2288.2						
(μg/ml) (μg/ml) (μg/ml) (μg/ml) (μg/ml) 26.1 ± 28,8 62.6 ± 45.7 81.0 ± 72.5 689.0±1127.9 1331.3±2288.2		p-Coumaric aci	d Caffeic acid	trans-Cinnamic	Ferulic acid	Isoferulic acid
26.1 ± 28,8 62.6 ± 45.7 81.0 ± 72.5 689.0 ± 1127.9 1331.3 ± 2288.2		(µg/ml)	(µg/ml)	(µg/ml)	(µg/ml)	(µg/ml)
	26.1 ± 28,8		62.6 ± 45.7	81.0 ± 72.5	689.0±1127.9	 33 .3 ±2288.2





Published in: C. Blasi; G. Capotorti; R. Copiz; D. Guida; B. Mollo; D. Smiraglia; L. Zavattero; *Plant Biosystems - An International Journal Dealing with all Aspects of Plant Biology* **2014,** 148, 1255-1345. DOI: 10.1080/11263504.2014.985756 Copyright © 2014 The Author(s).



GDA analysis – supervised multivariate analysis



The DF1 explains 65.8% of the total variance. The cross-validation shows a predictive capacity of 79.8%. The DFs are particularly correlated with the signals of kaempferol, apigenin and caffeic acid for DF1 and chrysin, galangin and ferulic acid for DF2.

Survey on Italian propolis Conclusion

- Most of the analysed Italian propolis samples satisfied the proposed quality requirements for the "poplar type" proposed by Popova et al. (2007)...
- ... but some of the samples (from Sicily and Sardinia) have shown a low or very low value in flavonoid content and do not comply with "poplar type". Mediterranean type?
- A more detailed and careful statistical analysis comprehensive of all Italian samples showed that it was possible to distinguish three different groups corresponding to the mapped ecoregions of Italy as was reported recently by Blasi et al.(2014).
- Standardization of Italian propolis is needed to ensure a real evaluation of the product.

Thank you for your attention

A DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY OF